New Bedford, MA True Value Marine Hardware November 2019

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

MassDEP Stormwater Checklist	1
1.0 Overview	9
1.1 Objectives of Calculations	9
1.2 Calculation Methods and Assumptions	9
1.3 Equations and Sources of Data Used	9
1.4 Points of Analysis	9
1.5 Contact Information	9
2.0 Existing Conditions Description	10
3.0 Proposed Conditions with Mitigation	11
4.0 Summary of Results	
5.0 Comments and Conclusions	12
6.0 Summary of Compliance with Ten Stormwater Management Standards	13
7.0 Summary of Compliance with Stormwater Management Rules	16
Ilicit Discharge Compliance Statement	19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Locus Map

Figure 2: Phase I Site Assessment Map

Figure 3: FEMA FIRM Map

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Sediment and Erosion Control Plan

Appendix B: Long Term Operation and Maintenance Plan

Appendix C: Soils Data

Appendix D: Watershed Plans

Appendix E: Existing Conditions Calculation

Appendix F: Proposed Conditions Calculation

Appendix G: Supplemental Calculations

Appendix H: Submittals by Others

Appendix I: Drawings

LIST OF DRAWINGS

Sheet 2: Existing Conditions

Sheet 4: Drainage and Grading Plan

Sheet 5: Details





Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.





A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals. This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- · Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.


Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Signature and Pate

C	he	0	kl	ist
•		•	•	131

	oject Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and levelopment?
	New development
\boxtimes	Redevelopment
	Mix of New Development and Redevelopment

What PE 11/21/19



Massachusetts Department of Environmental ProtectionBureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

\boxtimes	No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
\boxtimes	Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
	Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit Requested:
	☐ Credit 1
	☐ Credit 2
	☐ Credit 3
	Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
	Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter
	Water Quality Swale
	Grass Channel
	Green Roof
	Other (describe):
Sta	ndard 1: No New Untreated Discharges
\boxtimes	No new untreated discharges
	Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
	Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental ProtectionBureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Cł	nec	cklist (continu	ued)	
Sta	ında	ard 2: Peak Rate	e Attenuation	
	an Ev	d stormwater disc	charge is to a wetland subject to	s located in land subject to coastal storm flowage coastal flooding. coding increases during the 100-year 24-hour
	de flo po	velopment rates fooding increases of	for the 2-year and 10-year 24-ho during the 100-year 24-hour stor	nt peak discharge rates do not exceed pre- our storms. If evaluation shows that off-site m, calculations are also provided to show that eed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24-
Sta	ınd	ard 3: Recharge		
\boxtimes	So	il Analysis provid	ed.	
\boxtimes	Re	equired Recharge	Volume calculation provided.	
	Re	equired Recharge	volume reduced through use of	the LID site Design Credits.
\boxtimes	Siz	zing the infiltratior	n, BMPs is based on the following	ng method: Check the method used.
		Static		☐ Dynamic Field ¹
	Ru	inoff from all impe	ervious areas at the site dischar	ging to the infiltration BMP.
	are	e provided showir		ischarging to the infiltration BMP and calculations outing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to
	Re	echarge BMPs ha	ve been sized to infiltrate the Re	equired Recharge Volume.
		•	ve been sized to infiltrate the Roor the following reason:	equired Recharge Volume only to the maximum
		Site is comprise	ed solely of C and D soils and/or	bedrock at the land surface
		M.G.L. c. 21E s	ites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.00	000
		Solid Waste La	ndfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.0	00
		Project is other practicable.	wise subject to Stormwater Man	agement Standards only to the maximum extent
	Ca	alculations showin	g that the infiltration BMPs will	drain in 72 hours are provided.
	Pr	operty includes a	M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid wa	ste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental ProtectionBureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Cł	necklist (continued)
Sta	ndard 3: Recharge (continued)
	The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10-year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.
	Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.
Sta	ndard 4: Water Quality
The	E Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following: Good housekeeping practices; Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover; Vehicle washing controls; Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs; Spill prevention and response plans; Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas; Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides; Pet waste management provisions; Provisions for operation and management of septic systems; Provisions for solid waste management; Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas; Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions; Street sweeping schedules; Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system; Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL; Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan; List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
	A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent. Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge: is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
	is near or to other critical areas
	is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
	involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
П	The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.

☐ Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if

applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued) Standard 4: Water Quality (continued) The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on: ☐ The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or ☐ The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume. ☐ The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs. A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided. Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs) ☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report. ☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted *prior* to the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs. The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does **not** cover the land use. LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan. All exposure has been eliminated. All exposure has **not** been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list. The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent. Standard 6: Critical Areas The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP

- has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:						
	Limited Project					
	Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area. Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff					
	Bike Path and/or Foot Path					
	Redevelopment Project					
	Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.					
The implied the and	tain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an elanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report. The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to prove existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found folume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment of structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) proves existing conditions.					

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- · Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- · Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- · Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- · Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- · Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- · Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- · Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.
- A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached:

any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued) Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued) The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has not been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted **before** land disturbance begins. ☐ The project is **not** covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit. The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report. ☐ The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins. Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information: Name of the stormwater management system owners; Party responsible for operation and maintenance; Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks: Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas; Description and delineation of public safety features; Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and □ Operation and Maintenance Log Form. The responsible party is **not** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions: A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs; A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions. Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;

NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of

1.0 Overview

1.1 OBJECTIVES OF CALCULATIONS

The calculations presented in this report are an analysis of site hydrology and stormwater runoff, including scenarios for both Existing Conditions and Proposed Conditions. The project is considered a redevelopment project and the objective of this analysis is to demonstrate that measures have been implemented to comply with the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards and City of New Bedford Stormwater requirements to the maximum extent practicable. Analysis of the Existing and Proposed Conditions is included for the two (2), ten (10), and one hundred (100) year rainfall events. A description of the project and how it relates to the ten Stormwater Management Standards is included.

1.2 CALCULATION METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Stormwater runoff is analyzed using the following:

- "HydroCADä Stormwater Modeling System," by Applied Microcomputer Systems based upon SCS Technical Releases No. 55 and 20 for generating hydraulic calculations including peak flows and runoff volumes
- 1.3 EQUATIONS AND SOURCES OF DATA USED
- · 24-Hour Rainfall data (Technical Paper 40: Bristol County)
 - \cdot 2 yr = 3.40 in. 10 yr = 4.80 in. 100 yr = 7.00 in.
- · Soils information from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) website
- 1.4 Points of Analysis
 - POA1 Offsite to the Northeast, into the Acushnet River
- 1.5 CONTACT INFORMATION
 - Shoreline Resources, Inc.
 - 137 Popes Island, New Bedford, MA 02750
 - · (774) 930-3795



2.0 Existing Conditions Description

The project site is a portion of 137-143 Popes Island, a currently developed 3.011 +/- acre parcel located in New Bedford, Massachusetts (the "Property"). The New Bedford Assessor's Office identifies the Property as Map 60, Lot 13. Records indicate that current site operations include a marine retail store (#137 Popes Island) and a Machine Shop (#143 Popes Island). The property is zoned as Industrial A.

The Property is located on the central portion of Popes Island, an island situated in the center of the Acushnet River near its outfall to the Atlantic Ocean. (Refer to Figure 1: Site Locus) Access to the Property is provided via Route 6, which spans the Acushnet River to the east and west. Land Use in the vicinity of the Property generally includes industrial and commercial properties, as well as a park to the south.

The existing property includes two buildings: #137 and #143 Popes Island. Access to both buildings is provided via a paved driveway connecting to Route 6. This driveway leads to two small parking areas near the front of the property, as well as a larger paved area in the rear. The majority of the lot contains impervious surfaces with some vegetated areas. The northern and eastern sides of the property are separated from the Acushnet River by a 7′ +/- high slope protected by riprap.

Topography at the Property is largely graded in three directions. The front portion generally slopes to the east towards a drain inlet with an outfall that appears to empty into the Acushnet River. The center portions have been graded to drain into an existing closed drainage system, which appear to continue south towards Route 6. A series of roof leaders also appears to drain into this system. The rear portion of the Property generally slopes to the northeast, towards the Acushnet River.

Natural Resources Conservation Service soil maps indicate soils in the project area are considered Urban land and do not have an assigned Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) rating. Note that, as the majority of the soils on the Property are impervious and no infiltration is proposed, the HSG rating is generally unimportant to the stormwater design (refer to the following sections). As a conservative measure, a rating of HSG D has been applied to the hydrologic calculations where applicable. Refer to Appendix C for a copy of NRCS Soil Maps.



3.0 Proposed Conditions with Mitigation

The project proposes a change-in-use for a portion of the existing machine shop (#143) to be used for bulk item storage and a marine retail store. Associated site improvements will include re-striping the front parking areas and redeveloping the rear parking area to meet City parking regulations. As no net increase in impervious area is proposed, this project is considered a redevelopment under the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards. For the purposes of this report, the "Site" shall be used to refer to the limit of alterations in the northern area of the Site. These alterations generally include:

- Re-grading and re-striping the existing parking area;
- Installation of site features such s light poles, traffic safety signs, and bollards;
- Removal of existing tanks, concrete pads, impervious surfaces, and other materials from a portion of the rear area;
- Adjustment of existing roof leaders to tie into existing drainage structures;
- Revegetation of the areas around the proposed parking lot with coastal seed mix; and

Stormwater management is proposed via the installation of a hydrodynamic separator within the rear parking area. The parking lot will be re-graded as needed and provided with a cape cod berm along its easterly limit to direct flow towards the separator. The separator has been sized to convey post-development runoff and provide sufficient pollutant removal to meet water quality regulations. In order to mitigate impacts to existing slopes, the inlets and outlets of this structure will be at the ground surface. Runoff discharged from the structure will flow into a shallow depression. The eastern side of this depression is an earthen berm designed to function as a level spreader to de-concentrate and disperse flows over a wide area prior to flow over the flood control levee.

The proposed redevelopment will use the footprint of the existing paved area, and no impervious surfaces are proposed for parking. Existing impervious and semi-impervious areas surrounding the parking area will be removed, restored, and revegetated with coastal seed mix. Approximately 22,860 +/- s.f. of land area is proposed to be revegetated.

Though beyond the limit of alterations, stormwater runoff from the front parking lot currently flows untreated into the Acushnet River. As an additional measure for improving stormwater quality at the Property, the existing drain inlet locating near the southeastern corner of the #143 Building will be removed and replaced with a deep-sump, hooded catch basin. The catch basin will be connected to the existing outfall that is presumed to discharge into the Acushnet River.

No other exterior areas beyond those discussed above will be altered with the exception of re-striping existing pavement, adjustment of the building's entryway, and installation of bollards/signs.

Refer to Appendix D for figures showing the pre- and post- development watersheds. Refer to Appendices E and F for copies of the pre- and post-development HydroCAD calculations. Additional calculations relating to the design are provided in Appendix G. See the Appendices H and I for drawing sheets of the proposed drainage system and details describing system components.



4.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Peal	k Rate of	Flow (cubic feet per second)					
<u>Runoff</u>		2 Year Storm 10 Year Storm		100 Year Storm			
Outlet To:		Exist	Prop	Exist	Prop	Exist	Prop
POA1	Acushnet River	2.44	2.38	3.47	3.41	5.07	5.04

Runoff Volume		Volume (acre-feet)					
		2 Year Storm		10 Year Storm		100 Year Storm	
Outlet To:		Exist	Prop	Exist	Prop	Exist	Prop
POA1	Acushnet River	0.196	0.180	0.280	0.264	0.413	0.395

<u>Supplemental Calculations:</u>

Recharge Volume Required = 0 cu. ft. (No loss of recharge as no new impervious area proposed) Recharge Volume Provided = 60 cu. ft. (From 7,200 sq. ft. net Increase in pervious area (HSG D))

Water Quality Volume Required = 2,171 cu. ft.

Water Quality Volume Provided = Refer to sizing calculations by manufacturer

Existing TSS Removal Rate = 0 %

Proposed TSS Removal Rate for Rear Parking = 80%

5.0 COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the aforementioned proposed mitigation measures, runoff will be captured, peak flows will be controlled, and water quality volume will be provided. The provided analysis has demonstrated that there will be no adverse impacts as a result of the project. The proposed stormwater management Best Management Practices have been designed to meet the DEP's Stormwater Management Policy to the maximum extent practicable. Summaries of compliance with the ten DEP Stormwater Management Standards and City of New Bedford Stormwater Management Rules are provided in the following sections.



6.0 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH TEN STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

Shoreline Resources, LLC. is proposing the redevelopment of the existing property at 137-143 Popes Island in New Bedford, MA. The following summary has been prepared to illustrate the project's conformance with MassDEP's Stormwater Management Standards. Note that the project is a redevelopment project and need only meet certain standards the maximum extent practicable.

LID Measures:

Low Impact Development (LID) techniques on the project site are limited due to the limited space available on-site and the need to protect local resource areas. A reduction in impervious area is proposed under the redevelopment.

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

No new stormwater conveyances (e.g. outfalls) may discharge directly untreated stormwater directly to or cause erosion in wetlands or waters of the Commonwealth

No new untreated discharges to wetlands are created as part of this project. Existing site conditions currently allow runoff to flow, untreated, from the rear parking area into the Acushnet River. The redevelopment proposes to capture and treat this runoff using a hydrodynamic separator. Runoff discharged from this separator will be directed to a riprap apron and level spreader to control erosion prior to flow into the Acushnet River. Construction period controls consisting of compost filter tubes are proposed between the Site and the Acushnet River, and will be required prior to the start of the project. – project complies.

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

Stormwater management systems shall be designed so that the post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.

The proposed design retains existing impervious area to prevent an increase in peak discharge rates, and many impervious areas on the Site will be revegetated. The rear parking area will be graded to direct runoff towards a hydrodynamic separator to capture, treat, and control runoff. A net decrease in peak runoff rate is anticipated as part of the project – project complies.

Standard 3: Recharge

Loss of annual recharge to groundwater shall be eliminated or minimized. At a minimum, the annual recharge from the post-development site shall approximate the annual recharge from predevelopment conditions based on soil type.

As no new impervious areas are proposed, there will be no loss in annual recharge from the posdevelopment site compared to pre-development conditions. The re-vegetation of existing impervious area will improve the Site's ability to infiltrate runoff. – project complies.

Standard 4: Water Quality

Stormwater management systems shall be designed to remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of Total Suspended Solids.

The proposed hydrodynamic separator will provide treatment of stormwater runoff that does not currently exist. The proposed improvements will provide a TSS removal rate of 80%, and the unit has been sized to treat the 1" water quality volume from the Site. – project complies.



Standard 5: Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

Land use with high potential pollutant loads must have source control and pollution prevention measures implemented in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

The existing Property includes a machine shop, which classifies as an LUHPPL. While no operation areas of this use will discharge directly to the Site, there is a possibility for spills to occur. As a redevelopment project, the Site need only fully comply with the pollution prevention requirements of this standard, while other aspects must be met only to the maximum extent practicable. A significant improvement in managing potential pollutant loads over existing conditions is anticipated as part of this project.

Structural BMPs

Runoff from the Site is located outside of the machine shop, and thus does not come into contact with the actual area or activity that may generate the higher potential pollutant load. As a result, the structural BMP requirements do not apply.

Pollution Prevention

Spill control and pollution prevention measures are outlined in Appendix B. The hydrodynamic separator is designed to provide emergency oil storage suitable for the anticipated spill magnitude at the Site.

Treatment

Standard 5 requires the implementation a treatment train that provides at least 80% TSS removal and treatment of the 1-inch water quality volume, which has been provided as discussed in Standard 4. As no infiltration BMP is proposed, the requirement for 44% pretreatment and use of specific BMPs is not required. The proposed hydrodynamic separator is designed to provide the required treatment.

- project complies.

Standard 6: Critical Areas

Stormwater discharges within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area of a public water supply and stormwater discharges near or to any other critical area require the use of specific source control, pollution prevention measures.

The project proposes discharge near to the Acushnet River. The Massachusetts Division of Marine Wildlife classifies the portion of the Acushnet River in the vicinity of the Site as a shellfish growing area, which is defined as a critical area under the standards. However, a discharge is only considered to be near to a critical area if "there is a strong likelihood of a significant impact occurring to said area, taking into account site-specific factors."

The previous sections have demonstrated that the post-development Site will be an improvement over existing conditions. Peak discharge rates will be mitigated, recharge will be maintained, TSS removal will be achieved, and the 1" water quality volume will be provided. The proposed hydrodynamic separator will provide treatment that does not currently exist, and as such it is not likely that a significant impact will occur to this area.

In any case, a redevelopment project must only comply fully with the pollution prevention requirements of this standards, while the pretreatment and treatment requirements need only be met to the maximum extent practicable.



Pollution Prevention

Spill control and pollution prevention measures are outlined in Appendix B. The hydrodynamic separator is designed to provide oil storage suitable for the anticipated spill magnitude at the Site.

Treatment

The Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook provides a list of BMPs typically appropriate for discharges near to shellfish growing areas. Each option was evaluated for possible use at the Site, but the installation of each was made impractical by the limited space available on the Site, the shallow depth to groundwater, the need to protect resource areas, and the need to avoid impacts to the existing flood control levee that could be caused by an outlet pipe.

Proprietary separators are acceptable as pretreatment devices near to shellfish growing areas but are typically only be used for treatment if verified by the TARP or STEP programs. The proposed hydrodynamic separator is a Stormsceptor STC900 unit which has been approved by these programs, and will provide TSS removal in excess of what is required and treat the 1" water quality volume. As site constraints limit the available treatment options, the proposed hydrodynamic separator is anticipated to provide TSS removal and water quality treatment in excess of what is required.

- project complies

Standard 7: Redevelopment

A redevelopment project is required to meet certain Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.

The project is a redevelopment project under the definition of (2): "Development, rehabilitation, expansion, and phased projects on previously developed sites, provided the redevelopment results in no net increase in impervious area." Certain standards have been met only to the maximum extent practicable as noted in previous sections.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sediment Control

A plan to control construction related impacts, including erosion, sedimentation, and other pollutant sources during construction and land disturbance activities shall be developed and implemented.

The project will not disturb greater than one acre and will not require the development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) prior to construction. An erosion control plan has been included with the design plans, and a Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Sediment Control Plan has been provided as Appendix A of this report.

Standard 9: Long Term Operation and Maintenance Plan

A Long-Term Operation and Maintenance Plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that stormwater management systems function as designed.

Operations and Maintenance of Stormwater management systems will be the responsibility of Shoreline Resources, LLC. Therefore, inspection and maintenance of the stormwater management system will be in accordance with the attached Operation and Maintenance Plan.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

All illicit discharges to the stormwater management system are prohibited.

There are currently no known non-stormwater illicit discharges within the project limits and new discharges are prohibited. An illicit discharge compliance statement is attached.



7.0 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RULES

The following summary has been prepared to illustrate the project's conformance with the Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations established by the City of New Bedford.

1: Stormwater Management Basins: All stormwater management basins, including detention, retention, and sedimentation basins, shall have side slopes composed of earthen embankments with side slopes no greater than 3:1.

No basins are proposed under this project - not applicable.

2: Site Planning Process: The site planning process shall include identifying and mapping critical resource areas, delineating potential buildings envelopes, and developing methods to minimize impervious cover.

Critical areas are identified in Section 6.0. No new buildings are proposed under this project. The proposed site design will result in a net decrease in impervious area. – project complies.

3: Untreated Discharges: All stormwater runoff generated from land development and land use conversion shall be treated to the maximum extent practicable prior to discharge to a wetland, local water body, municipal drainage system, or right-of-way (ROW).

The proposed design uses a hydrodynamic separator to treat stormwater prior to discharge to the Acushnet River. A significant improvement over existing conditions is anticipated. – project complies.

4: Site Design Criteria: Low Impact Development site planning and design strategies must be used to the maximum extent practicable.

LID measures are limited due to the desire to minimize alteration of the existing Site. The proposed redevelopment will result in a net decrease in impervious area. – project complies.

5: Channel Protection: Protection of channels from bank and bed erosion and degradation shall be provided by controlling the discharge rate from the 2-year storm to the pre-development rate.

The post-development Site will mitigate peak flow rates by reducing the total impervious area on the Site. A net decrease in peak discharge rate for the 2-year storm is anticipated. – project complies.

6: Overbank Flooding Protection: Downstream overbank flood and property protection shall be provided by attenuating the post-development peak discharge rate for the 10-year storm.

The post-development Site will mitigate peak flow rates by reducing the total impervious area on the Site. A net decrease in peak discharge rate for the 10-year storm is anticipated. – project complies.

7: Extreme Flooding Protection: Extreme flooding and public safety protection shall be provided be controlling and safely conveying the 100-year storm event.

The post-development Site will mitigate peak flow rates by reducing the total impervious area on the Site. A net decrease in peak discharge rate for the 100-year storm is anticipated. – project complies.

8: Recharge: Annual groundwater recharge rates shall be maintained by promoting infiltration and recharge. Stormwater runoff volume to be recharged shall be determined using the methods prescribed in the MASWMS

As no new impervious areas are proposed, post-development recharge will be approximately equal to pre-development recharge. Recharge calculations are provided in Appendix G. – project complies.



9: Structural Practices for Water Quality: All structural Stormwater Management facilities shall be selected and designed using the appropriate criteria from the MASWMS. Structural BMPs must be designed to remove 80% of the average annual post development total suspended solids (TSS).

The proposed stormwater management system is a proprietary stormwater BMP, as described in Volume 2 Chapter 4 of the MASWMS. Supporting calculations have been provided in Appendix G to show that the proposed system will provide 80% TSS removal efficiency – project complies.

10: Water Quality Volume: The prescribed water quality volume required in the sizing of a structural stormwater practices shall be 1.0 inches x the total impervious area in critical areas.

The proposed stormwater management system has been designed and sized to treat the 1" water quality volume, as described in Appendix G. – project complies.

10 (sic): Sensitive Areas: Stormwater discharged to critical areas with sensitive resources may be subject to additional criteria at the discretion of the Stormwater authority.

The Acushnet River is listed as a shellfish growing area and may qualify as a critical area. The proposed stormwater management design is a significant improvement over existing discharges from the Site. Refer to Section 6.0 of this report.

11 Hotspots: Stormwater discharges from land uses or activities with higher potential pollutant loads, "hotspots," require the use of specific Stormwater Management BMPs.

The existing machine shop is a land use with a higher potential pollutant load. Refer to Section 6.0 of this report. – project complies.

- 12: Redevelopment: Redevelopment projects are presumed to meet the specified stormwater requirements described in the Stormwater Rules and Regulations of the City of New Bedford if one of the following criteria is met:
- 1. The total impervious cover is reduced by 40% from existing conditions;
- 2. Stormwater practices are implemented to provide stormwater controls for at least 40% of the Site's impervious area; or
- 3. A combination of impervious area reduction and the area controlled by a stormwater management practice is equal to or exceeds 40%.

The project is a redevelopment project. As a redevelopment project, the Site meets Standard 12 under item (2) above. Note that the Stormwater Rules and Regulations define "Site" as "any lot or parcel of land or <u>area of property</u> where land-disturbing activities are, were, or will be performed." As noted earlier, the Site described in this report includes only the rear parking area where alterations are proposed, and not the entire lot. Stormwater practices have been implemented for more than 40% of the Site's impervious area. The project is considered to meet the stormwater requirements of these Rules and Regulations. – project complies.

- 13: On-Site Stormwater Retainage: Stormwater management facilities for development and redevelopment projects shall be designed to either:
- 1. Retain the first one (1) inch of runoff from all impervious cover on site; or
- 2. Provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of filtration of the first one (1) inch of runoff from impervious cover on site.

Due to site constraints and the desire to minimize alteration of the Site, potential for stormwater retainage is limited. The proposed hydrodynamic separator has been designed to treat the first one (1) inch of runoff from all impervious cover on the Site. – project complies.




Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement

It is the intent of the Owner, Shoreline Resources LLC., to prevent illicit discharges to the stormwater management system, including wastewater discharges and discharges of stormwater contaminated by contact with process wastes, raw materials, toxic pollutants, hazardous substances, oil, or grease. The proposed improvements at 137-143 Popes Island (Assessor's Map 60, Lot 13) are intended to remove untreated existing discharges to the stormwater management system and provide necessary treatment at all proposed discharges. To the extent of my knowledge, the proposed project does not create any illicit discharges and all illicit discharges are prohibited in the future.

1 Fresh

Shoreline Resources I

FIGURES



Site Information: TRUE VALUE MARINE HARDWARE 143 POPES ISLAND NEW BEDFORD, MA

4611788mN , 340471mE (Zone: 19) September 12, 2019

The information shown is the best available at the date of printing. However, it may be incomplete. The responsible party and LSP are ultimately responsible for ascertaining the true conditions surrounding the site. Metadata for data layers shown on this map can be found at: https://www.mass.gov/orgs/massgis-bureau-of-geographic-information.



NEW BEDFORD COOD STREET FAIRHAVEN LOGAN STREET TABER STREET TABER STREET STIN STREET OXFORD STREET OXFORD ST LAFAYETTE STRE oyal Fairhaven-Nursing & Rehabilitation Н BELLEVUE STREET APPROX. LIMIT OF PROPERTY POPES ROD FAIRHAVEN CROW AE STREET FISH ISLAND. ISLAND ter Rublic School New Bedford MECHANICS LANE WILLIAM STREET RE ALL! UNION STREET ling City High Sch SE STREET SOUT SOUTH STREET G STREET CHUR 500 m FARME 1000 ft MADISON STREET Roads: Limited Access, Divided, Other Hwy, Major Road, Minor Road, Track, Trail PWS Protection Areas: Zone II, IWPA, Zone A Hydrography: Open Water, PWS Reservoir, Tidal Flat . Boundaries: Town, County, DEP Region; Train; Powerline; Pipeline; Aqueduct Wetlands: Freshwater, Saltwater, Cranberry Bog Basins: Major, PWS; Streams: Perennial, Intermittent, Man Made Shore, Dam FEMA 100yr Floodplain; Protected Open Space; ACEC ... Est. Rare Wetland Wildlife Hab; Vernal Pool; Cert., Potential Aquifers: Medium Yield, High Yield, EPA Sole Source..... Solid Waste Landfill; PWS: Com.GW,SW, Emerg., Non-Com. 💢 😊 🥯 Non Potential Drinking Water Source Area: Medium, High (Yield)...



True Value Marine Hardware

137 - 143 Popes Island New Bedford, MA

Figure No. 2

MassDEP Phase 1 Site Assessment Map

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS Report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Sollwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study Report

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

Accredited Levee Notes to Users: Check with your local community to obtain Accreated Levee Notes to Users: Check with your local community to obtain more information, such as the estimated level of protection provided (which may exceed the 1-percent-annual-chance level) and Emergency Action Plan, on the levee system(s) shown as providing protection for areas on this panel. To mitigate flood risk in residual risk areas, properly owners and residents are encouraged to consider flood insurance and floodproofing or other protective neasures. For more information on flood insurance, interested parties should visit the FEMA Website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip/index.shtm

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Massachusetts State Plane Mainland Zone (FIPS zone 2001). The horizontal datum was NAD 83, GRS 1980 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following

NGS Information Services NGAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282

o obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the Nationa Geodetic Survey at (301) 713- 3242, or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noas.gov.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was derived from digital orthophotograph; Base map files were provided in digital form by Massachusetts Geographic Informatio System (MassGIS). Ortho imagery was produced at a scale of 1:5,000. kerial photography is dated April 2005

The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles in the FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the profile baseline, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the SFHA.

than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables for multiple streams in the Flood

of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each communit

For information on available products associated with this FIRM visit the Map Service Center (MSC) website at http://msc.fema.gov, Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and the study of the s and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or

If you have questions about this map, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA





True Value Marine Hardware

137 - 143 Popes Island New Bedford, MA

Figure No. 3

FEMA FIRM Map

APPENDIX A – CONSTRUCTION PERIOD POLLUTION PREVENTION AND EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN

Introduction

The anticipated area of disturbance as a result of this project is less than one acre; therefore, filing a notice of intent with EPA and development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is not required. The following plan provides general guidance for the prevention of pollution and erosion and sedimentation during construction.

Potential Erosion and Sedimentation

Portions of the project involve soil disturbance; therefore, site preparation, scheduling, and construction practices need to be carefully planned to prevent construction debris and erosion from adversely impacting downstream resources. Although it is not always possible to avoid all impacts the following guidelines shall be followed:

- Minimize land disturbance area and soil exposure to stormwater and wind erosion.
- · Minimize time that area is disturbed.
- Avoid routing stormwater runoff or dewatering flows through disturbed areas.
- Inspect and maintain erosion controls until all soils are stabilized.
- Maintain good housekeeping practices.
- Stabilize disturbed soils as soon as possible to limit exposure.

Erosion and Sedimentation Plan

This Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan have been prepared in accordance with the Department of Environmental Protection's Massachusetts Erosion and Sedimentation Guidelines for Urban and Suburban Areas.

Pre-Construction and Site Preparation

- Contractor shall install all erosion control barriers in accordance with the construction documents prior to commencing any land disturbance activity.
- Inspect and maintain erosion controls until all soils are stabilized.
- Monitor weather reports daily and stabilize/prepare site if storm event in excess of the 2-year storm is expected.

Inspection and Maintenance of Erosion Controls during Construction

Inspect erosion controls weekly and after every storm event until all soils are stabilized.

- Erosion Control Barrier: Check for sedimentation accumulation, removing sediments when they reach excessive volumes (approximately 1/3 the height of the barrier). Also remove sediments when runoff ponds for 24 or more hours to prevent potential mosquito breeding habitat. Restake/replace tubes and silt fence as necessary to maintain their effectiveness.
- Catch basin Inlet Protection: Check for sedimentation accumulation, removing sediments when they reach excessive volumes.



Good Housekeeping

- Avoid stockpiling of soil within 100 feet of wetland resources and wellhead protection areas. If necessary, provide sufficient erosion controls to prevent migration of sediments.
- Minimize hazardous materials stored on site. All materials stored on site shall be stored in original containers and sealed.
- · Refuel construction equipment off-site.
- Any spills of hazardous materials shall be reported, contained, and removed in accordance with local, State, and Federal regulations.

Plans

See proposed construction drawings for locations of all proposed erosion and sedimentation controls.

Potential Construction Site Pollutants

Pollutant-Generating Activity	Pollutants or Pollutant Constituents (that could be discharged if exposed to stormwater)	Location on Site (or reference SWPPP site map where this is shown)
Equipment Re-fueling	Diesel Fuel, Gasoline	Staging Area*
Leaking or Broken Hydraulic Lines	Hydraulic Oil	Building Work Areas and Laydown Area
Minor Equipment Maintenance	Diesel Fuel, Gasoline, Hydraulic Oil, Motor Oil, Anti- Freeze	Staging Area*
Applying Fertilizer	Nitrogen, Phosphorous	Newly Seeded Areas
Portable Sanitary Toilets	Bacteria, Parasites and Viruses	Staging Area*
Vehicle Accident	Diesel Fuel, Gasoline	Entire Site
Trash Containers/Dumpsters	Paper, Plastic, and Food Waste	Staging Area*

^{*}All vehicle and equipment staging to be conducted within southern portion of Site, as far from critical resource areas and drain inlets as possible during given stage of construction.



APPENDIX B – LONG TERM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

True Value Marine Hardware - New Bedford, MA

This stormwater management system (SWMS) operations and maintenance plan has been prepared in accordance with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's Stormwater Management Standards.

General Information

Project Name:

True Value Marine Hardware

Project Type:

Site Redevelopment

Address:

137 - 143 Popes Island, New Bedford, MA

SWMS Owner:

Shoreline Resources, LLC

706 Acushnet Ave.

New Bedford, MA 02740

Responsible Party:

Shoreline Resources, LLC

Contact:

Scott Taber

Signature:

It shall be the responsibility of the Owner to provide a revised plan to the City of New Bedford indicating any change of ownership or responsible party.

Public Safety and Features

- 1. Provide police detail for extended occupation of roadway if traffic dictates.
- 2. All excavations and entry into closed structures will be completed in accordance with OSHA requirements.



True Value Marine Hardware - New Bedford, MA

BMP Inspection and Maintenance Procedures

Effectiveness of Best Management Practices (BMPs) is maximized when properly maintained. The following inspections schedule and maintenance required of BMPs for this project (see attached plan) shall be as outlined and documented below.

- Hydrodynamic Separator: Refer to the attached manufacturer maintenance recommendations (Appendix H).
- Deep Sump Catch basins (CB) and Manholes (MH): Grates shall be checked periodically and following heavy rainfall to verify that the inlet openings are not clogged by debris. Debris shall be removed from the grate. Structure shall be inspected on an annual basis and cleaned of all accumulated debris if necessary. If the sump of the catch basin is greater than 50% full it must be inspected and cleaned every six months. Annual cleaning should be performed in the spring after the winter sanding season. All material removed from these structures shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable regulations. Condition of frames, grates, concrete, bricks and hoods (if installed) should be noted during inspections. Repair or replace damaged materials.
- Level Spreader: Inspect after the first several rainfall events, after all major storms, and at least once every 6 months.
 - Check spreader surface to ensure that no erosion or low spots have formed.
 - · Check for erosion along downgradient slopes.
 - · Remove accumulated sediment, trash, debris, leaves, and grass clippings.
- Vegetation: Monitor establishment and health of vegetation around rear parking area at least once a month for the first several months, then at least once every 6 months.
 - · Check vegetation growth rate, health, and stability.
 - Note presence of any failing vegetation.
 - Reseed low-growth areas as necessary.

Approximate Maintenance Budget

Inspection and maintenance for this site is estimated as follows.

Inspections
 Hydrodynamic Separator
 Deep Sump Catch Basin
 Annual Total

Inspection & Maintenance Schedule – Hydrodynamic Separator

Typical Inspection Frequency:

- Post-construction Inspection: Inspect prior to putting unit into service.
- Routine Inspections: Inspect at least twice per year, or at greater frequency depending on sediment loading.
- Non-Routine Inspections: Inspect immediately after oil, fuel, or other chemical spills.

Typical Maintenance Frequency:

- Routine Maintenance: Clean unit when sediment depth reaches 8 inches. Determine typical frequency based on inspection. Check for any blockages and pollutant accumulation.
- Nonroutine Maintenance: Clean unit immediately after oil, fuel, or chemical spills. Complete repairs as needed.



True Value Marine Hardware – New Bedford, MA
BMP Inspection and Maintenance Documentation Form

Inspection No.:	Date:	Weather:	
Date & Amount of	of Last Precipitation Eve	nt:	
Inspector Name:		Inspection Signature:	
ВМР	Condition/Stability	Comment & Recommendations	Date Corrected
Hydrodynamic Separator			
Level Spreader			
Catch Basin			
Vegetation			
Other			
Additional Comments			



True Value Marine Hardware - New Bedford, MA

Spill Prevention Plan

The building at 143 Popes Island is currently used by East Coast Fabrication, Inc. Operations within the building classify as Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads, (LUHPPL) and storage and loading activities may be conducted within or adjacent to the rear parking area. The purpose of this plan is to outline the source control and pollution prevention measures to minimize the risk of pollution to stormwater runoff.

<u>Predicted Release</u>

All potential spills at the Site are anticipated to be during loading and handling for inbound/outbound trucks and are expected to occur within the loading areas. Spills in this area will be conveyed via overland flow towards the hydrodynamic separator.

Oil Capacity

They hydrodynamic separator is designed to provide spill control and temporary oil storage. The internal weir directs incoming stormwater and oil spills into the lower chamber. An oil baffle skirt is designed to prevent hydrocarbons from reaching the system's outlet. A hydrocarbon storage capacity of 14 gallons is provided by the unit. Regular maintenance as outlined in Appendix H will include removal and legal disposal of all captured oil.

Sorbent Materials, Spill Response Supplies, and Equipment

Spill response supplies shall be maintained within the building near the loading dock. These supplies shall include sorbent pads, booms, and granular material (i.e., Speedy Dry), and a shovel, all stored within a covered over-pack drum or similar container. The supplies are readily available to be deployed during a fuel spill or release.

Inspections and recordkeeping of the spill response equipment supplies must be maintained as part of this plan, and training shall be conducted to inform the employees on where the equipment is located and the procedure for using the material as part of the oil spill response training curriculum.



APPENDIX C - SOILS DATA



MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at Area of Interest (AOI) С 1:20.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map Soils D measurements. Soil Rating Polygons Not rated or not available Α Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: **Water Features** A/D Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Streams and Canals В Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator Transportation projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts B/D Rails distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more Interstate Highways accurate calculations of distance or area are required. C/D **US Routes** This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as D Major Roads of the version date(s) listed below. Not rated or not available -Local Roads Soil Survey Area: Bristol County, Massachusetts, Southern Part Soil Rating Lines Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2018 Background Aerial Photography Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Jul 3, 2017 B/D The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor C/D shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. D Not rated or not available **Soil Rating Points** A/D B/D

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
305B	Paxton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	С	12.2	1.4%			
305C	Paxton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	С	27.5	3.1%			
602	Urban land		467.2	53.2%			
606	Miscellaneous water		3.9	0.4%			
607	Water, saline		345.9	39.4%			
651	Udorthents, smoothed	A	22.2	2.5%			
Totals for Area of Interest			878.9	100.0%			

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.


If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options


Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition


Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher




APPENDIX D - WATERSHED PLANS





APPENDIX E – EXISTING CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 1


Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions

Runoff 2.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.196 af. Depth> 3.11"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40°

	raa (af)	CN D	intion		
A	rea (sf)		escription		
	6,690	96 G	Fravel surfa	ace, HSG D)
	26,265	98 P	aved park	ing, HSG D	
	32.955	98 V	Veiahted A	verage	
	6.690	2	0.30% Per	vious Area	
	26,265	7	9 70% Imr	ervious Ar	ea .
	20,200		0.7070	,0,1,000,7,11	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
1.4	50	0.0030	0.58	(/	Sheet Flow. Sheet Flow 1
		0.0000	0.00		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"
0.9	34	0.0015	0.62		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 1
0.5	04	0.0010	0.02		Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
0.7	87	0.0092	1.95		Shallow Concentrated Flow. Shallow Conc. 2
0.7	01	0.0092	1.90		Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
0.5	63	0.0160	2.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 3
0.5	03	0.0160	2.04		
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.5					Direct Entry, Miniumum TC
6.0	234	Total			

Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions



Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 3

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions


3.47 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.280 af. Depth> 4.45" Runoff

234 Total

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

Α	rea (sf)	CN D	Description		
	6,690	96 G	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D)
	26,265	98 F	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	32,955	98 V	Veighted A	verage	
	6,690	2	0.30% Per	vious Area	
	26,265	7	9.70% Imp	ervious Ar	ea
_					
Tc	Length	Slope		Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
1.4	50	0.0030	0.58		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow 1
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"
0.9	34	0.0015	0.62		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 1
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
0.7	87	0.0092	1.95		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 2
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
0.5	63	0.0160	2.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 3
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
2.5					Direct Entry, Miniumum TC
		T			

Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions




Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40" 143PopesIsland Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 2

Summary for Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)

0.757 ac, 79.70% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.11" for 2-Year event 2.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.196 af Inflow Area = 2.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2.44 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Inflow Primary 0.196 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)



Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019


Page 4

Summary for Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)

Inflow Area = Inflow Primary 0.280 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019


Summary for Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions

Runoff 5.07 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.413 af, Depth> 6.54"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"

Α	rea (sf)	CN D	escription			
6,690 96 Gravel surface, HSG D						
	26,265	98 F	aved park	ing, HSG D)	
	32.955	98 V	Veighted A	verage		
	6.690			vious Area		
	26,265	7	9.70% Imp	pervious Are	ea	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
1.4	50	0.0030	0.58		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow 1	
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"	
0.9	34	0.0015	0.62		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 1	
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps	
0.7	87	0.0092	1.95		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 2	
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps	
0.5	63	0.0160	2.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Conc. 3	
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps	
2.5					Direct Entry, Miniumum TC	
6.0	234	Total				

Subcatchment 1S: EX-DA-1 - Existing Conditions



143PopesIsland

Page 5


Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00" Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 6

Summary for Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)


Inflow Area = Inflow = Primary =

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Link 1L: POA1 - Acushnet River (EX)



APPENDIX F - PROPOSED CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 1


Summary for Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions

Runoff 2.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.174 af. Depth> 3.02"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40°

Area (sf)	CN	Description						
541	96	Gravel surface, HSG D						
25,515	98	Paved parking, HSG D						
3,990	89	50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D						
30,046	97 Weighted Average							
4,531		15.08% Pervious Area						
25,515		84.92% Impervious Area						
	Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description							
(min) (feet)	(ft/							
6.0		Direct Entry, Minimum TC						

Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40" Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 3

Summary for Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator

0.690 ac, 84.92% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.02" for 2-Year event 2.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.174 af Inflow Area = Inflow 2.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2.20 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.173 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs / $2\,$

Max. Velocity= 10.44 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.0 min Avg. Velocity = 3.67 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.0 min


Peak Storage= 1 cf @ 12.09 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.31' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 10.36 cfs

12.0" Round Pine

n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior Length= 4.0' Slope= 0.0500'/' Inlet Invert= 6.50', Outlet Invert= 6.30'



Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40"

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 2


Summary for Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment

Runoff 0.17 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.013 af. Depth= 2.26

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40°

Aı	ea (sf)	CN	Description						
	2,910	89	<50% Gras	50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D					
	2,910		100.00% Pervious Area						
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)		Capacity (cfs)	Description				
6.0					Direct Entry, Minimum TC				

Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40" Printed 11/22/2019

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 4

Summary for Pond 2P: Level Spreader

 0.757 ac, 77.42% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.94" for 2-Year event

 2.37 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.185 af

 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.180 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.4 min

 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.180 af

 Inflow Area = 2.37 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Inflow Outflow

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.09' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 655 sf Storage= 313 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 31.4 min calculated for 0.180 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 12.7 min (787.9 - 775.2)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	orage Storage	Description		_
#1	5.5	50' 9	06 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Prismatic) List	ed below (Recalc)	
Elevatio		Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)		
5.5	60	350	0	0		
6.0	00	655	251	251		
6.5	60	655	328	579		
7.0	00	655	328	906		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	6.00'	25.0' long St	narp-Crested Rectangular W	eir 2 End Contraction(s)	

Primary OutFlow Max=2.33 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=6.09' (Free Discharge)
1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 2.33 cfs @ 1.00 fps)

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40" Printed 11/22/2019

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 5

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC


143PopesIsland

Summary for Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)


| Inflow Area = | 0.757 ac, 77.42% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.85" for 2-Year event | Inflow = | 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= | 0.180 af | 2.38 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= | 0.180 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)



Pond 2P: Level Spreader



143PopesIsland

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" Printed 11/22/2019

Prepared by BETA Group Inc.
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/22/2019 Page 7


Summary for Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions

Runoff = 3.14 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.251 af, Depth> 4.37"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
	541	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D)				
	25,515	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)				
	3,990	89	<50% Gras	s cover, Po	or, HSG D				
	30,046	97	Weighted A	verage					
	4,531		15.08% Per	vious Area					
	25,515		84.92% Imp	84.92% Impervious Area					
т.	1	01		0	Di-fi				
Tc		Slop		Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/1	ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)					
6.0					Direct Entry, Minimum TC				

Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions



143PopesIsland

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

Prepared by BETA Group Inc.

Printed 11/22/2019
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 8


Summary for Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment

Runoff = 0.27 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.020 af, Depth> 3.58"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
	2,910	89	89 <50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D						
	2,910		100.00% Pervious Area						
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)		Capacity (cfs)	Description				
6.0					Direct Entry, Minimum TC				

Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment



Printed 11/22/2019 Page 6

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.40"

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 9

Summary for Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator

0.690 ac, 84.92% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.37" for 10-Year event 3.14 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.251 af Inflow Area = 3.14 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 3.14 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Inflow Inflow = Outflow = 0.250 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs / 2


Max. Velocity= 11.53 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.0 min Avg. Velocity = 4.11 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.0 min

Peak Storage= 1 cf @ 12.09 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.38' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 10.36 cfs

12.0" Round Pipe n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior Length= 4.0' Slope= 0.0500'/' Inlet Invert= 6.50', Outlet Invert= 6.30'




Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator



Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 11





Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 10

Summary for Pond 2P: Level Spreader

 0.757 ac,
 77.42% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.27" for 10-Year event

 3.40 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.269 af

 3.41 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.264 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.3 m

 3.41 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.264 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.3 m
 Inflow Area = Inflow Outflow Primary 0.264 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.3 min 0.264 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.12' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 655 sf Storage= 330 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 22.7 min calculated for 0.264 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 9.4 min (780.5 - 771.1)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description	
#1	5.5	60' 90	06 cf Custom	n Stage Data (Prismatic) Liste	ed below (Recalc)
Elevation	n	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
5.5	0	350	0	0	
6.0	0	655	251	251	
6.5	0	655	328	579	
7.0	0	655	328	906	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es	
#1	Primary	6.00'	25.0' long Sh	narp-Crested Rectangular We	eir 2 End Contraction(s)

Primary OutFlow Max=3.34 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=6.12' (Free Discharge) 1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.34 cfs @ 1.13 fps)

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" 143PopesIsland

Printed 11/22/2019

Page 12


Summary for Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)

Inflow Area = Inflow Primary 0.264 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow. Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs. dt= 0.05 hrs

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)



Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00" Printed 11/22/2019

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 13


Summary for Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions

Runoff 4.61 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.372 af. Depth> 6.48"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"

Area (sf)	CN	Description							
541	96	Gravel surface, HSG D							
25,515	98	Paved parking, HSG D							
3,990	89	50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D							
30,046	,046 97 Weighted Average								
4,531		15.08% Pervious Area							
25,515		84.92% Impervious Area							
	Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description								
(min) (feet)	(ft/	/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)							
6.0		Direct Entry, Minimum TC							

Subcatchment 2S: PR-DA-1 - Proposed Conditions



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00" Prepared by BETA Group Inc.
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 15

Summary for Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator

0.690 ac, 84.92% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 6.48" for 100-Year event 4.61 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.372 af Inflow Area = Inflow 4.61 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 4.61 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.369 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs / 2

Max. Velocity= 12.77 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.0 min Avg. Velocity = 4.62 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.0 min


Peak Storage= 1 cf @ 12.09 hrs

Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.47'
Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 10.36 cfs

12.0" Round Pine n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior Length= 4.0' Slope= 0.0500'/' Inlet Invert= 6.50', Outlet Invert= 6.30'



Reach 2R: Hydrodynamic Separator



143PopesIsland

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 14

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"


Summary for Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment

Runoff 0.42 cfs @ 12.09 hrs. Volume= 0.032 af. Depth> 5.69

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"

Aı	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
	2,910	89 -	<50% Gras	50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG D					
	2,910		100.00% Pervious Area						
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)		Capacity (cfs)	Description				
6.0					Direct Entry, Minimum TC				

Subcatchment 3S: PR-DA-1A - Spreader Catchment



143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00" Printed 11/22/2019

Prepared by BETA Group Inc.
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 16

Summary for Pond 2P: Level Spreader

0.757 ac, 77.42% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 6.36" for 100-Year event 5.02 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.401 af 5.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.395 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.3 min Inflow Area = 5.02 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 5.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 5.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Inflow Outflow

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 6.16' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 655 sf Storage= 353 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 15.9 min calculated for 0.395 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 6.7 min (774.8 - 768.1)

Avail.Storage Storage Description

906 cf Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc) Surf.Area Inc.Store Cum.Store Elevation (feet) (sq-ft) (cubic-feet) (cubic-feet) 5.50 350 0 6.00 655 251 251 6.50 7.00 655 655 328 328 579 906

Volume

Invert

Device Routing #1 Primary
 Invert
 Outlet Devices


 6.00'
 25.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir
 2 End Contraction(s)

Primary OutFlow Max=4.93 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=6.15' (Free Discharge) 1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 4.93 cfs @ 1.28 fps)

143PopesIsland Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00"

Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 17

Pond 2P: Level Spreader



Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=7.00" 143PopesIsland


Prepared by BETA Group Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 10405 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/22/2019 Page 18

Summary for Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)

Inflow Area = Inflow = Primary =

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Link 2L: POA1 - Acushnet River (PR)



APPENDIX G - SUPPLEMENTAL CALCULATIONS



JOB True Value Marine Hardware

CALC SLB CHKD DPR

DESC Recharge and Water Quality Volume

NO. 6727 DATE 11/09/19 DATE 11/09/19 SHEET 1 OF 1

Total Impervious Area = 26056 sq. ft.

Net New Impervious Area = -7200 sq. ft.

Net New Pervious Area = 7200 sq. ft.

Required Recharge Volume

Recharge Volume (R_V) Required = New Impervious Area x Runoff Depth (from HSG)

 R_V (Urban Land*) = 0.00 sf. x 0.10 in x 0.083 ft/in = 0 cu. ft.

Total R_V Required = 0 cu. ft.

Provided Recharge Volume

Recharge Volume (R_V) Required = New Impervious Area x Runoff Depth (from HSG)

 R_V (Urban Land*) = 7200 sf. x 0.10 in x 0.083 ft/in = 60 cu. ft.

Total R_v Provided = 60 cu. ft.

Required Water Quality Volume

Water Quality Volume (WQ_V) Required = Impervious Area x Runoff Depth (Excluding roof area)**

 WQ_V (All Areas) = 26,056 sf. x 1.0 in x 0.083 ft/in = 2171 cu. ft.

Total WQ_v Required = 2171 cu. ft.

Provided Water Quality Volume

Total WQ_V Provided = Refer to Sizing Calculations by Manufacturer

Time to Empty - level Spreader Drawdown Time

 T_D (Drawdown Time) = $R_V/(K)(A_S)$

Level Spreader $A_S = Surface Area = 350 sq. ft.$

V = Volume = 250 cu. ft. (Below outlet structure)

Time to Drawdown = Volume below outlet x Infiltration Rate x Surface Area

 $T_D = 250 \text{ cf.} / 0.34 \text{ ft/day x } 0.0417 \text{ day/hr x } 350 \text{ sq. ft.} = 50.4 \text{ hrs}$

^{*} Hydrologic Soil Goup (HSG) D assumed for urban land

^{** 1&}quot; Water Quality Volume used to meet City of New Bedford regulations

^{***0.34} ft/day (0.17 in/hr) inf. rate based on Mass Stormwater Handbook



JOB True Value Marine Hardware NO. 6727 CALC SLB DATE 11/09/19 CHKD DPR DATE 11/09/19 DESC Capacity of Grate Inlets SHEET 1 OF 1

 $Q_i = C_w P d^{1.5}$ HEC-22 Equation 4-26

Q_i = Capacity of Grate Inlet

 $C_{w} = 3.0$

P = Grate Perimeter (ft) (neglect side on curb, if present)

d = average depth of flow over grate (ft)

Proposed Catch Basin

Roadway Cross Slope 0.03 ft/ft or Maximum Allowable Spread 8 ft Grate Perimeter⁽¹⁾ 8 ft

Depth at $Grate^{(2)(3)} = 3.88$ in Grate Capacity = 4.41 cfs

Required Capacity (10-Year) = 2.63 cfs OK (26,500 SF catchment area)

⁽¹⁾Grate perimeter excludes curb

⁽²⁾For depths over 4 inches use HEC-22 Equation 4-27

⁽³⁾ Assumes grate is depressed 1" below pavement



JOB True Value Marine Hardware

CALC SLB CHKD DPR

DESC Sizing of Riprap Aprons

NO. 6727

DATE 11/09/19
DATE 11/09/19

SHEET 10F1

$D_{50} = 0.2D[Q/(g)^{1/2}D^{2.5}]^{4/3}[D/Tw]$

D = Diameter, ft.

g = Accel. of gravity, 32.2 f.p.s.

Q = Discharge rate, c.f.s.

D50 = Riprap size, ft. (minimum)

Tw = Tailwater Depth, ft.(Unknown Tw = 0.4 x D)

	PER VIEW N	Apron	Apron
Class	$D_{50}(in.)$	Length	Depth
1	5	4D	3.5D ₅₀
2	6	4D	3.3D ₅₀
3	10	5D	2.4D ₅₀

Width(at apron end) = 3D+(2/3)L

Note: Formulas taken from HEC No. 14; Publication No. FHWA-NHI-06-086 July 2006

STORMSCEPTOR OUTLET

D = 1.00 ft Q100YR = 4.8 cfs Tw = 0.40 ft D50 = 0.40 ft 4.80 in RIPRAP CLASS = 1

L = 4.00 ft (minimum)

DEPTH = 16.80 in (minimum)

W = 5.67 ft (minimum)





Brief Stormceptor Sizing Report - WQU #1

Project Information & Location			
Project Name	True Value Hardware Project Number 628641		628641
City	NEw Bedford	State/ Province	Massachusetts
Country	United States of America	Date	11/7/2019
Designer Information		EOR Information (optional)	
Name	David Adams	David Adams Name	
Company	Contech Engineered Solutions	Company	Beta Group
Phone #	Phone # 207-885-6191		
Email	dadams@conteches.com	Email	

Stormwater Treatment Recommendation

The recommended Stormceptor Model(s) which achieve or exceed the user defined water quality objective for each site within the project are listed in the below Sizing Summary table.

Site Name	WQU #1	
Target TSS Removal (%)	87	
TSS Removal (%) Provided	93	
Recommended Stormceptor Model	STC 900	

The recommended Stormceptor Model achieves the water quality objectives based on the selected inputs, historical rainfall records and selected particle size distribution.

Stormceptor Sizing Summary		
Stormceptor Model	% TSS Removal Provided	
STC 450i	86	
STC 900	93	
STC 1200	93	
STC 1800	93	
STC 2400	95	
STC 3600	95	
STC 4800	96	
STC 6000	96	
STC 7200	97	
STC 11000	98	
STC 13000	98	
STC 16000	99	
StormceptorMAX	Custom	





Sizing Details				
Drainage	Area	Water Quality Objective		
Total Area (acres)	0.64	TSS Removal	(%)	87.0
Imperviousness %	100.0	Runoff Volume Capture (%)		
Rainfall		Oil Spill Capture Vol	ume (Gal)	
Station Name	HYANNIS	Peak Conveyed Flow Rate (CFS)		
State/Province	Massachusetts	Water Quality Flow Rate (CFS)		
Station ID #	3821	Up Stream Storage		
Years of Records	14	Storage (ac-ft)	Storage (ac-ft) Discharge (cfs)	
Latitude	41°24'0"N	0.000 0.000		000
Longitude	70°10'47"W	Up Stream Flow Diversion		on
		Max. Flow to Stormo	eptor (cfs)	0.00000

Particle Size Distribution (PSD) The selected PSD defines TSS removal			
	OK-110		
Particle Diameter (microns)	Distribution %	Specific Gravity	
1.0	0.0	2.65	
53.0	3.0	2.65	
75.0	15.0	2.65	
88.0	25.0	2.65	
106.0	41.0	2.65	
125.0	15.0	2.65	
150.0	1.0	2.65	
212.0	0.0	2.65	

Notes

For Stormceptor Specifications and Drawings Please Visit: https://www.conteches.com/technical-guides/search?filter=1WBC0O5EYX

[•] Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, which uses the EPA Rainfall and Runoff modules.

[•] Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal defined by the selected PSD, and based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.

[•] For submerged applications or sites specific to spill control, please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further design assistance.

Project: True Value Marine Hardware

Location: New Bedford, MA

Prepared For: BETA Group / Stephen Borgatti



Purpose: To calculate the water quality flow rate (WQF) over a given site area. In this situation the WQF is

derived from the first 1" of runoff from the contributing impervious surface.

Reference: Massachusetts Dept. of Environmental Protection Wetlands Program / United States Department of

Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service TR-55 Manual

Procedure: Determine unit peak discharge using Figure 1 or 2. Figure 2 is in tabular form so is preferred. Using

the tc, read the unit peak discharge (qu) from Figure 1 or Table in Figure 2. qu is expressed in the

following units: cfs/mi²/watershed inches (csm/in).

Compute Q Rate using the following equation:

Q = (qu) (A) (WQV)

where:

Q = flow rate associated with first 1" of runoff

qu = the unit peak discharge, in csm/in.

A = impervious surface drainage area (in square miles)


WQV = water quality volume in watershed inches (1" in this case)

Structure Name	Impv. (acres)	A (miles ²)	t _c (min)	t _c (hr)	WQV (in)	qu (csm/in.)	Q (cfs)
WQU #1	0.64	0.0010000	5.0	0.083	1.00	795.00	0.80



Stormwater Treatment Made Simple!

TSS & Oil Removal ■ Scour Prevention ■ Small Footprint



Environmentally Engineered Stormwater Solutions... that exceed your client's needs!





Stormceptor® is an underground stormwater quality treatment device that is unparalleled in its effectiveness for pollutant capture and retention. With thousands of systems operating worldwide, Stormceptor delivers protection every day in every storm.

With patented technology, optimal treatment occurs by allowing free oil to rise and sediment to settle. The Stormceptor design prohibits scour and release of previously captured pollutants, ensuring superior treatment and protection during even the most extreme storm events.

Stormceptor is very easy to design and provides flexibility under varying site constraints such as tight right-of-ways, zero lot lines and retrofit projects. Design flexibility allows for a cost-effective approach to stormwater treatment. Stormceptor has proven performance backed by the longest record of lab and field verification in the industry.

Tested Performance

■ Fine particle capture

■ Prevents scour or release

95%+ Oil removal

Massachusetts - Water Quality (O) Flow Rate

Stormceptor STC Model	Inside Diameter	Typical Depth Below Inlet Pipe Invert ¹	Water Quality Flow Rate Q ²	Peak Conveyance Flow Rate ³	Hydrocarbon Capacity ⁴	Maximum Sediment Capacity ⁴
	(ft)	(in)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(Gallons)	(ft³)
STC 450i	4	68	0.40	5.5	86	46
STC 900	6	63	0.89	22	251	89
STC 2400	8	104	1.58	22	840	205
STC 4800	10	140	2.47	22	909	543
STC 7200	12	148	3.56	22	1,059	839
STC 11000	2 x 10	142	4.94	48	2,792	1,086
STC 16000	2 x 12	148	7.12	48	3,055	1,677

¹ Depth Below Pipe Inlet Invert to the Bottom of Base Slab, and Maximum Sediment Capacity can vary to accommodate specific site designs and pollutant loads. Depths can vary to accommodate special designs or site conditions. Contact your local representative for assistance.



² Water Quality Flow Rate (Q) is based on 80% annual average TSS removal of the OK110 particle size distribution.

³ Peak Conveyance Flow Rate is based upon ideal velocity of 3 feet per second and outlet pipe diameters of 18-inch, 36-inch, and 54-inch diameters.

⁴ Hydrocarbon & Sediment capacities can be modified to accommodate specific site design requirements, contact your local representative for assistance.

APPENDIX H - SUBMITTALS BY OTHERS



Stormceptor® STC Owner's Manual





Table of Contents

TITLE	. SECTION
Stormceptor Overview	1
Stormceptor Operation & Components	2
Stormceptor Identification	3
Stormceptor Inspection & Maintenance	4
Recommended Stormceptor Inspection Procedure	
Recommended Stormceptor Maintenance Procedure	
Contact Information	5

For patent information, go to www.ContechES.com/ip.

Your selection of a Stormceptor® means that you have chosen the most recognized and efficient stormwater oil/sediment separator available for protecting the environment. Stormceptor is a pollution control device often referred to as a "Hydrodynamic Separator (HDS)" or an "Oil Grit Separator (OGS)", engineered to remove and retain pollutants from stormwater runoff to protect our lakes, rivers and streams from the harmful effects of non-point source pollution.

1 - Stormceptor Overview

Stormceptor is a patented stormwater quality structure most often utilized as a treatment component of the underground storm drain network for stormwater pollution prevention. Stormceptor is designed to remove sediment, total suspended solids (TSS), other pollutants attached to sediment, hydrocarbons and free oil from stormwater runoff. Collectively the Stormceptor provides spill protection and prevents non-point source pollution from entering downstream waterways.

Key benefits of Stormceptor include:

- Removes sediment, suspended solids, debris, nutrients, heavy metals, and hydrocarbons (oil and grease) from runoff and snowmelt.
- Will not scour or re-suspend trapped pollutants.
- · Provides sediment and oil storage.
- Provides spill control for accidents, commercial and industrial developments.
- Easy to inspect and maintain (vacuum truck).
- "STORMCEPTOR" is clearly marked on the access cover (excluding inlet designs).
- Relatively small footprint.
- 3rd Party tested and independently verified.
- Dedicated team of experts available to provide support.

Model Types:

- STC (Standard)
- EOS (Extended Oil Storage)
- OSR (Oil and Sand Removal)
- MAX (Custom designed unit, specific to site)

Configuration Types:

- Inlet unit (accommodates inlet flow entry, and multi-pipe entry)
- In-Line (accommodates multi-pipe entry)
- Submerged Unit (accommodates the site's tailwater conditions)
- Series Unit (combines treatment in two systems)

PLEASE MAINTAIN YOUR STORMCEPTOR

To ensure long-term environmental protection through continued performance as originally designed for your site, Stormceptor must be maintained, as any stormwater treatment practice does. The need for maintenance is determined through inspection of the Stormceptor. Procedures for inspection are provided within this document. Maintenance of the Stormceptor is performed from the surface via vacuum truck.

If you require information about Stormceptor, or assistance in finding resources to facilitate inspections or maintenance of your Stormceptor please call Contech at 1-800-338-1122.

2 – Stormceptor Operation & Components


Stormceptor is a flexibly designed underground stormwater quality treatment device that is unparalleled in its effectiveness for pollutant capture and retention using patented flow separation technology. Stormceptor creates a non-turbulent treatment environment below the insert platform within the system. The insert diverts water into the lower chamber, allowing free oils and debris to rise, and sediment to settle under relatively low velocity conditions. These pollutants are trapped and stored below the insert and protected from large runoff events for later removal during the maintenance procedure.

With thousands of units operating worldwide, Stormceptor delivers reliable protection every day, in every storm. The patented Stormceptor design prohibits the scour and release of captured pollutants, ensuring superior water quality treatment and protection during even the most extreme storm events. Stormceptor's proven performance is backed by the longest record of lab and field verification in the industry.

Stormceptor Schematic and Component Functions

Below are schematics of two common Stormceptor configurations with key components identified and their functions briefly described.

- Manhole access cover provides access to the subsurface components
- Precast reinforced concrete structure provides the vessel's watertight structural support
- Fiberglass insert separates vessel into upper and lower chambers
- **Weir** directs incoming stormwater and oil spills into the lower chamber
- Orifice plate prevents scour of accumulated pollutants
- Inlet drop tee conveys stormwater into the lower chamber
- **Fiberglass skirt** provides double-wall containment of hydrocarbons
- Outlet riser pipe conveys treated water to the upper chamber; primary vacuum line access port for sediment removal
- Oil inspection port primary access for measuring oil depth and oil removal
- Safety grate safety measure to cover riser pipe in the event of manned entry into vessel



3 - Stormceptor Identification

Stormceptor is available in both precast concrete and fiberglass vessels, with precast concrete often being the dominant material of construction.

In the Stormceptor, a patented, engineered fiberglass insert separates the structure into an upper chamber and lower chamber. The lower chamber will remain full of water, as this is where the pollutants are sequestered for later removal. Multiple Stormceptor model (STC, OSR, EOS and MAX) configurations exist, each to be inspected and maintained in a similar fashion.

Each unit is easily identifiable as a Stormceptor by the trade name "Stormceptor" embossed on each access cover at the surface. To determine the location of "inlet" Stormceptor units with horizontal catch basin inlet, look down into the grate as the Stormceptor insert will be visible. The name "Stormceptor" is not embossed on inlet models due to the variability of inlet grates used/approved across North America.

Once the location of the Stormceptor is determined, the model number may be identified by comparing the measured depth from the fiberglass insert level at the outlet pipe's invert (water level) to the bottom of the tank using Table 1.

In addition, starting in 1996 a metal serial number tag containing the model number has been affixed to the inside of the unit, on the fiberglass insert. If the unit does not have a serial number, or if there is any uncertainty regarding the size of the unit using depth measurements, please contact your local Contech Representative for assistance.

Sizes/Models

Typical general dimensions and capacities of the standard precast STC, EOS & OSR Stormceptor models are provided in Tables 1 and 2. Typical rim to invert measurements are provided later in this document. The total depth for cleaning will be the sum of the depth from outlet pipe invert (generally the water level) to rim (grade) and the depth from outlet pipe invert to the precast bottom of the unit. Note that depths and capacities may vary slightly between regions.

Table 1. Stormceptor Dimensions - Insert to Base of Structure		
STC Model	Insert to Base (in.)	
450	60	
900	55	
1200	71	
1800	105	
2400	94	
3600	134	
4800	128	
6000	150	
200	134	
11000*	128	
13000*	150	
16000*	134	

٨	latar	
11	<i>IULES</i>	

^{1.} Depth Below Pipe Inlet Invert to the Bottom of Base Slab can vary slightly by manufacturing facility, and can be modified to accommodate specific site designs, pollutant loads or site conditions. Contact your local representative for assistance.

Table 2. Storage Capacities			
STC Model	Hydrocarbon Storage	Sediment Capacity	
	Capacity (gal)	(ft³)	
450	86	46	
900	251	89	
1200	251	127	
1800	251	207	
2400	840	205	
3600	840	373	
4800	909	543	
6000	909	687	
200	1059	839	
11000*	2797	1089	
13000*	2797	1374	
16000*	3055	1677	

Notes:

4 – Stormceptor Inspection & Maintenance

Regular inspection and maintenance is a proven, cost-effective way to maximize water resource protection for all stormwater pollution control practices, and is required to insure proper functioning of the Stormceptor. Both inspection and maintenance of the Stormceptor is easily performed from the surface. Stormceptor's patented technology has no moving parts, simplifying the inspection and maintenance process.

Please refer to the following information and guidelines before conducting inspection and maintenance activities.

When is inspection needed?

- Post-construction inspection is required prior to putting the Stormceptor into service.
- Routine inspections are recommended during the first year of operation to accurately assess the sediment accumulation.
- Inspection frequency in subsequent years is based on the maintenance plan developed in the first year.
- Inspections should also be performed immediately after oil, fuel, or other chemical spills.

When is maintenance cleaning needed?

 For optimum performance, the unit should be cleaned out once the sediment depth reaches the recommended maintenance sediment depth, which is approximately 15% of the unit's total storage capacity (see Table 3). The frequency should be adjusted based on historical inspection results due to variable site pollutant loading.

- Sediment removal is easier when removed on a regular basis at or prior to the recommended maintenance sediment depths, as sediment build-up can compact making removal more difficult.
- The unit should be cleaned out immediately after an oil, fuel or chemical spill.

What conditions can compromise Stormceptor performance?

- If construction sediment and debris is not removed prior to activating the Stormceptor unit, maintenance frequency may be reduced.
- If the system is not maintained regularly and fills with sediment and debris beyond the capacity as indicated in Table 2, pollutant removal efficiency may be reduced.
- If an oil spill(s) exceeds the oil capacity of the system, subsequent spills may not be captured.
- If debris clogs the inlet of the system, removal efficiency of sediment and hydrocarbons may be reduced.
- If a downstream blockage occurs, a backwater condition may occur for the Stormceptor and removal efficiency of sediment and hydrocarbons may be reduced.

What training is required?

The Stormceptor is to be inspected and maintained by professional vacuum cleaning service providers with experience in the maintenance of underground tanks, sewers and catch basins. For typical inspection and maintenance activities, no specific supplemental training is required

^{*}Consist of two chamber structures in series.

^{1.} Hydrocarbon & Sediment capacities can be modified to accommodate specific site design requirements, contact your local representative for assistance

^{*}Consist of two chamber structures in series

Recommended Stormceptor Inspection Procedure:

- Stormceptor is to be inspected from grade through a standard surface manhole access cover.
- Sediment and oil depth inspections are performed with a sediment probe and oil dipstick.
- Oil depth is measured through the oil inspection port, either a 4-inch or 6-inch diameter port.
- Sediment depth can be measured through the oil inspection port or the 24-inch diameter outlet riser pipe.
- Inspections also involve a visual inspection of the internal components of the system.


Figure 3.



What equipment is typically required for maintenance?

- Vacuum truck equipped with water hose and jet nozzle
- Small pump and tubing for oil removal
- Manhole access cover lifting tool
- Oil dipstick / Sediment probe with ball valve (typically ³/₄-inch to 1-inch diameter)
- Flashlight
- Camera
- Data log / Inspection Report
- Safety cones
- Hard hats, safety shoes, safety glasses, chemical-resistant gloves, and hearing protection for service providers
- Gas analyzer, respiratory gear, hoist and safety harness for specially trained personnel if confined space entry is required

Figure 4.




Recommended Stormceptor Maintenance Procedure

Maintenance of Stormceptor is performed using a vacuum truck. No entry into the unit is required for maintenance. DO NOT ENTER THE STORMCEPTOR CHAMBER unless you have the proper personal safety equipment, have been trained and are qualified to enter a confined space, as identified by local Occupational Safety and Health Regulations (e.g. 29 CFR 1910.146). Without the proper equipment, training and permit, entry into confined spaces can result in serious bodily harm and potentially death. Consult local and/or state regulations to determine the requirements for confined space entry. Be aware, and take precaution that the Stormceptor fiberglass insert may be slippery. In addition, be aware that some units do not have a safety grate to cover the outlet riser pipe that leads to the submerged, lower chamber.

- Ideally maintenance should be conducted during dry weather conditions when no flow is entering the unit.
- Stormceptor is to be maintained through a standard surface manhole access cover.
- Insert the oil dipstick into the oil inspection port. If oil is present, pump off the oil layer into separate containment using a small pump and tubing.
- Maintenance cleaning of accumulated sediment is performed with a vacuum truck.
 - For 6-ft diameter models and larger, the vacuum hose is inserted into the lower chamber via the 24-inch outlet riser pipe (See Fig. 5).
 - For 4-ft diameter model, the removable drop tee is lifted out, and the vacuum hose is inserted into the lower chamber via the 12-inch drop tee hole (See Fig. 6).

Figure 5.



- Using the vacuum hose, decant the water from the lower chamber into a separate containment tank or to the sanitary sewer, if permitted by the local regulating authority.
- Remove the sediment sludge from the bottom of the unit using the vacuum hose. For large Stormceptor units, a flexible hose is often connected to the primary vacuum line for ease of movement in the lower chamber.
- Units that have not been maintained regularly, have surpassed the maximum recommended sediment capacity, or contain damaged components may require manned entry by trained personnel using safe and proper confined space entry procedures.

What is required for proper disposal?

The requirements for the disposal of material removed from Stormceptor units are similar to that of any other stormwater treatment Best Management Practices (BMP). Local guidelines should be consulted prior to disposal of the separator contents. In most areas the sediment, once dewatered, can be disposed of in a sanitary landfill. It is not anticipated that the sediment would be classified as hazardous waste. This could be site and pollutant dependent. In some cases, approval from the disposal facility operator/agency may be required.

What about oil spills?

Stormceptor is often implemented in areas where there is high potential for oil, fuel or other hydrocarbon or chemical spills. Stormceptor units should be cleaned immediately after a spill occurs by a licensed liquid waste hauler. You should also notify the appropriate regulatory agencies as required in the event of a spill.

What if I see an oil rainbow or sheen at the Stormceptor outlet?

With a steady influx of water with high concentrations of oil, a sheen may be noticeable at the Stormceptor outlet. This may occur because a hydrocarbon rainbow or sheen can be seen at very small oil concentrations (< 10 ppm). Stormceptor is effective at removing 95% of free oil, and the appearance of a sheen at the outlet with high influent oil concentrations does not mean unit is not working to this level of removal. In addition, if the influent oil is emulsified, the Stormceptor will not be able to remove it. The Stormceptor is designed for free oil removal and not emulsified or dissolved oil conditions.

What factors affect the costs involved with inspection/maintenance?

The Vacuum Service Industry for stormwater drainage and sewer systems is a well-established sector of the service industry that cleans underground tanks, sewers and catch basins. Costs to clean Stormceptor units will vary. Inspection and maintenance costs are most often based on unit size, the number of units on a site, sediment/oil/hazardous material loads, transportation distances, tipping fees, disposal requirements and other local regulations.

What factors predict maintenance frequency?

Maintenance frequency will vary with the amount of pollution on your site (number of hydrocarbon spills, amount of sediment, site activity and use, etc.). It is recommended that the frequency of maintenance be increased or reduced based on local conditions. If the sediment load is high from an unstable site or sediment loads transported from upstream catchments, maintenance may be required semi-annually. Conversely once a site has stabilized, maintenance may be required less frequently (for example: two to seven year, site and situation dependent). Maintenance should be performed immediately after an oil spill or once the sediment depth in Stormceptor reaches the value specified in Table 3 based on the unit size.

Table 3. Recommended Sediment Depths Indicating Maintenance		
STC Model	Maintenance Sediment Depth (in)	
450	8	
900	8	
1200	10	
1800	15	
2400	12	
3600	17	
4800	15	
6000	18	
200	15	
11000*	17	
13000*	20	
16000*	17	

Notes:

Replacement parts

Since there are no moving parts during operation in a Stormceptor, broken, damaged, or worn parts are not typically encountered. Therefore, inspection and maintenance activities are generally focused on pollutant removal. However, if replacements parts are necessary, they may be purchased by contacting your local Contech Representative or call 800-338-1122.

The benefits of regular inspection and maintenance are many – from ensuring maximum operation efficiency, to keeping maintenance costs low, to the continued protection of natural waterways – and provide the key to Stormceptor's long and effective service life.

^{1.} The values above are for typical standard units.

^{*} Per structure.

Stormceptor Inspection and Maintenance Log
Stormceptor Model No:
Allowable Sediment Depth:
Serial Number:
Installation Date:
Location Description of Unit:
Other Comments:

5 - Contact Information

Questions regarding the Stormceptor can be addressed by contacting your local Contech representative or by calling 800-338-1122.



SUPPORT

- Drawings and specifications are available at www.ContechES.com.
- Site-specific design support is available from our engineers.

©2019 Contech Engineered Solutions LLC, a QUIKRETE Company

Contech Engineered Solutions LLC provides site solutions for the civil engineering industry. Contech's portfolio includes bridges, drainage, sanitary sewer, stormwater, and earth stabilization products. For information, visit www.ContechES.com or call 800.338.1122

NOTHING IN THIS CATALOG SHOULD BE CONSTRUED AS A WARRANTY. APPLICATIONS SUGGESTED HEREIN ARE DESCRIBED ONLY TO HELP READERS MAKE THEIR OWN EVALUATIONS AND DECISIONS, AND ARE NEITHER GUARANTEES NOR WARRANTIES OF SUITABILITY FOR ANY APPLICATION. CONTECH MAKES NO WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, RELATED TO THE APPLICATIONS, MATERIALS, COATINGS, OR PRODUCTS DISCUSSED HEREIN. ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED BY CONTECH. SEE CONTECH'S CONDITIONS OF SALE (AVAILABLE AT WWW.CONTECHES.COM/COS) FOR MORE INFORMATION.

APPENDIX I DRAWINGS

