
Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds

April 2010 Monitoring Round



Prepared for:

Department of Environmental Stewardship

City of New Bedford 133 William Street New Bedford, Massachusetts 02740

Prepared by:

TRC Environmental Corporation

Wannalancit Mills 650 Suffolk Street Lowell, Massachusetts 01854 (978) 970-5600

December 2010

Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds

April 2010 Monitoring Round

Prepared for:

Department of Environmental Stewardship

City of New Bedford 133 William Street New Bedford, Massachusetts 02740

Prepared by:

TRC Environmental Corporation

Wannalancit Mills 650 Suffolk Street Lowell, Massachusetts 01854 (978) 970-5600

TRC Project No. 115058

December 2010

DISCLAIMER

This report is intended for use solely by the City of New Bedford (City), for the specific purposes described in the contractual documents between TRC Environmental Corporation and the City. All professional services performed and reports generated by TRC have been prepared for the City's purposes as described in the contract. The information, statements and conclusions contained in the report have been prepared in accordance with the work statement and contract terms and conditions. The report may be subject to differing interpretations and/or may be misinterpreted by third persons or entities who were not involved in the investigative or consultation process. TRC Environmental Corporation therefore expressly disclaims any liability to persons other than the City who may use or rely upon this report in any way or for any purpose.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) of Lowell, Massachusetts was retained by the City of New Bedford (the City) to provide sampling support in conducting foundation vent stack and indoor air sampling for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) at the Keith Middle School (KMS) in New Bedford, Massachusetts. This report documents the indoor air and vent stack sampling performed by TRC during April 2010.

The sampling and analysis of vent stack and indoor air for KMS is described in the approved *Long-Term Monitoring and Maintenance Plan* (LTMMIP), revision 4, dated October 20, 2006. The indoor air quality sampling program involved the collection of one indoor air quality sample from the ground floor of each of the three school building sections (Building A, Building B, and Building C). Concurrently with the indoor air quality sampling, air sampling of the sub-slab foundation ventilation system was performed from four selected rooftop vent stacks, including VS-1 and VS-4 which vent building Section A (classrooms), VS-7 which vents building Section B (near the Auditorium), and VS-12 which vents building Section C (near the Gymnasium). The passive sub-slab ventilation system was installed to allow any sub-slab soil gases to migrate from beneath the vapor barrier to the vent stacks, installed through the school building roof. Air samples were also collected immediately outside of the school during this round to provide comparative background results.

Following collection, the samples were analyzed for VOCs according to EPA Method TO-15 (VOCs in Air) by Alpha Woods Hole Labs of Westborough, Massachusetts and PCBs according to EPA Method 680 (PCB Homologues) by Northeast Analytical Labs of Schenectady, New York. Though this PCB method was not specified in the LTMMIP, the homologue analytical method is a reliable analytical method to quantify total PCBs. By quantifying PCB homologues, total PCB air data gathered at the KMS are directly comparable to total PCB air data gathered at New Bedford High School.

During the April 2010 sampling round, VOCs were detected in indoor air and vent stack air samples, and PCBs were detected in the three indoor air samples and one of the outdoor air background samples. However, PCBs were not detected in any of the vent stack air samples or in the second outdoor air background sample. It should be noted that PCB vent stack air and outdoor air detection limits were well below applicable criteria. The presence of VOCs in vent stack air samples is an expected finding for a sub-slab ventilation system and indicates that the passive ventilation system is performing as designed. The presence of VOCs in vent stack air may also be indicative of off-gassing from the venting system components in addition to subsurface VOCs entering the venting system.

VOCs are present in indoor air due to off-gassing from building materials and the storage and use of cleaners, adhesives, paints, and other VOC-containing products indoors at the school. Detected concentrations for PCBs in indoor air samples were generally consistent with urban ambient air background levels. Based on the August 2009, December 2009/February 2010 and April 2010 indoor air PCB results, it appears that the higher concentrations detected in indoor air in April 2009 relative to previous sampling rounds are an anomaly and not part of a trend. Levels of PCBs and VOCs detected in indoor air demonstrate fluctuations in measured

L2010-544 ES-1

concentrations over time due to: 1) the degree of building air exchange that occurs during normal school operation (i.e., open conditions) versus vacation periods when the school is not in session (i.e., closed conditions); 2) changes in ambient temperatures that may increase or decrease the off-gassing of VOCs from indoor building materials, as well as fugitive emissions from VOC-containing products in storage; 3) the degree to which activities within the school building (e.g., cleaning and repairs) are contributing to indoor air concentrations of VOCs; and 4) reductions in building material related VOC emission sources over time.

PCB indoor air concentrations and vent stack air detection limits were compared to site-specific outdoor air concentrations and risk-based air concentrations (RBACs). Two PCB RBACs have been developed for the KMS, assuming occupational exposures within the school (8 hours/day, 250 days/year, for 25 years). The first RBAC is the Action Level (AL; 0.05 ug/m³), which is used as an initial indicator that PCB air concentrations above background levels have been detected. The second RBAC is the Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC; 0.3 ug/m³), indicative of the maximum acceptable air concentration that should not be exceeded for an extended time period. PCB indoor air concentrations were also compared to EPA's Public Health Level (PHL) (USEPA, 2009; 0.45 ug/m³) developed to be protective of indoor school air exposures for adult employees and 12 to <15 year-old students. Indoor air PCB concentrations and vent stack air PCB detection limits were lower than RBACs and EPA's PHL.

VOC data were compared to MassDEP Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs), published in December 1995, consistent with the LTMMIP. TELs are developed to be applicable to short-term exposure concentrations (average 24-hour levels) while AALs are developed to be protective of long-term exposure concentrations (average annual levels over 30 years). Because TELs and AALs have not been updated since 1995, VOC concentrations in excess of AALs and TELs were discussed relative to EPA screening levels (EPA SLs) developed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (2010) to be protective of continuous long-term residential exposures and shorter-term commercial exposures, using the most current toxicity information available. Because AALs, TELs, and EPA SLs (after adjustment to correspond to a lower noncancer threshold) are set at risk levels that are only a portion of the MassDEP risk management criteria, concentrations that slightly exceed (i.e., less than 5-fold) one or more comparison criteria are unlikely to be a cause for concern. VOC concentrations in excess of comparison criteria were also compared to MassDEP indoor air background values, used by MassDEP in the development of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) numeric standards, and Indoor Air Threshold Values (IATVs), developed by MassDEP considering typical indoor air background concentrations and MassDEP risk management criteria. MassDEP considers investigation of the vapor intrusion pathway to be unnecessary when measured indoor air concentrations are at or below IATVs, assuming that the indoor air results are consistent with other site information and that adequate sampling has been performed.

Among all indoor air samples, three VOCs (benzene, chloroform, and tetrachloroethene) exceeded one or more comparison criteria. All three of these compounds were detected at concentrations below their corresponding MassDEP indoor air background value and IATV.

L2010-544 ES-2

The LTMMIP specifies that the LSP-of-Record should submit the indoor air data to a toxicologist/risk assessor for further assessment if indoor air VOC concentrations exceed TELs, AALs, or 150% of outdoor air background concentrations. Further quantitative assessment of the indoor air data indicated that VOC concentrations were associated with a condition of no significant risk to potentially exposed individuals.

In vent stack air, ten VOCs (1,3-dichlorobenzene, 2-butaonone, acetone, benzene, chloroform, ethanol, ethylbenzene, methyl tert butyl ether, tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene) exceeded risk-based comparison criteria. Even though the LTMMIP specifies that both indoor air and vent stack air VOC concentrations are to be compared to comparison criteria, this comparison is not appropriate for vent stack air results. The vent system is designed to capture VOCs potentially migrating from the subsurface beneath the KMS and transport the gases through PVC piping to outdoor air, mitigating migration through the building slab and into indoor air. Little if any human exposure to air within the vent stack system itself takes place. Air from the vent stack is vented to outdoor air on the roof of the building where the VOCs are quickly diluted and dispersed. Therefore, comparison of vent stack air results to comparison criteria developed assuming short-term (24-hour) and long-term exposure is highly conservative, if not conceptually irrelevant.

Temporal trends show that VOC concentrations have been decreasing in indoor air, suggesting that off-gassing from the newly constructed school building is diminishing over time. The sporadic detection of slightly higher VOC concentrations compared to those typically detected when the school is normally occupied is noted during the winter, spring and summer school vacation periods. During the vacation periods, the building is experiencing lower than normal air exchange and the indoor use of VOC-containing cleaning products and repair materials increases. Low-level fluctuations in PCB concentrations in indoor air are representative of background conditions. Measured concentrations of PCBs and VOCs in vent stack air are expected, and indicate that the passive ventilation system is performing as designed. Fluctuations in PCB vent stack air concentrations and decreasing vent stack air VOC concentrations suggest that the range of measured concentrations is representative of typical conditions within the subsurface ventilation system and that off-gassing from the system is diminishing over time. In addition, the human health risk calculations indicate that there is no significant risk associated with the occupancy of KMS.

L2010-544 ES-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sectio	o <u>n</u>	<u>Page</u>
EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 1.2	OverviewScope of Work	
2.0	SAMPLING LOCATIONS	2-1
2.1 2.2	Indoor Air Quality Sample LocationsFoundation Vent Air Monitoring Sample Locations	
3.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE	3-1
3.1 3.2 3.3	Data Validation Summary TO-15 - Persistent Laboratory-Derived Contaminants Collocated Sampler Precision	3-2
4.0	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	4-1
4.1 4.2	Indoor Air Quality Results Vent Stack Air Results	
5.0	COMPARISON OF PCB RESULTS TO RISK-BASED AIR CONCENTRATIONS	5-1
5.1 5.2	Indoor Air Vent Stack Air	
6.0	COMPARISON OF VOC RESULTS TO COMPARISON CRITERIA	6-1
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Indoor Air Vent Stack Air Risk Characterization for Indoor Air Trend Analysis for VOCs	6-3
6.5	Recommended Modifications to the LTMMIP	
7.0	CONCLUSIONS	7-1
8.0	REFERENCES	8-1

i

TABLES

Table 2-1.	April 2010 Sample Summary
Table 3-1.	Comparison of VOC Indoor Air Sample Results - Collocated Sampler Precision
Table 3-2.	Comparison of VOC Vent Stack Air Sample Results - Collocated Sampler
	Precision
Table 4-1.	Indoor Air Quality Sample Results – April 2010
Table 4-2.	Vent Stack Sample Results – April 2010
Table 5-1.	Comparison of PCB Indoor Air Quality Samples Results to Risk-Based Air Concentrations – April 2010
Table 5-2.	Comparison of PCB Vent Stack Air Sample Results to Risk-Based Air Concentrations – April 2010
Table 6-1.	Comparison of VOC Indoor Air Quality Sample Results to Comparison Criteria April 2010
Table 6-2.	Comparison of VOC Vent Stack Air Sample Results to Comparison Criteria – April 2010
FIGURES	
Figure 2-1.	Indoor Air Sampling Locations
Figure 2-2.	Vent Stack Sample Locations
Figure 5-1.	Total PCB Trends in KMS Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Samples – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 5-2.	KMS Vent Stack PCB Trends – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 6-1.	VOC Trends in KMS Building A (IAQ) – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 6-2.	VOC Trends in KMS Building B (IAQ) – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 6-3.	VOC Trends in KMS Building C (IAQ) – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 6-4.	VOC Trends in KMS Vent Stack VS-1 – August 2006 through April 2010
Figure 6-5.	VOC Trends in KMS Vent Stack VS-4 – August 2006 through April 2010
APPENDICI	E S
Appendix A	Summary of Field Sampling Program, Analytical Program, Quality Assurance, and Inventory of Cleaning Supplies used at KMS
Appendix B	Field Sampling Data Sheets
Appendix C	Field Reduced Data
Appendix D	Equipment Calibration Sheets
Appendix E	Laboratory Data Reports (on CD)
Appendix F	Laboratory Data Validation Memoranda
Appendix G	Discussion of Risk-Based Comparison Criteria
Annendix H	Indoor Air Risk Calculations - Commercial Worker

L2010-544 ii

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) of Lowell, Massachusetts was retained by the City of New Bedford (the City) to provide sampling support in conducting foundation vent stack and indoor air sampling for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) at the Keith Middle School (KMS) in New Bedford, Massachusetts. This report documents the indoor air and vent stack sampling performed by TRC during April 2010.

Soil gas sampling was performed under the location of the KMS building in December 2001. In addition to PCBs present in soil at this location, the primary VOCs detected in the soil gas samples included acetone, 2-butanone, cyclohexane, ethanol, heptane, n-hexane, and toluene. Lesser concentrations of benzene, carbon disulfide, ethylbenzene, methyl tert butyl ether, tetrachloroethene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and xylenes were also detected in soil gas samples. The results of the December 2001 soil gas sampling event were evaluated for potential adverse impacts on indoor air quality, assuming no vapor barrier was installed. Despite the conclusion that no significant risk to human health is posed by the measured soil gas concentrations, the City and School Department decided to install a vapor barrier on top of the soil beneath the school building concrete floor as an added layer of protection against intrusion of any gases that may accumulate under the building. Passive ventilation has been installed to allow any sub-slab soil gases to migrate from beneath the vapor barrier to the vent stacks, installed through the school building roof. Sampling of indoor air quality and vent stack air is conducted to confirm the proper functioning of the passive ventilation system.

PCBs and VOCs have historically been detected in both indoor air and vent stack air samples. However, concentrations of PCBs and VOCs in indoor air samples are consistently lower than those observed in vent stack air samples. VOCs are present in indoor air due to off-gassing from building materials and the storage and use of cleaners, adhesives, paints, and other VOCcontaining products indoors at the school. An inventory of cleaning supplies used at KMS and their ingredients is provided in Appendix A. Concentrations of PCBs detected in indoor air samples are consistent with background levels measured in outdoor air samples collected simultaneously. Levels of PCBs and VOCs detected in indoor air fluctuate and demonstrate noticeable trends in measured concentrations over time due to: 1) the degree of building air exchange that occurs during normal school operation (i.e., open conditions) versus vacation periods when the school is not in session (i.e., closed conditions); 2) changes in ambient temperatures that may increase or decrease the off-gassing from indoor building materials, as well as fugitive emissions from VOC-containing products in storage; 3) the degree to which activities within the school building (e.g., cleaning and repairs) are contributing to indoor air concentrations; and 4) reductions in building material related VOC emission sources over time. The presence of higher levels of VOCs and PCBs in vent stack air samples is an expected finding for a sub-slab ventilation system and indicates that the passive ventilation system is performing as designed. The presence of VOCs in vent stack air may also be indicative of off-gassing from the venting system components in addition to subsurface VOCs.

Although PCBs and VOCs have been measured historically in indoor air and vent stack air samples, the concentrations detected do not pose a significant risk to human health, based on the comparison of concentrations to both background concentrations and applicable risk-based criteria (TRC, 2008a, 2008b, 2008c, 2008d, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c and 2010).

This report presents monitoring data collected during April 2010. The remaining sections of the report include Section 2 (Sampling Locations), Section 3 (Quality Assurance), Section 4 (Summary of Results), Section 5 (Comparison of PCB Results to Risk-Based Air Concentrations), Section 6 (Comparison of VOC Results to Comparison Criteria), Section 7 (Conclusions), and Section 8 (References). Supporting appendices include Appendix A (Summary of Field Sampling Program, Analytical Program and Quality Assurance), Appendix B (Field Sampling Data Sheets), Appendix C (Field Reduced Data), Appendix D (Equipment Calibration Sheets), Appendix E (Laboratory Data Reports), Appendix F (Laboratory Data Validation Memoranda), Appendix G (Discussion of Risk-Based Comparison Criteria) and Appendix H (Indoor Air Risk Calculations – Commercial Worker).

1.2 Scope of Work

Sampling and analysis of vent stack and indoor air is performed as part of United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved *Long-Term Monitoring and Maintenance Plan* (LTMMIP), revision 4, dated October 20, 2006. The LTMMIP was prepared by The BETA Group, Incorporated (BETA) in accordance with the August 31, 2005 *Approval for Risk-Based PCB Cleanup and Disposal under 40 CFR §761.6(c)* letter issued by EPA to the City. The LTMMIP set forth a vent stack and indoor air sampling schedule consisting of three monitoring events per year for the first year (July/August, December, April 2007), with the understanding that the City may submit a written request to EPA to reduce the indoor air sampling frequency after the first year of monitoring. However, per the order of the Mayor of the City, vent stack and indoor air monitoring took place monthly during the period of September 2006 to July/August 2007. Following the July/August sampling event, monitoring was reduced to once every four months, consistent with the LTMMIP. The April 2010 sampling event was the eighth subsequent event following the July/August 2007 event. Monitoring from September 2006 through February 2007 was conducted by BETA and is reported elsewhere.

The sampling program consisted of the collection of indoor air quality and vent stack samples for the analysis of PCBs and VOCs. Details concerning the sample collection procedures and analytical methods are described in Appendix A. Sampling data sheets are provided in Appendix B and the reduced data are presented in Appendix C. The calibration certifications can be found in Appendix D. Laboratory analytical results are presented in Appendix E.

Field sampling data were validated by the Field Team Leader and/or the Field Quality Control Coordinator based on their review of adherence to each approved sampling protocol and written sample collection procedure. Details concerning quality assurance procedures are described in Appendix A. The laboratory data validation memoranda can be found in Appendix F.

The following sections describe those features of the field sampling program, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program, and data analysis that are specific to the April 2010

event. Generic information on the sampling and QA/QC programs and data analysis procedures can be found in Appendices A and G, respectively.

2.0 SAMPLING LOCATIONS

2.1 Indoor Air Quality Sample Locations

During the sampling event, one indoor air quality sample was collected from the ground floor of each of the three school building sections (Building A, Building B, and Building C). Each sampling location was selected to be representative of portions of the school building normally occupied by students and teachers. The Building A sampling location is located within a hallway in an area of student classrooms. The Building B sampling location is located in the school auditorium. The Building C sampling location is in a faculty dining area. These indoor air quality sampling locations have remained consistent throughout TRC's sampling program, with the exception of the December 2007 Building B sample which was collected in the school cafeteria at the request of the City. One sample and a duplicate were also collected immediately outside of the school to provide comparative background results for ambient air.

Figure 2-1 presents the approximate locations of the indoor air quality sample locations. Table 2-1 summarizes the indoor air quality samples collected during the April 2010 sampling event. Indoor air quality samples collected during the April 2010 sampling event were designated with the letter A, B, or C to identify the building section from which the sample was collected and a unique sample identification suffix, indicating the sampling event number (e.g., A-23).

2.2 Foundation Vent Air Monitoring Sample Locations

The KMS foundation venting system is comprised of six sub-slab vapor collection zones, each vented by two or four vent stacks penetrating the roof. A total of four vent stacks are sampled during each round, including VS-1 and VS-4 which vent from the two collection zones located under building Section A (classrooms), and two other vent stacks which are rotated to cover the remaining collection zones. One air sample is collected immediately outside of the school during each round to provide comparative background results.

Figure 2-2 presents the approximate locations of the vent stack sample locations. Table 2-1 summarizes the vent stack samples collected during the April 2010 sampling event. Vent stack samples collected during the April 2010 sampling event were designated with the vent stack number (e.g., VS-1) and a unique sample identification suffix indicating the sampling event number (e.g., VS-1-23).

3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

This section highlights the results of the QA/QC review for the April 2010 sampling event. Please refer to Appendix A for additional QA/QC details.

3.1 Data Validation Summary

In general, the TO-4A data from samples collected April 20, 2010 as well as TO-10A data from samples collected April 21, 2010 appear to be valid as reported and may be used for decision-making purposes.

Potential high bias did exist for trichlorobiphenyl and total PCBs in sample B-23(PUF) and trichlorobiphenyl, tetrachlorobiphenyl, pentachlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample A-23 (PUF) on account of high surrogate spike recoveries. Potential bias did also exist for dichlorobiphenyl, trichlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample C-23 (PUF); trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs in sample B-23 (PUF); trichlorobiphenyl, tetrachlorobiphenyl, pentachlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample A-23 (PUF); and trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs in samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23-DUP (PUF) due to high laboratory control sample recoveries. The results for these analytes in the aforementioned samples should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "J" qualifier) due to these nonconformances.

In addition, potential uncertainty exists for the field duplicate pair, samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23-DUP (PUF) exhibited high relative percent differences for trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs. Due to this nonconformance the positive and nondetect results for the analytes aforementioned in samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23-DUP (PUF) should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "J" or "UJ" qualifier).

The TO-15 data also appear to be valid as reported and may be used for decision-making purposes.

The positive and nondetect results for vinyl acetate, hexane, bromoform, styrene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and hexachlorobutadiene should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "J" or "UJ" qualifier) in all samples due to continuing calibration nonconformances.

Potential uncertainty exists for the field duplicate pair; samples VS-7-23 and VS-7-23-DUP exhibited a high relative percent difference for acetone. Due to this nonconformance, the positive results for the acetone in samples VS-7-23 and VS-7-23-DUP should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "J" qualifier).

In addition, the presence of a number of analytes could not be confirmed in samples VS-7-23, VS-23-DUP, VS-1-23, VS-12-23, and VS-4-23 due to non-target compound interferences. The nondetect results for chloromethane, Freon-114, and isopropanol in samples VS-7-23, VS-23-DUP, and VS-1-23 should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "UJ" qualifier). The nondetect results for chloromethane, Freon-114, acetone, and

isopropanol in sample VS-12-23 should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "UJ" qualifier). The nondetect result for chloromethane VS-4-23 should be considered estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "UJ" qualifier).

The results for a number of analytes were qualified as nondetect due to the presence of contamination in quality control samples. The results for carbon disulfide in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-1-23, and VS-4-23 were qualified as nondetect due to contamination detected in the trip blank sample. The results for Freon 113 in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-4-23, and VS-BG-23 were qualified as nondetect due to contamination detected in the canister certification sample. These results have been presented as less than the result reported by the laboratory.

Due to possible co-elution with non-target compounds the presence of isopropanol, acetone, and chloromethane could not be confirmed in a number of samples, and therefore the results may be biased high. The results for isopropanol in sample VS-4-23, acetone in samples VS-BG-23, BG-23, and BG-23DUP and chloromethane and acetone in samples C-23, B-23, and A-23 should be qualified as estimated (identified in data summary tables presented herein with a "J" qualifier).

3.2 TO-15 - Persistent Laboratory-Derived Contaminants

Based upon review of quality control data, TRC has determined that the results for four compounds reported throughout this report (acetone, ethanol, isopropanol, and methylene chloride) were influenced by laboratory-derived contamination and hence do not reflect actual vent stack and indoor air concentrations at KMS. This conclusion is supported by: 1) the high concentrations of these compounds in contrast to other VOCs within samples; 2) TRC experience with these same compounds when using EPA Method TO-15A on prior programs; and 3) concentrations over time do not follow trends observed for other VOCs known to be associated with products in storage and use at the KMS.

3.3 Collocated Sampler Precision

The collocated sampler data for the two pairs collected at the KMS during the April 2010 sampling event are summarized in Tables 3-1 and 3-2 for the indoor air and vent stack air samples, respectively. Results are provided for each of the analytes measured in the sampler pair in units of micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³). Method precision is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) value derived on a parameter specific basis.

EPA Method TO-15 identifies a data quality goal/objective of +/-25% RPD for analytes measured in replicate or collocated samples with detected results greater than two times the reporting limit. RPDs were calculated for ten compounds detected in the indoor air samples, as shown on Table 3-1. RPDs were not calculated for most of the compounds analyzed since the majority of results were reported as non-detects (i.e., very few compounds were detected) and RPDs are not calculated when one or both of the collocated results are non-detect. The collocated result for acetone (59.08) in samples BG-23 and BG-23-DUP does not meet the

acceptance criteria. The high RPD may be the result of co-elution of acetone with non-target compounds, as discussed in the Section 3.1. However, in most cases, the collocated non-detects show good agreement, although values in both samples could not be quantified. RPDs were calculated for fifteen compounds detected in the vent stack samples, as shown on Table 3-2. All results for which an RPD could be calculated were less than 25%, for the sampling event conducted in April 2010. RPD data can be used to identify if differences in measured concentrations are attributable to actual concentration differences or if they are within the precision of the sampling and analytical procedure.

EPA Method TO-4A identifies a data quality goal/objective of +/-25% RPD for analytes measured in replicate or collocated samples with detected results greater than two times the reporting limit. RPDs were calculated for Total PCBs detected in the indoor air samples, as shown on Table 3-1. PCBs were detected in the background (BG) duplicate samples, collected in April 2010. Collocated results for trichlorobiphenyl (114.98 RPD) were greatly above the acceptance criteria. Due to the differences in concentrations for this homologue between the field duplicate pair, the results for Total PCBs (114.98 RPD) in the pair also do not meet the acceptance criteria. The high RPD may be the result of high bias due to a high percent recovery of trichlorobiphenyl in the laboratory control sample, as discussed in the Section 3.1.

4.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The following section describes the findings from the sampling events conducted by TRC at the KMS during April 2010. The April 2010 sampling occurred during the school vacation time period. Table 2-1 provides a summary of the types, numbers, and locations of the samples collected. Appendices E and F contain the laboratory data reports and data validation memoranda, respectively. Along with the samples, TO-4A, TO-15, and TO-10A trip blanks were analyzed as a quality assurance measure. PCBs and VOCs were not detected in the indoor air quality or vent stack trip blanks, except for carbon disulfide which was detected at a concentration of 0.622 ug/m³ in the vent stack trip blank. Carbon disulfide was not detected in the vent stack air samples or in the outdoor background sample. Trip blanks are used as a check on shipping and laboratory-related sources of contamination.

TRC believes that the results for four compounds reported throughout this report (acetone, ethanol, methylene chloride and isopropanol) were influenced by laboratory derived contamination and hence do not reflect actual vent stack and indoor air concentrations at the KMS, as previously discussed in more detail in Section 3.2.

A trend analysis of VOC concentrations over time is presented in Section 6.4. VOCs detected in the indoor air samples are believed to be associated with the storage and use of cleaners, adhesives, paint, and other VOC-containing products as well as building construction materials. This finding is based upon sporadic measurements of slightly higher VOC concentrations noted during the winter, spring and summer school vacation periods when the building is experiencing lower than normal air exchange and the indoor use of VOC-containing cleaning products and repair materials increases. Overall, VOC concentrations are decreasing in indoor air suggesting that off-gassing from the newly constructed school building is diminishing over time. Low level fluctuations of PCB concentrations in indoor air are generally consistent with urban indoor background levels. Measured concentrations of PCBs and VOCs in vent stack air are expected, and indicate that the passive ventilation system is performing as designed.

4.1 Indoor Air Quality Results

On April 20, 2010, TRC collected three indoor and two outdoor background (with a duplicate) 24-hour TO-4A and TO-15 air samples at the KMS. Table 4-1 provides a summary of results for all compounds that have been found one or more times within the indoor air quality samples.

PCBs were detected in the three indoor air samples collected, and also in the background outdoor air samples. Total PCB detections ranged from 0.006163 ug/m³ in the Building A sample to 0.000384 ug/m³ in the Building B sample duplicate. The total PCB concentration in the background outdoor air sample was 0.000061 ug/m³ (the duplicate background air concentration was 0.000226 ug/m³).

A total of 16 VOCs were detected in the three indoor air quality samples and/or outdoor air background samples collected during April 2010. Eleven VOCs (2-butanone, acetone, benzene, chloroform, chloromethane, difluorodichloromethane, ethanol, ethylbenzene, propylene, tetrachloroethene, toluene and trichlorofluoromethane) were detected in the three indoor air

samples and both of the background location samples. The indoor air concentrations of each of these VOCs were similar to those detected in the outdoor air background samples, though the highest concentrations of all VOCs except chloromethane were observed in the indoor air samples. The highest concentrations of chloromethane were observed in the background location samples.

Isopropanol was detected in the three indoor air samples, but not in the background samples. The highest concentration of isopropanol was observed in the Building A sample. p/m-Xylene was detected in the Building A and Building B samples with the highest concentration observed in the Building B sample. Two VOCs were detected in only one of the three indoor air samples. n-Hexane was observed in the Building A sample, and o-xylene was observed in the Building B sample.

Acetone, isopropanol, and ethanol are common laboratory contaminants while all of the other VOCs detected in the indoor air samples are found in cleaning products, adhesives, paints and other VOC-containing products, and as components of building materials. Their presence in indoor air may not be representative of site conditions (i.e., soil, groundwater), but rather a result of off-gassing from building materials, the use of VOC-containing materials within the school, or partially contributed by ambient concentrations in the vicinity of the school.

4.2 Vent Stack Air Results

On April 21, 2010, TRC collected four (plus one duplicate) vent stack and one ground level outdoor background 4-hour TO-10A and TO-15 samples at the KMS. Table 4-2 provides a summary of results for the vent stack samples.

In April 2010, PCBs were not detected in the vent stack samples or in the outdoor air background sample.

A total of 26 VOCs were detected in the vent stack air samples and/or background sample, including the common laboratory contaminants acetone, isopropanol and ethanol. Four of the detected VOCs (acetone, difluorodichloromethane, tetrachloroethene and trichlorofluoromethane) were detected in one or more of the vent stack air samples and at the outdoor air background sampling location. For these four VOCs, similar concentrations (i.e., less than 2-fold different) were observed in the vent stack air and outdoor air samples, except for tetrachloroethene and acetone which displayed concentrations 10 to 45-fold the background concentration in the four vent stack air samples. Chloromethane was detected in the background outdoor air sample, but was not detected in any of the vent stack air samples.

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dioxane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, 2-butanone, 2-hexanone, benzene, chloroform, cyclohexane, ethanol, ethylbenzene, isopropanol, methyl tert butyl ether, p/m-xylene, o-xylene, heptane, n-hexane, propylene, tetrahydrofuran, toluene, trichloroethene, and trichlorofluoromethane were detected in one or more of the subsurface collection zones and not at the outdoor air background sampling location, indicating the localized presence of these compounds in the ventilation system or in the subsurface vented by the system.

5.0 COMPARISON OF PCB RESULTS TO RISK-BASED AIR CONCENTRATIONS

This section of the report discusses the PCB indoor air and vent stack air sampling results, relative to site-specific outdoor air concentrations and risk-based air concentrations (RBACs). Air sampling results, background outdoor air results, and RBACs are presented in Tables 5-1 and 5-2 for the April 2010 sampling event. Compound-specific results exceeding RBACs are highlighted on these tables. Measured concentrations of compounds exceeding RBACs are discussed in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 for indoor air and vent stack air, respectively. A detailed discussion of the RBACs can be found in Appendix G.

Two PCB RBACs have been developed for the KMS. The first RBAC is the Action Level (AL; 0.05 ug/m³) used as an initial indicator that PCB air concentrations above background levels have been detected. The second RBAC is the Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC; 0.3 ug/m³), indicative of the maximum acceptable air concentration that should not be exceeded for an extended time period. The ALTAEC could be exceeded over the short-term and still result in acceptable risk levels. In September 2009, EPA published Public Health Levels (PHLs) which are calculated indoor air concentrations that maintain PCB exposures below a level that EPA believes does not cause harm (USEPA, 2009). PHLs were calculated for all ages of children from toddlers in day care to adolescents in high school as well as for adult school employees. In this report, indoor air PCB concentrations are also compared to the PHL for adult school employees and children 12 to <15 years old, representative of the middle school age range.

The LTMMIP specifies that both indoor air and vent stack air total PCB concentrations are to be compared to RBACs. This comparison is appropriate for indoor air results since exposures to indoor air at the KMS are occurring over a similar duration and frequency as that assumed for RBAC development. However, this comparison is less appropriate for vent stack air results since little if any human exposure to air within the vent stack system itself is taking place. Air from the vent stack is vented to outdoor air where the PCBs are quickly diluted and dispersed. Therefore, comparison of vent stack air results to RBACs is highly conservative, if not conceptually irrelevant. The results of the comparison of vent stack air results to RBACs should be interpreted with caution due to the significantly reduced degree of exposure to vent stack air that can be experienced by individuals in comparison to indoor air.

5.1 Indoor Air

Indoor air sampling results, outdoor air background results, and RBACs are presented in Table 5-1. PCBs were detected at all three of the indoor air sampling locations (Buildings A, B, and C). PCBs were also detected in both the outdoor air background samples, but at concentrations lower than detected in the indoor air samples. The highest indoor air total PCB concentration (Building A sample) was approximately 10-fold lower than the PCB AL and roughly 50-fold lower than the ALTAEC; the Building B and Building C samples displayed concentrations of PCBs roughly between 50- and 100-fold lower than the AL and between 300- and 700-fold lower than the ALTAEC. Because the PCB AL is used as an initial indicator that PCB air concentrations above background levels for indoor air have been detected and the detected

concentrations of PCBs are significantly less than the AL, concentrations of PCBs in indoor air are consistent with levels associated with ambient conditions. The indoor air samples were also between 75- and 1000-fold lower that the EPA PHL. Because there are no indoor air PCB concentrations in excess of the RBACs, no specific follow-up actions are recommended at this time.

Temporal trends for total PCB indoor air concentrations at the sampling locations in Building A (classrooms), Building B (auditorium), and Building C (faculty dining area) are shown in Figure 5-1. Figure 5-1 also shows concentration trends at the outdoor air background sampling location. Data included on this figure are for the time period August 2006 to April 2010. The highest indoor air total PCB concentration was detected during the April 2009 sampling event when the school was likely experiencing lower than normal air exchange (school vacation) and the potential for volatilization of PCBs from outdoor ambient sources is greater due to the warmer weather. The lowest indoor air total PCB concentration was detected during the November 2006 sampling event.

No clear trends are noted for total PCB concentrations in indoor air. Measured concentrations fluctuate over time, with slightly higher concentrations noted during the summer school vacation period when the building is experiencing lower than normal air exchange and the potential for volatilization of PCBs from outdoor ambient sources is greatest due to warmer weather. The low level PCB indoor air concentrations are generally consistent with urban ambient background conditions. The August 2009, December 2009/February 2010 and April 2010 PCB indoor air sampling results suggests that the higher concentrations detected in April 2009 relative to previous sampling rounds are an anomaly and not part of a trend.

5.2 Vent Stack Air

Vent stack air sampling results, outdoor air background results, and RBACs are presented in Table 5-2. PCBs were not detected in the four vent stack samples. PCBs were also not detected in the outdoor air background sample. Because there are no exceedances of the RBACs, no specific follow-up actions are recommended at this time.

Vent stack air reporting limits, ranging from 0.0192 ug/m³ to 0.0227 ug/m³, were higher than the detected indoor air total PCB concentrations. However, reporting limits were approximately 2-fold below the AL indicating that PCBs, even if not detected by the analytical method, were present at concentrations less than the RBACs.

Temporal trends for total PCB vent stack air concentrations are shown in Figure 5-2. Two vent stack locations were consistently sampled on a monthly basis so as to establish concentration trends. The vents selected were VS-1 and VS-4 which were chosen because Building A consists of classrooms where children spend most of the day and both vent from the Building A vapor collection zone. Figure 5-2 also shows concentration trends at the outdoor air background sampling location. Data included on this figure are for the time period August 2006 to April 2010. Total PCB concentrations in VS-1 and VS-4 are consistent over time and similar to levels present at the outdoor air background location. The low level fluctuations in PCB vent stack air

concentrations suggest that the range of measured concentrations is representative of typical conditions within the subsurface ventilation system.

6.0 COMPARISON OF VOC RESULTS TO COMPARISON CRITERIA

This section of the report discusses the VOC indoor air and vent stack air sampling results, relative to site-specific outdoor air and generic indoor air background concentrations and available comparison criteria. Air sampling data, background data, and comparison criteria are presented in Tables 6-1 and 6-2. Compound-specific results exceeding comparison criteria are highlighted on these tables. The detected concentrations of compounds exceeding comparison criteria are discussed in Section 6.1 for indoor air quality samples and Section 6.2 for vent stack air samples, followed by a discussion in Section 6.3 of the findings of a risk characterization conducted to evaluate the significance of the comparison criteria exceedances. Risk-based comparison criteria are discussed below, with greater detail provided in Appendix G. Section 6.4 presents the observed trends in contaminant concentrations over time.

Comparison criteria for VOC data include MassDEP Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs), published in December 1995, consistent with the LTMMIP. TELs are developed to be applicable to short-term exposure concentrations (average 24-hour levels), while AALs are developed to be protective of long-term exposure concentrations (average annual levels over 30 years). Indoor air and vent stack air VOC concentrations are conservatively compared to both criteria even though it is unlikely that actual exposures to measured air concentrations would occur for either an entire 24-hour day or continually for 30 years.

VOC concentrations in excess of AALs and TELs are discussed relative to alternate comparison criteria because TELs and AALs have not been revised since 1995 and may not include the most up-to-date toxicity information available. The alternate comparison criteria are primarily residential and commercial EPA screening levels (EPA SLs) developed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (May 17, 2010; USEPA, 2010) using the most current toxicity information available. Similar to AALs, residential EPA SLs are applicable to continuous long-term exposures. Commercial EPA SLs are more applicable to the actual exposures occurring at the KMS. In interpreting concentrations in excess of residential EPA SLs, it is important to consider how the frequency and duration of actual exposures may differ from continuous long-term exposures assumed for residential EPA SL development.

Because AALs, TELs, and EPA SLs (after adjustment to correspond to a lower noncancer threshold) are set at risk levels that are only a portion of the MassDEP risk management criteria (see Appendix G for additional information on this), concentrations that slightly exceed (i.e., less than 5-fold) one or more comparison criteria may not be cause for concern, especially considering that actual exposures may be of lesser duration and frequency than assumed in comparison criteria development.

For compounds lacking comparison criteria, detected concentrations are discussed relative to available comparison criteria for a surrogate compound, selected based on similarities in chemical structure and/or known toxicity. Surrogate assignments are identified in footnotes on Tables 6-1 and 6-2.

To account for anticipated background conditions at the KMS, VOC concentrations in excess of comparison criteria are framed relative to site-specific outdoor air background concentrations, indicating ambient conditions in the vicinity of the site. To provide additional perspective, VOC concentrations in excess of comparison criteria are also discussed relative to MassDEP indoor air background values, used by MassDEP in the development of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) numeric standards (MassDEP, 2008a) and Indoor Air Threshold Values (IATVs; June 2008b) developed by MassDEP considering typical indoor air background concentrations and MassDEP risk management criteria. MassDEP considers investigation of the vapor intrusion pathway to be unnecessary when measured indoor air concentrations are at or below IATVs, assuming that the indoor air results are consistent with other site information and that adequate sampling has been performed. Therefore, the presence of one or more VOCs at concentrations that exceed comparison criteria should be interpreted with caution and may not indicate the need for immediate action.

The LTMMIP specifies that both indoor air and vent stack air VOC concentrations are to be compared to comparison criteria. This comparison is appropriate for indoor air results since exposures to indoor air at the KMS are occurring over a similar though lesser duration and frequency as that assumed for comparison criteria development. However, this comparison is less appropriate for vent stack air results since little if any human exposure to air within the vent stack system itself is taking place. Air from the vent stack is vented to outdoor air where the VOCs are quickly diluted and dispersed. Therefore, comparison of vent stack air results to comparison criteria is highly conservative, if not conceptually irrelevant. The results of the comparison of vent stack air results to comparison criteria should be interpreted with caution due to the significantly reduced degree of exposure to vent stack air that can be experienced by individuals in comparison to indoor air.

6.1 Indoor Air

As presented in Table 6-1, concentrations of three VOCs in the indoor air samples exceeded one or more comparison criteria. The compounds are benzene, chloroform, and tetrachloroethene. All three compounds were detected at concentrations below MassDEP indoor air background concentrations and IATVs, indicating that the presence of these compounds in indoor air is not a site-related finding.

Benzene and chloroform concentrations detected in the three indoor air samples exceed comparison criteria developed assuming long-term continuous exposure. However, the concentrations do not exceed the TEL and commercial EPA SL, which are more applicable to actual exposures occurring at the KMS than the AAL or residential EPA SL, despite the "commercial" label. Therefore, the benzene and chloroform concentrations in the indoor air samples are unlikely to be of concern. This conclusion is supported by the risk characterization presented in Section 6.3.

Tetrachloroethene concentrations detected in the three indoor air samples exceed its AAL, based on outdated toxicity information. However, the concentrations do not exceed the TEL and EPA SLs, which are based on more recent toxicity information. In addition, the EPA SL is based on a more stringent estimate of cancer potency than is currently recommended by MassDEP.

Therefore, the tetrachloroethene concentrations in the indoor air samples are unlikely to be of concern, as supported by the risk characterization presented in Section 6.3.

6.2 Vent Stack Air

As indicated on Table 6-2, concentrations of ten VOCs in vent stack air samples exceeded one or more comparison criteria. The compounds include 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 2-butanone, acetone, benzene, chloroform, ethanol, ethylbenzene, methyl tert butyl ether, tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene. Comparison of vent stack air results to risk-based comparison criteria assumes that exposures to the air within the vent system are occurring at the same duration and intensity as indoor air, which is unlikely as previously noted. Therefore, VOC concentrations measured in excess of comparison criteria for VOCs in the vent stack system are unlikely to be indicative of a health concern since individuals are experiencing little, if any exposure to vent stack air.

2-Butanone, benzene, ethylbenzene, methyl tert butyl ether, and trichloroethene concentrations detected in vent stack air samples only exceed comparison criteria developed assuming continuous exposure (i.e., AALs and/or residential EPA SLs). Because the concentrations of these compounds do not exceed TELs and commercial EPA SLs, these concentrations in the vent stack air samples are unlikely to be of concern.

The 1,3-dichlorobenzene, chloroform and tetrachloroethene vent stack air concentrations do not exceed the TELs, applicable to short-term exposures, though the detected concentrations do exceed the AALs and residential/commercial EPA SLs. However, the concentration of tetrachloroethene in the outdoor air background sample also exceeds comparison criteria and indicates that the presence of this compound in the vent stack samples is likely related to ambient conditions in the vicinity of the KMS.

Acetone vent stack air concentrations exceed AALs and TELs based on outdated toxicity information, but do not exceed either residential or commercial EPA SLs based on the most current toxicity information available. Therefore, these concentrations in the vent stack air samples are unlikely to be of concern. Though ethanol concentrations in one of the vent stack air samples slightly exceeded its AAL and TEL, no EPA SLs are available for this compound.

Fourteen of the 26 compounds present in vent stack air were detected in the December 2001 subsurface soil gas sampling event conducted by BETA, including 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 2-butanone, acetone, benzene, cyclohexane, ethanol, ethylbenzene, methyl tert butyl ether, p/m-xylene, o-xylene, heptanes, n-hexane, tetrachloroethene and toluene. The presence of these compounds in vent stack air indicates that the passive foundation venting system is performing as designed and limiting or preventing the migration of subsurface VOCs to indoor air.

6.3 Risk Characterization for Indoor Air

The LTMMIP specifies that the LSP-of-Record should submit the indoor air data to a toxicologist/risk assessor for further assessment if indoor air VOC concentrations exceed TELs, AALs, or 150% of outdoor air background concentrations. Therefore, non-carcinogenic hazards and excess lifetime cancer risks have been estimated to determine whether a condition of no

significant risk exists within the school. All compounds detected in indoor air samples between March 2007 and April 2010 were included in the risk characterization. Exposure point concentrations are either maximum detected concentrations or 95 percent upper confidence limits (95% UCLs) on the arithmetic mean, using sampling data for Buildings A through C combined. The use of maximum detected concentrations or 95% UCLs as exposure point concentrations provides a reasonable upper bound of the contaminant concentrations an individual may be exposed to, over the specified time period. A commercial worker scenario was used which assumed exposures for 8 hours/day, 250 days/year for 25 years, consistent with the assumptions used in the development of the site-specific PCB action levels. Appendix H contains a data summary table detailing the derivation of the exposure point concentrations and a calculation spreadsheet presenting the exposure assumptions and toxicity values used in the assessment.

The results presented in Appendix H document that a condition of no significant risk exists associated with commercial worker indoor air exposures at the KMS. Because workers are the most highly exposed individuals at the KMS, exposures of school children and staff would also be associated with a condition of no significant risk. VOC concentrations associated with offgassing from building materials have been demonstrated to be trending downward (see discussion in Section 6.4).

The LTMMIP also specified that the LSP-of-Record should submit the vent stack air data to a toxicologist/risk assessor for further assessment if vent stack air VOC results exceed TELs and AALs. Because exposures to vent stack air are negligible or non-existent, further quantitative assessment of the vent stack air VOC results was not conducted.

6.4 Trend Analysis for VOCs

Temporal trends for VOC indoor air concentrations at the sampling location in Building A (classrooms), Building B (auditorium), and Building C (faculty dining area) are shown in Figures 6-1 through 6-3, respectively. Five VOCs were selected for data presentation including 2-butanone, methyl tert butyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and total xylenes (the sum of m/p-xylene and o-xylene isomers). These VOCs were selected because they are not common laboratory contaminants, were frequently detected in indoor air samples, and were noted as exceeding one or more comparison criteria. Data included on these figures are for the time period August 2006 to April 2010. Bars on the figures outlined in black indicate that the compound was not detected during the specific sampling event, and the value presented on the figure is half the analytical detection limit.

Although some degree of temporal fluctuation is observed, there are clearly decreasing concentration trends for 2-butanone, toluene, and total xylenes over time in the Building B and C indoor air quality samples. The other two indicator compounds, tetrahydrofuran and methyl tert butyl ether, were only detected once in the samples collected from the Building B and C samples, respectively. For the Building A samples, most concentrations for the selected compounds have been consistently low, with the sporadic detection of slightly higher VOC concentrations noted during the spring and summer school vacation periods when the building is experiencing lower than normal air exchange and the indoor use of VOC-containing cleaning

products and repair materials increases. These sporadic higher concentrations were also observed within the Building B and C samples. Overall, the decreasing trends in Buildings B and C suggest that off-gassing from the newly constructed school building is diminishing. The trend is less apparent in Building A since concentrations have been consistently low over time with some fluctuations.

Temporal trends for VOC vent stack air concentrations are shown in Figures 6-4 and 6-5 for VS-1 and VS-4, respectively. The same five VOCs selected for trend analysis in indoor air were also used for vent stack air. Data included on these figures are for the time period August 2006 to April 2010. All five indicator VOCs display clearly decreasing trends over time at both vent stack air sampling locations. Though some degree of temporal fluctuation is observed, the sporadic presence of slightly higher vent stack air VOC concentrations is noted during times of warmer ambient temperatures, potentially associated with the subsurface migration of VOCs or the off-gassing of VOCs from the ventilation system. For example, increases in concentrations of 2-butanone and tetrahydofuran in VS-1 and VS-4 were observed in April 2010.

6.5 Recommended Modifications to the LTMMIP

The LTMMIP specifies follow-up actions to be taken if VOC air data exceed the comparison criteria. However, the response actions set forth in the LTMMIP are excessive and unnecessary for the April 2010 data set for the following reasons:

- Risk calculations presented herein and in prior TRC reports (encompassing twelve sampling events of monitoring data collected over 35 months) show that the maximum or 95% UCL on the arithmetic mean concentrations of detected VOCs do not pose a significant risk to human health and further that VOC concentrations are trending downward:
- Most of the VOCs detected in indoor air are associated with the storage and use of cleaners, adhesives, paints, and other VOC-containing products within the KMS; and
- The comparison of vent stack air to comparison criteria (e.g., TELs and AALs) is inappropriate because human exposure to air within the vent stack is highly unlikely, rendering the comparison to such criteria conceptually irrelevant.

The LTMMIP is under revision to reflect TRC's detailed understanding of the site conceptual model (e.g., impacts from indoor use of commercially available cleaners, paints, adhesives, etc.), the relationship between vent measurements and historical soil gas measurements that illustrate the proper functioning of the passive sub-slab ventilation system, and long-term downward trends for indoor air and passive vent system concentrations for VOCs originating from building materials. The revised LTMMIP will also include revised response actions and response action schedules that reflect TRC's comprehensive understanding of human health risk, sources, and air measurements. In addition, a new methodology for evaluation of vent stack air concentrations is recommended for the proposed revised LTMMIP, that is more appropriate than the presently called for review against comparison criteria. A draft revision to the LTMMIP is planned for regulatory review in early 2011.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

Indoor air quality and vent stack air sampling was conducted at the KMS during April 2010 for total PCBs and VOCs. Data were evaluated for quality and reliability, discussed relative to risk-based air concentrations, and analyzed for concentration trends over the period of sampling from August 2006 to April 2010. The following summarizes the conclusions of the air sampling data evaluation.

In general, all TO-10A and TO-15 data collected during April 2010 were determined to be valid as reported and usable for decision-making purposes.

PCBs were detected in the three indoor air samples collected in April 2010. The detected PCB concentrations for these samples were below risk-based action levels. Detected concentrations of benzene, chloroform and tetrachloroethene in indoor air samples exceeded one or more risk-based comparison criteria. However, further assessment of the indoor air data indicated that the 95% UCL on the arithmetic mean or maximum VOC concentrations measured between March 2007 and April 2010 were associated with a condition of no significant risk to exposed individuals at the KMS.

PCBs were not detected in the four vent stack air samples collected in April 2010. There were more VOC exceedances of comparison criteria in vent stack samples as compared to indoor air samples. However, the comparison to risk-based criteria is not appropriate for vent stack air results. The vent system is designed to capture VOCs from the subsurface beneath the KMS and convey the gases through PVC piping to outdoor air, preventing migration through the building slab and into indoor air. Little if any human exposure to air within the vent stack system itself is taking place. Air from the vent stack is vented to outdoor air on the roof of KMS where the VOCs are quickly diluted and dispersed. Therefore, comparison of vent stack air results to comparison criteria developed assuming short-term (24-hour) and long-term exposure is highly conservative, if not conceptually irrelevant.

Some VOCs are likely present in indoor air due to off-gassing from building materials and the storage and use of cleaners, adhesives, paints, and other VOC-containing products indoors at the school. Levels of PCBs and VOCs in indoor air were found to fluctuate overtime likely due to: 1) the degree of building air exchange that occurs during normal school operation (i.e., open conditions) versus vacation periods when the school is not in session (i.e., closed conditions); 2) changes in ambient temperatures that may increase or decrease the off-gassing from indoor building materials; 3) the degree to which activities within the school building (e.g., cleaning and repairs) are contributing to indoor air concentrations of VOCs, and 4) reductions in building material related VOC emission sources over time. The low level fluctuations of PCB indoor air concentrations are generally consistent with concentrations found in urban ambient air background. The August 2009, December 2009/February 2010 and April 2010 PCB indoor air sampling results suggests that the higher concentrations detected in April 2009 relative to previous sampling rounds are an anomaly and not part of a trend. Overall, VOC concentrations are decreasing in indoor air suggesting that off-gassing from the aggregate of sources within the newly constructed school building is diminishing. The sporadic presence of slightly higher VOC concentrations noted during the spring and summer school vacation periods is likely attributable

to the building experiencing lower than normal air exchange in combination with increased use of VOC-containing cleaning products and repair materials indoors.

VOCs are consistently detected in the sub-slab passive vent stacks, while PCBs are sporadically detected in the vent stacks. The presence of PCBs and VOCs in vent stack air is expected, and indicates that the passive ventilation system is performing as designed. VOCs detected in vent stack air samples may also have been emitted by the ventilation system itself. The low PCB vent stack air concentrations and decreasing vent stack air VOC concentrations are likely representative of typical conditions within the subsurface ventilation system and indicate that off-gassing from the system is diminishing overtime.

It is recommended that the LTMMIP be revised to reflect TRC's detailed understanding of the site conceptual model (e.g., impacts from indoor use of commercially available cleaners, paints, adhesives, etc.), the relationship between vent measurements and historical soil gas measurements that illustrate the proper functioning of the passive sub-slab ventilation system, and long-term downward trends for indoor air and passive vent system concentrations for VOCs originating from building materials. The revised LTMMIP will also include more appropriate response actions and response action schedules that reflect TRC's comprehensive understanding of human health risk, sources, and air measurements. In addition, a new methodology for evaluation of vent stack air concentrations is recommended for the proposed revised LTMMIP, which will be more appropriate than the presently called for review against comparison criteria. A draft revision to the LTMMIP is planned for regulatory review in early 2011.

August 2010 is the date for the next sampling event.

8.0 REFERENCES

- BETA Group, Inc. (BETA). 2006. Final Completion and Inspection Report: Long-Term Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. McCoy Field/Keith Middle School, 225 Hathaway Boulevard, New Bedford, Massachusetts. Prepared for the City of New Bedford. October 4, 2006.
- Buckland et al, 1999. Organochlorines in New Zealand: Ambient Concentrations of Selected Organochlorines in Air. Simon J. Buckland, Howard K. Ellis, and Ray T. Slater. Ministry for the Environment, Wellington, New Zealand. December 1999.
- Hunt and Lihizis, 2009. PCBs in Ambient Air Method Evaluation and Background Monitoring, The Hudson River, NY Sediment Remediation Project. Gary T. Hunt and Melita Lihzis. Presented at the 29th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants. Beijing, China. August 2009.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009. Public Health Levels for PCBs in Indoor School Air. http://www.epa.gov/pcbsincaulk/ September 2009.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2010. Regional Screening Levels. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. http://epa-prgs.ornl.gov/chemicals/index.shtml. May 17, 2010.
- Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). 1995. Revised Air Guidelines. Massachusetts Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs) for Ambient Air. December 6, 1995.
- Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), 2008a. Spreadsheets Detailing the Development of the MCP Numerical Standards. http://www.mass.gov/dep/cleanup/laws/mcpsprds.zip. February 19, 2008.
- Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), 2008b. Draft Technical Update: Indoor Air Threshold Values for the Evaluation of a Vapor Intrusion Pathway. http://www.mass.gov/dep/cleanup/laws/iathrdr.pdf. June 2008.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2008a. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds 2007 Monthly Monitoring Rounds: March, April, May, June, and July/August. May 2008.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2008b. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds December 2007 Monitoring Round. July 2008.

- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2008c. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds April 2008 Monitoring Round. October 2008.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2008d. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds July 2008 Monitoring Round. December 2008.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2009a. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds December 2008/February 2009 Monitoring Round. May 2009.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2009b. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds April 2009 Monitoring Round. July 2009.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2009c. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds August 2009 Monitoring Round. December 2009.
- TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC). 2010. Sampling Results for the Keith Middle School Foundation Vent Stack and Indoor Air for Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Volatile Organic Compounds December 2009/February 2010 Monitoring Round. April 2009.

TABLES

Table 2-1. April 2010 Sample Summary Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Collected	Sample Type
A	Building A, center of west hallway	X	IAQ
В	Building B, Auditorium	X	IAQ
С	Building C, Faculty Dining Room	X	IAQ
BG	Background, flagpole area outside main entrance to Building A	XX	IAQ
VS-1	Building A, vent stack 1	X	Vent Stack
VS-4	Building A, vent stack 4	X	Vent Stack
VS-5	Building B, vent stack 5		Vent Stack
VS-7	Building B, vent stack 7	XX	Vent Stack
VS-8	Building B, vent stack 8		Vent Stack
VS-9	Building B, vent stack 9		Vent Stack
VS-10	Building B, vent stack 10		Vent Stack
VS-11	Gymnasium, vent stack 11		Vent Stack
VS-12	Gymnasium, vent stack 12	X	Vent Stack
VS-13	Gymnasium, vent stack 13		Vent Stack
VS-14	Gymnasium, vent stack 14		Vent Stack
VS-16	Building A, vent stack 16		Vent Stack
VS-BG	On the ground at main entrance to Building A	X	Vent Stack

X - Sample collected at this location during this sampling round.

XX - Sample and duplicate collected at this location during this sampling round.

Table 3-1. Comparison of VOC Indoor Air Sample Results - Collocated Sampler Precision Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

				Apr-10		
Analysis	Analyte	BG-23		BG-23 Dup	RPD (%)	
VOCs						
$(\mu g/m^3)$	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	NC
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982		< 0.982		NC
	1.2-dichloroethane	< 0.809		< 0.809		NC
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	< 1.20		< 1.20		NC
	1,4-dioxane	< 0.720		< 0.720		NC
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934		< 0.934		NC
	2-butanone	0.598		0.610		1.99
	2-hexanone	< 0.819		< 0.819		NC
	acetone (1)	4.83	J	8.88	J	59.08
	benzene	0.552		0.606		9.33
	carbon disulfide	< 0.622		< 0.622		NC
	chloroform	0.107		0.112		4.57
	chloromethane	1.03		1.10		6.57
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792		< 0.792		NC
	cyclohexane	< 0.688		< 0.688		NC
	difluorodichloromethane	2.58		2.72		5.28
	ethanol (1)	5.01		5.04		0.60
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868		< 0.868		NC
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80		< 1.80		NC
	freon-113	< 1.53		< 1.53		NC
	isopropanol (1)	< 1.23		< 1.23		NC
	methylene chloride (1)	< 1.74		< 1.74		NC
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819		< 0.819		NC
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720		< 0.720		NC
	p/m-xylene	< 1.74		< 1.74		NC
	o-xlyene	< 0.868		< 0.868		NC
	heptane	< 0.819		< 0.819		NC
	n-hexane	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ	NC
	propylene	0.414		0.411		0.73
	styrene	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	NC
	tetrachloroethene	0.230		0.285		21.36
	tetrahydrofuran	< 0.589		< 0.589		NC
	toluene	1.70		1.87		9.52
	trichloroethene	< 0.107		< 0.107		NC
	trichlorofluoromethane	1.52		1.48		2.67
PCBs						
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Total PCBs	0.000061	J	0.000226	J	114.98

 $RPD - Relative \ Percent \ Difference = ABS(Dup-Sample)/((Dup+Sample)/2)*100$

NC - Not Calculated; RPD could not be calculated due to a non-detect in one or both of the collocated samples Detected values are shown in bold

⁽¹⁾ Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.

Table 3-2. Comparison of VOC Vent Stack Air Sample Results - Collocated Sampler Precision Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

		Apr-10										
Analysis	Analyte	VS-7-23		VS-7-23 DU	RPD (%)							
VOCs												
(ug/m ³)	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	NC						
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982		< 0.982		NC						
	1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.809		< 0.809		NC						
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	1.33		1.65		21.48						
	1,4-dioxane	< 0.720		< 0.720		NC						
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934		< 0.934		NC						
	2-butanone	11.0		9.42		15.48						
	2-hexanone	< 0.819		< 0.819		NC						
	acetone (1)	57.8	J	45.7	J	23.38						
	benzene	0.338		0.329		2.70						
	carbon disulfide	< 0.834		< 0.918		NC						
	chloroform	1.29		1.41		8.89						
	chloromethane	< 0.413	UJ	< 0.413	UJ	NC						
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792		< 0.792		NC						
	cyclohexane	1.15		1.27		9.92						
	difluorodichloromethane	2.40		2.58		7.23						
	ethanol (1)	< 4.71		5.31		NC						
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868	1	< 0.868		NC						
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80		< 1.80		NC						
	freon-113	< 1.80		< 1.86		NC						
	isopropanol (1)	< 1.23	UJ	< 1.23	UJ	NC						
	methylene chloride (1)	< 1.74		< 1.74		NC						
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819		< 0.819		NC						
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720		< 0.720		NC						
	p/m-xylene	< 1.74		< 1.74		NC						
	o-xlyene	< 0.868		< 0.868		NC						
	heptane	1.28		0.872		37.92						
	n-hexane	0.732	J	0.743	J	1.49						
	propylene	2.84		2.35		18.88						
	styrene	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	NC						
	tetrachloroethene	2.05		2.49		19.38						
	tetrahydrofuran	10.2		9.97		2.28						
	toluene	0.772		0.851		9.74						
	trichloroethene	1.66		1.93		15.04						
	trichlorofluoromethane	3.38		3.61		6.58						
PCBs												
(ug/m ³)	Total PCBs	< 0.0208		< 0.0192		NC						

 $RPD - Relative \ Percent \ Difference = ABS(Dup-Sample)/((Dup+Sample)/2)*100$

NC - Not Calculated; RPD could not be calculated due to a non-detect in one or both of the collocated samples

Detected values are shown in bold

 $^{^{\}left(1\right) }$ Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.

Table 4-1. Indoor Air Quality Sample Results - April 2010 **Keith Middle School** New Bedford, Massachusetts

			;	Sample Loca	tions	S	Backg	QA/QC						
Analysis	Analyte	A-23		B-23		C-23		BG-23		BG-23 Du	ıp	Trip Blank		
VOCs												_		
$(\mu g/m^3)$	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982		
	1.2-dichloroethane	< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	< 1.20		< 1.20		< 1.20		< 1.20		< 1.20		< 1.20		
	1,4-dioxane	< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934		
	2-butanone	1.15		1.29		1.51		0.598		0.610		< 0.589		
	2-hexanone	< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		
	acetone (1)	10.6	J	9.73	J	7.97	J	4.83	J	8.88	J	< 2.37		
	benzene	0.769		0.642		0.82		0.552		0.606		< 0.319		
	carbon disulfide	< 0.622		< 0.622		< 0.622		< 0.622		< 0.622		< 0.622		
	chloroform	0.146		0.122		0.146		0.107		0.112		< 0.098		
	chloromethane	0.666	J	0.462	J	0.433	J	1.03		1.10		< 0.413		
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		
	cyclohexane	< 0.688		< 0.688		< 0.688		< 0.688		< 0.688		< 0.688		
	difluorodichloromethane	2.92		2.63		2.62		2.58		2.72		< 0.988		
	ethanol (1)	30.4		25.3		27.3		5.01		5.04		< 4.71		
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868		0.924		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		
	freon-113	< 1.53		< 1.53		< 1.53		< 1.53		< 1.53		< 1.53		
	isopropanol (1)	10.5		4.15		1.68		< 1.23		< 1.23		< 1.23		
	methylene chloride (1)	< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		
	p/m-xylene	1.77		3.08		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		
	o-xlyene	< 0.868		1.21		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		
	heptane	< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		
	n-hexane	0.838	J	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ	
	propylene	0.471		0.506		0.471		0.414		0.411		< 0.344		
	styrene	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	
	tetrachloroethene	0.325		0.169		0.210		0.230		0.285		< 0.136		
	tetrahydrofuran	< 0.589		< 0.589		< 0.589		< 0.589		< 0.589		< 0.589		
	toluene	2.94		2.19		2.07		1.70		1.87		< 0.753		
	trichloroethene	< 0.107		< 0.107		< 0.107		< 0.107		< 0.107		< 0.107		
	trichlorofluoromethane	1.63		1.49		1.55		1.52		1.48		< 1.12		
PCBs														
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Total PCBs	0.006163	J	0.000384	J	0.000883	J	0.000061	J	0.000226	J	< 0.0250 μg	g _	

 $\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls

μg - micrograms; trip blank results are presented in micrograms (μg) due to no air volume being collected during analysis.

Reporting Limit for Total PCBs is the highest individual homolog PQL (practical quantitation limit) per sample.

Values in **Bold** indicate the compound was detected.

- < less than laboratory reporting limit J Detected result reported is estimated
- UJ Non-Detect result reported is estimated

⁽¹⁾ Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.

Table 4-2. Vent Stack Sample Results - April 2010 Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

					Background		QA/QC								
Analysis	Analyte	VS-1-23	Sample Locations VS-4-23 VS-12-23 VS-7-23 V						VS-7-23 D	UP	VS-BG-23		Trip Blank-VS		
VOCs	·														
$(\mu g/m^3)$	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ	< 1.48	UJ
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982		< 0.982		1.20		< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982		< 0.982	
	1.2-dichloroethane	< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809		< 0.809	
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	1.69		1.50		5.77		1.33		1.65		< 1.20		< 1.20	
	1,4-dioxane	1.48		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720	
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934		< 0.934		0.976		< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934		< 0.934	
	2-butanone	32.3		76.3		4.29		11.0		9.42		< 0.589		< 0.589	
	2-hexanone	1.19		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819	
	acetone (1)	99.1		237		< 2.37	UJ	57.8	J	45.7	J	5.47	J	< 2.37	
	benzene	0.654		1.24		0.530		0.338		0.329		< 0.319		< 0.319	
	carbon disulfide	< 1.17		< 1.44		< 0.622		< 0.834		< 0.918		< 0.622		0.896	
	chloroform	5.18		1.11		2.07		1.29		1.41		< 0.098		< 0.098	
	chloromethane	< 0.413	UJ	< 0.413		< 0.413	UJ	< 0.413	UJ	< 0.413	UJ	1.12		< 0.413	
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792		< 0.792	
	cyclohexane	< 0.688		< 0.688		1.74		1.15		1.27		< 0.688		< 0.688	
	difluorodichloromethane	2.55		2.83		2.51		2.40		2.58		2.74		< 0.988	
	ethanol (1)	10.6		58.7		7.18		< 4.71		5.31		< 4.71		< 4.71	
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868		< 0.868		1.36		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868	
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80		< 1.80	
	freon-113	< 1.53		< 1.94		< 1.53		< 1.80		< 1.86		< 1.94		< 1.53	
	isopropanol (1)	< 1.23	UJ	3.76	J	< 1.23	UJ	< 1.23	UJ	< 1.23	UJ	< 1.23		< 1.23	
	methylene chloride (1)	< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74	
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819		< 0.819	
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720		< 0.720		10.1		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720		< 0.720	
	p/m-xylene	< 1.74		< 1.74		4.52		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74		< 1.74	
	o-xlyene	< 0.868		< 0.868		2.06		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868		< 0.868	
	heptane	1.17		2.06		< 0.819		1.28		0.872		< 0.819		< 0.819	
	n-hexane	< 0.704	UJ	2.51	J	0.768	J	0.732	J	0.743	J	< 0.704	UJ	< 0.704	UJ
	propylene	2.50		24.4		0.573		2.84		2.35		< 0.344		< 0.344	
	styrene	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ	< 0.851	UJ
	tetrachloroethene	5.60		1.47		1.30		2.05		2.49		0.169		< 0.136	
	tetrahydrofuran	41.1		15.2		1.42		10.2		9.97		< 0.589		< 0.589	
	toluene	1.20		1.42		3.96		0.772		0.851		< 0.753		< 0.753	
	trichloroethene	0.617		0.247		< 0.107		1.66		1.93		< 0.107		< 0.107	
	trichlorofluoromethane	2.60		2.18		2.69		3.38		3.61		1.76		< 1.12	
PCBs															
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Total PCBs	< 0.0227		< 0.0227		< 0.0208		< 0.0208		< 0.0192		< 0.0208		< 0.0250 μg	,

 $\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter VOCs - volatile organic compounds

PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls

μg - micrograms; trip blank results are presented in micrograms (μg) due to no air volume being collected during analysis.
⁽¹⁾ Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.

Reporting Limit for Total PCBs is the highest individual homolog PQL (practical quantitation limit) per sample.

Values in **Bold** indicate the compound was detected.

- < less than laboratory reporting limit
- J Detected result reported is estimated
 UJ Non-Detect result reported is estimated

Table 5-1. Comparison of PCB Indoor Air Quality Sample Results to Risk-Based Air Concentrations - April 2010 Keith Middle School

New Bedford, Massachusetts

		9	Sample Location	s	Backgroun	d Locations	QA/QC	MassDEP			
Analysis	Analyte	A-23	B-23	C-23	BG-23	BG-23 Dup	Trip Blank	Background		Comparison Value	es
PCBs									AL*	ALTAEC*	PHL**
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Total PCBs	0.006163	0.000384	0.000883	0.000061	0.000226	< 0.0250 ug		0.05	0.3	0.45

Notes:

μg/m³ - micrograms per cubic meter

PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls

NA - not analyzed

ug - micrograms; trip blank results are presented in micrograms (ug) since no air volume is collected for the trip blank

PCB results for indoor air are compared to contemporary outdoor air (background) sample and MassDEP indoor air background values.

Sect5&6_Apr10_Tables.xls

^{*} PCBs are compared to the EPA site specific Action Level (AL) and the Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC).

^{**} PCBS are compared to the EPA Public Health Level for PCBs in School Indoor Air (September 2009) for adult employees and children 12-<15 year olds (http://www.epa.gov/pcbsincaulk/) Reporting Limit for Total PCBs is the highest individual homolog PQL (practical quantitation limit) per sample.

Table 5-2. Comparison of PCB Vent Stack Sample Results to Risk-Based Air Concentrations - April 2010 Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

				Sample Location	ns		Background	QA/QC			
Analysis	Analyte	VS-1-23	VS-4-23	VS-12-23	VS-7-23	VS-7-23 Dup	VS-BG-23	Trip Blank-VS	C	Comparison Value	es
PCBs									AL*	ALTAEC*	PHL**
$(\mu g/m^3)$	Total PCBs	< 0.0227	< 0.0227	< 0.0208	< 0.0208	< 0.0192	< 0.0192	< 0.0250 ug	0.05	0.3	0.45

Notes:

μg/m³ - micrograms per cubic meter

PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls

ug - micrograms; trip blank results are presented in micrograms (ug) since no air volume is collected for the trip blank

PCB results for vent stack air are compared to contemporary outdoor air (background) sample.

Sect5&6_Apr10_Tables.xls

^{*} PCBs are compared to the EPA site specific Action Level (AL) and the Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC).

^{**} PCBS are compared to the EPA Public Health Level for PCBs in School Indoor Air (September 2009) for adult employees and children 12-<15 year olds (http://www.epa.gov/pcbsincaulk/) Reporting Limit for Total PCBs is the highest individual homolog PQL (practical quantitation limit) per sample.

Table 6-1. Comparison of VOC Indoor Air Quality Sample Results to Comparison Criteria - April 2010 Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

		S	ample Locations		Backgroun	d Locations	QA/QC	MassDEP	MassDEP				
Analysis	Analyte	A-23	B-23	C-23	BG-23	BG-23 Dup	Trip Blank	Background	IATV			Comparison Values	
VOCs										TEL*	AAL*	EPA SL (residential)	EPA SL (commercial)
$(\mu g/m^3)$	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	0.59	3.4			0.42 (a)	1.76 (a)
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982					1.46 (a)	6.2 (a)
	1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809		0.09	11.01	0.04	0.094 (a)	0.47 (a)
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	< 1.20	< 1.20	< 1.20	< 1.20	< 1.20	< 1.20		0.6			0.22 (e)	1.1 (e)
	1,4-dioxane	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720		0.59	24.49	0.24	0.32 (a)	1.6 (a)
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934					146 (b)	620 (b)
	2-butanone	1.15	1.29	1.51	0.598	0.610	< 0.589	42.18	12	200	10	1040 (a)	4400 (a)
	2-hexanone	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819			10.88	10.88	6.2 (a)	26 (a)
	acetone (1)	10.6 J	9.73 J	7.97 J	4.83 J	8.88 J	< 2.37	27.04	91	160.54	160.54	6400 (a)	28000 (a)
	benzene	0.769	0.642	0.82	0.552	0.606	< 0.319	21	2.3	1.74	0.12	0.31 (a)	1.6 (a)
	carbon disulfide	< 0.622	< 0.622	< 0.622	< 0.622	< 0.622	< 0.622			0.1	0.1	146 (a)	620 (a)
	chloroform	0.146	0.122	0.146	0.107	0.112	< 0.098	3.36	1.9	132.76	0.04	0.11 (a)	0.53 (a)
	chloromethane	0.666 J	0.462 J	0.433 J	1.03	1.10	< 0.413					18.8 (a)	78 (a)
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792		0.8	215.62	107.81	12.6 (f)	52 (f)
	cyclohexane	< 0.688	< 0.688	< 0.688	< 0.688	< 0.688	< 0.688			280.82	280.82	1260 (a)	5200 (a)
	difluorodichloromethane	2.92	2.63	2.62	2.58	2.72	< 0.988					42 (a)	176 (a)
	ethanol (1)	30.4	25.3	27.3	5.01	5.04	< 4.71			51.24	51.24		
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868	0.924	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	9.62	7.4	300	300	0.97 (a)	4.9 (a)
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80			391.84	391.84		
	freon-113	< 1.53	< 1.53	< 1.53	< 1.53	< 1.53	< 1.53					6200 (a)	26000 (a)
	isopropanol (1)	10.5	4.15	1.68	< 1.23	< 1.23	< 1.23					41.22 (c)	41.22 (c)
	methylene chloride(1)	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	600	5.0	9.45	0.24	5.2 (a)	26 (a)
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819		2.2	55.7	55.7	620 (a)	2600 (a)
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720		39			9.4 (a)	47 (a)
	p/m-xylene	1.77	3.08	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	72.41**	20	11.8**	11.8**	146 (a)	620 (a)
	o-xlyene	< 0.868	1.21	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	72.41**	20	11.8**	11.8**	146 (a)	620 (a)
	heptane	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819					146 (d)	620 (d)
	n-hexane	0.838 J	< 0.704 UJ	< 0.704 UJ	< 0.704 UJ							146 (a)	620 (a)
	propylene	0.471	0.506	0.471	0.414	0.411	< 0.344					95.24 (g)	47.62 (g)
	styrene	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ				1.4	200	2	200 (a)	880 (a)
	tetrachloroethene	0.325	0.169	0.210	0.230	0.285	< 0.136	11.01	1.4	922.18	0.02	0.41 (a)	2.1 (a)
	tetrahydrofuran	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589			160.35	80.18		
	toluene	2.94	2.19	2.07	1.70	1.87	< 0.753	28.65	54	80	20	1040 (a)	4400 (a)
	trichloroethene	< 0.107	< 0.107	< 0.107	< 0.107	< 0.107	< 0.107	4.49	0.8	36.52	0.61	1.2 (a)	6.1 (a)
	trichlorofluoromethane	1.63	1.49	1.55	1.52	1.48	< 1.12					146 (a)	620 (a)

 $\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

IATV - Indoor Air Threshold Value; Mass DEP review draft June 2009

EPA SL - EPA Screening Level; May 17, 2010

- (a) EPA Screening Level (ELCR of 1E-06 for carcinogens; hazard of 0.2 for noncarcinogens)
- (b) EPA SL for n-hexane used as surrogate for 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (c) AAL/TEL for isobutyl alcohol used as surrogate for isopropanol
- (d) EPA SL for n-hexane used as surrogate for heptane
- (e) EPA SL for 1,4-dichlorobenzene used as surrogate for 1,3-dichlorobenzene
- (f) EPA SL for trans-1,2-dichloroethene used as surrogate for cis-1,2-dichloroethene
- (g) AAL/TEL for alkanes/alkenes used as surrogate for propylene

Highlighted values show exceedances of comparison values and the value which was exceeded

- (1) Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.
- VOC results for indoor air are compared to contemporary outdoor air (background) sample and MassDEP indoor air background values.
- * Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs) for ambient air currently in effect (December, 1995)
- ** Value for xylenes (m-, o-,and p-isomers)
- --- No corresponding comparison criterion.
- J Concentration should be considered estimated.
- R- Result rejected due to calibration non-conformances.
- UJ Non-detect concentration should be considered estimated.

Sect5&6_Apr10_Tables.xls 3 of 4

Table 6-2. Comparison of VOC Vent Stack Sample Results to Comparison Criteria - April 2010 Keith Middle School New Bedford, Massachusetts

				Sample Locations			Background	QA/QC				
Analysis	Analyte	VS-1-23	VS-4-23	VS-12-23	VS-7-23	VS-7-23 Dup	VS-BG-23	Trip Blank-VS			Comparison Values	
VOCs									TEL*	AAL*	EPA SL (residential)	EPA SL (commercial)
$(\mu g/m^3)$	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ	< 1.48 UJ			0.42 (a)	1.76 (a)
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	< 0.982	< 0.982	1.20	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982	< 0.982	-		1.46 (a)	6.2 (a)
	1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	< 0.809	11.01	0.04	0.094 (a)	0.47 (a)
	1,3-dichlorobenzene	1.69	1.50	5.77	1.33	1.65	< 1.20	< 1.20	24.49	0.24	0.32 (a)	1.6 (a)
	1,4-dioxane	1.48	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720		0.59	24.49	0.24
	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	< 0.934	< 0.934	0.976	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934	< 0.934			146 (b)	620 (b)
	2-butanone	32.3	76.3	4.29	11.0	9.42	< 0.589	< 0.589	200	10	1040 (a)	4400 (a)
	2-hexanone	1.19	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	10.88	10.88	6.2 (a)	26 (a)
	acetone (1)	99.1	237	< 2.37 UJ	57.8 J	45.7 J	5.47 J	< 2.37	160.54	160.54	6400 (a)	28000 (a)
	benzene	0.654	1.24	0.530	0.338	0.329	< 0.319	< 0.319	1.74	0.12	0.31 (a)	1.6 (a)
	carbon disulfide	< 1.17	< 1.44	< 0.622	< 0.834	< 0.918	< 0.622	0.896	0.1	0.1	146 (a)	620 (a)
	chloroform	5.18	1.11	2.07	1.29	1.41	< 0.098	< 0.098	132.76	0.04	0.11 (a)	0.53 (a)
	chloromethane	< 0.413 UJ	< 0.413	< 0.413 UJ	< 0.413 UJ	< 0.413 UJ	1.12	< 0.413			18.8 (a)	78 (a)
	cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	< 0.792	215.62	107.81	12.6 (f)	52 (f)
	cyclohexane	< 0.688	< 0.688	1.74	1.15	1.27	< 0.688	< 0.688	280.82	280.82	1260 (a)	5200 (a)
	difluorodichloromethane	2.55	2.83	2.51	2.40	2.58	2.74	< 0.988			42 (a)	176 (a)
	ethanol (1)	10.6	58.7	7.18	< 4.71	5.31	< 4.71	< 4.71	51.24	51.24		
	ethylbenzene	< 0.868	< 0.868	1.36	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	300	300	0.97 (a)	4.9 (a)
	ethyl acetate	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	< 1.80	391.84	391.84		
	freon-113	< 1.53	< 1.94	< 1.53	< 1.80	< 1.86	< 1.94	< 1.53			6200 (a)	26000 (a)
	isopropanol (1)	< 1.23 UJ	3.76 J	< 1.23 UJ	< 1.23 UJ	< 1.23 UJ	< 1.23	< 1.23			41.22 (c)	41.22 (c)
	methylene chloride(1)	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	9.45	0.24	5.2 (a)	26 (a)
	methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	< 0.819	55.7	55.7	620 (a)	2600 (a)
	methyl tert butyl ether	< 0.720	< 0.720	10.1	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720	< 0.720			9.4 (a)	47 (a)
	p/m-xylene	< 1.74	< 1.74	4.52	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	< 1.74	11.8**	11.8**	146 (a)	620 (a)
	o-xlyene	< 0.868	< 0.868	2.06	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	< 0.868	11.8**	11.8**	146 (a)	620 (a)
	heptane	1.17	2.06	< 0.819	1.28	0.872	< 0.819	< 0.819			146 (d)	620 (d)
	n-hexane	< 0.704 UJ	2.51 J	0.768 J	0.732 J	0.743 J	< 0.704 UJ	< 0.704 UJ			146 (a)	620 (a)
	propylene	2.50	24.4	0.573	2.84	2.35	< 0.344	< 0.344			95.24 (g)	47.62 (g)
	styrene	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	< 0.851 UJ	200	2	200 (a)	880 (a)
	tetrachloroethene	5.60	1.47	1.30	2.05	2.49	0.169	< 0.136	922.18	0.02	0.41 (a)	2.1 (a)
	tetrahydrofuran	41.1	15.2	1.42	10.2	9.97	< 0.589	< 0.589	160.35	80.18		
	toluene	1.20	1.42	3.96	0.772	0.851	< 0.753	< 0.753	80	20	1040 (a)	4400 (a)
	trichloroethene	0.617	0.247	< 0.107	1.66	1.93	< 0.107	< 0.107	36.52	0.61	1.2 (a)	6.1 (a)
	trichlorofluoromethane	2.60	2.18	2.69	3.38	3.61	1.76	< 1.12			146 (a)	620 (a)

Notes:

 $\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter

VOCs - volatile organic compounds

EPA SL - EPA Screening Level; May 17, 2010

- (a) EPA Screening Level (ELCR of 1E-06 for carcinogens; hazard of 0.2 for noncarcinogens)
- (b) EPA SL for n-hexane used as surrogate for 2,2,4-trimethylpentane
- (c) AAL/TEL for isobutyl alcohol used as surrogate for isopropanol
- (d) EPA SL for n-hexane used as surrogate for heptane
- (e) EPA SL for 1,4-dichlorobenzene used as surrogate for 1,3-dichlorobenzene
- (f) EPA SL for trans-1,2-dichloroethene used as surrogate for cis-1,2-dichloroethene
- (g) AAL/TEL for alkanes/alkenes used as surrogate for propylene


Highlighted values show exceedances of comparison values and the value which was exceeded

- (1) Compound is a common laboratory contaminant as discussed in Section 3.
- VOC results for vent stack air are compared to contemporary outdoor air (background) sample.
- * Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs) for ambient air currently in effect (December, 1995)
- ** Value for xylenes (m-, o-,and p-isomers)
- -- No corresponding comparison criterion.
- J Concentration should be considered estimated.
- R- Result rejected due to calibration non-conformances.
- UJ Non-detect concentration should be considered estimated.

Sectis&6_Apr10_Tables.xls

FIGURES

Keith Middle School Indoor Air Sampling Locations



Hathaway Boulevard

- Indoor Air Sampling Point
- = Sample Locations

KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL NEW BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS

INDOOR AIR SAMPLING LOCATIONS



Wannalancit Mills 650 Suffolk Street Lowell, MA 01854 (978) 970-5600 FIGURE

DRAWN BY: --- DATE:
CHECKED BY: DMS MAY 2008

2-1

Keith Middle School Foundation Venting System Cafeteria Kitchen VS-2 VS-9 VS-1 VS-16 VS-11 VS-12 **Building B** Background **Building A** Gymnasium P_VS-7 **VS-4** VS-14 VS-3 vs-6 Auditorium VS-10 **Faculty Dining**

Hathaway Boulevard

- = Vent Riser / Vent StackSampling location
 - = Passive Venting and Collection System

KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL NEW BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS

VENT STACK SAMPLE LOCATIONS



Wannolancit Mills 650 Suffolk Street Lowell, MA 01854 (978) 970-5600

2-2

FIGURE

DRAWN BY: --CHECKED BY: DMS


DATE: MAY 2008

ILE: T:\E_CAD\115058\ventsys1A.d

Figure 5-1. Total PCB Trends in KMS Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Samples - August 2006 through April 2010 0.06 (1) Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC) = 0.3 ug/m^3 Risk-based Air Concentration for Comparison: Action Level (AL) = 0.05 ug/m^3 (1) 0.05 8/5/2006 0.04 8/19/2006 9/15/2006 **□**10/24/2006 Concentration (ug/m³) ■11/30/2006 **12/29/2006** 3/31/2007 0.03 4/18/2007 **5/19/2007 6**/21/2007 8/1/2007 **12/27/2007 4/25/2008** 0.02 **1**7/16/2008 2/19/2009 **4/23/2009 ■**8/20/2009 **1**2/29/2009 0.01 **2**/19/2010 4/20/2010 Total PCBs Hallway A Total PCBs Auditorium Total PCBs Faculty Dining Total PCBs Background **Sampling Location**

Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

Figure 5-2. KMS Vent Stack PCB Trends - August 2006 through April 2010



Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

300 2-butanone 250 methyl tert butyl ether tetrahydrofuran **■**toluene total xylenes 200 Concentration (ug/m³) Compounds presented are considered representative of the entire data set 150 100 50 3/3/107 418107 5/19/07 6127107 **Sampling Date**

Figure 6-1. VOC Trends in KMS Building A (IAQ) - August 2006 through April 2010

Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

300 2-butanone 250 methyl tert butyl ether tetrahydrofuran toluene total xylenes 200 Compounds presented Concentration (ug/m³) are considered representative of the entire data set 150 100 50 418107 5170107 Note Sampling Date

Figure 6-2. VOC Trends in KMS Building B (IAQ) - August 2006 through April 2010

Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

300 2-butanone 250 methyl tert butyl ether tetrahydrofuran **■**toluene total xylenes 200 Compounds presented Concentration (ug/m3) are considered representative of the entire data set 150 100 50 **Sampling Date**

Figure 6-3. VOC Trends in KMS Building C (IAQ) - August 2006 through April 2010


Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

Figure 6-4. VOC Trends in KMS Vent Stack VS-1 - August 2006 through April 2010 350 300 2-butanone methyl tert butyl ether tetrahydrofuran toluene 250 total xylenes Compounds presented are considered representative of the Concentration (Ug/m3) entire data set 100 50 4127170 818106 915106 10124106 1130106 12129106 1120101 3131101 418101 5/19107 6/21/07 81/101 12121101 4125108 11/1108 1230108 4123108 8120108 1230108 Sampling Date

Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect

values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

Figure 6-5. VOC Trends in KMS Vent Stack VS-4 - August 2006 through April 2010



Each bar represents a single measurement. Bars outlined in black represent values reported by the laboratory as nondetect. For charting purposes these nondetect values are plotted as one half the reporting limit.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF FIELD SAMPLING PROGRAM, ANALYTICAL PROGRAM, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

1.0 FIELD SAMPLING PROGRAM

1.1 Overview

This section describes the procedures that TRC followed during the field sampling program.

1.2 Indoor Air Quality Sampling

Each of the indoor air quality field samples was collected by TRC over the course of one 24-hour test period. Indoor air quality samples were collected for analysis of PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A and VOCs by EPA Method TO-15.

1.2.1 Method TO-4A

Indoor air quality (IAQ) samples were collected for PCBs following the procedures described in the EPA Compendium Method TO-4A, *Determination of Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Ambient Air Using High Volume Polyurethane Foam (PUF) Sampling followed by Gas Chromatographic/Multi-Detector Detection (GC/MD)*, Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition, USEPA, January 1999.

TRC placed a high volume sampler at each PCB indoor air sampling location. A multi-point calibration was performed on each high volume sampler prior to sample collection using a calibrated orifice. A polyurethane foam (PUF) sampling cartridge was then unsealed and inserted into the high volume sampler and the sampler turned on. The start time, elapsed hours counter reading, and flow rate (magnehelic reading) were then recorded on a data sheet. After 24 hours of sampling, the elapsed hours counter reading and flow rate (magnehelic reading) were recorded on a data sheet along with the stop time. The PUF cartridge was then removed from the sampler, sealed, and labeled. A single-point post sampling calibration audit was performed to document that the high volume sampler remained calibrated.

Following the collection of the TO-4A samples, the total volume of ambient air sampled for each cartridge was calculated based on the duration of sampling and the average flow rate, as determined from the initial and final flow rates.

The data sheets are provided in Appendix B and the reduced data are presented in Appendix C. The calibration certifications of the critical orifice can be found in Appendix D.

1.2.2 Method TO-15

IAQ samples were collected for VOCs following the procedures described in the EPA Compendium Method TO-15, *Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Air Collected in Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)*, Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition, USEPA, January 1999.

At each sampling location a six-liter evacuated SUMMATM canister was set up with a flow-controller set to collect a sample over a 24-hour sampling period, and the canister valve opened. The flow controllers are pre-set by the laboratory performing the VOC analysis. The start time, SUMMATM canister and flow-controller serial numbers, and SUMMATM canister initial vacuum are then recorded on a data sheet. After 24 hours of sampling, the SUMMATM canister valve was closed and the final SUMMATM canister vacuum and stop time recorded

The data sheets can be found in Appendix B and the reduced data can be found in Appendix C.

1.3 Foundation Vent Air Sampling

Each of the vent air field samples was collected by TRC over the course of a 4-hour test period. Vent air samples were collected for analysis of PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A and VOCs by EPA Method TO-15. Prior to sampling, all of the foundation vents were temporarily capped for approximately 24 hours. Just prior to sampling, TRC removed the caps from all vent stacks that were not being sampled to allow for the inflow of air. This approach is a modification to the procedure outlined in the LTMMIP to improve representativeness by allowing sample air to be drawn from the entire vent stack zone without potential stagnation of flow impacted by capped vent stacks.

1.3.1 Method TO-10A

Vent stack air samples were collected for PCBs following the procedures described in the EPA Compendium Method TO-10A, *Determination of Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Ambient Air Using High Volume Polyurethane Foam (PUF) Sampling followed by Gas Chromatographic/Multi-Detector Detection (GC/MD)*, Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition, USEPA, January 1999.

In order to sample each vent stack without collecting ambient air, a cap with TeflonTM tubing penetrating through it was placed over the vent stack. Prior to capping the stack, a PUF sampling cartridge was unsealed and connected to the length of tubing that would extend inside the vent stack. The tubing on the opposite side of the cap (that would be outside of the vent stack after the cap was installed) was attached to a Dawson® vacuum pump. A vacuum was applied to the tubing and cartridge using the pump and the vacuum was adjusted so that a flow rate of five liters per minute (LPM) of air was flowing through the PUF. The flow rate was confirmed using a Bios DefenderTM 520 primary gas flow calibrator. The cap was then placed over the vent stack with the PUF cartridge suspended in the stack. The start time and flow rate was then recorded on a data sheet. After 4 hours of sampling, the flow rate was confirmed using the bubble meter. The final flow rate and stop time are then recorded on the data sheet. The PUF cartridge was then disconnected from the tubing, sealed with the supplied end caps, placed into a sample jar and labeled.

Following the collection of all the TO-10A samples, the total volume of ambient air sampled for each cartridge was calculated based on the duration of sampling and the average flow rate, as determined from the initial and final flow rates.

The data sheets can be found in Appendix B and the reduced data can be found in Appendix C. The calibration certifications of the Bios DefenderTM 520 primary gas flow calibrator can be found in Appendix D.

1.3.2 Method TO-15

Foundation vent stack samples were collected for VOCs following the procedures described in the EPA Compendium Method TO-15, *Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)* in Air Collected in Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition, USEPA, January 1999.

At each sampling location a 2.75-liter evacuated SUMMATM canister was set up (connected to the vent stack air space via TeflonTM tubing) with a flow-controller set to collect a sample over a 4-hour sampling period, and the canister valve opened. The flow controllers are pre-set by the laboratory performing the VOC analysis. The start time, SUMMATM canister and flow-controller serial numbers, and SUMMATM canister initial vacuum are then recorded on a data sheet. After 4 hours of sampling, the SUMMATM canister valve was closed and the final SUMMATM canister vacuum and stop time recorded

The data sheets can be found in Appendix B and the reduced data can be found in Appendix C.

2.0 ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Samples collected by EPA Method TO-10A and TO-4A were prepared by the Soxhlet Extraction Method (EPA Method 3540C/TO-4A) and analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (EPA Method 680) for PCB Homologue distribution. Though the LTMMIP specified that PCBs were to be analyzed by the congener analytical method, the homologue analytical method is as reliable as the congener analytical method in quantifying total PCBs which is the basis for the EPA Action Level ($0.05~\mu g/m^3$) and Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration ($0.3~\mu g/m^3$) described in Section 5 and Appendix G. In addition, by quantifying PCB homologues, total PCB air data gathered at the KMS are directly comparable to total PCB air data gathered at the high school since both are based on homologues rather than congeners, which greatly facilitates communication and discussion with the general public on the results of analyses.

Samples collected by EPA Method TO-15 were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (EPA Method TO-15) for volatile organic compounds. Laboratory analytical results are presented in Appendix E.

3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

3.1 Overview

TRC management is fully committed to an effective Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Program whose objective is the delivery of a quality product. For much of TRC's work, that product is data developed from field measurements, sampling and analysis activities, engineering assessments, and the analysis of gathered data for planning purposes. TRC's QA/QC Program works to provide complete, precise, accurate, representative data in a timely manner for each project, considering both the project's needs and budget.

This section highlights the specific QA/QC procedures that were followed during this sampling and analysis program.

3.2 Field Quality Control Summary

Calibrations of the field sampling equipment were performed prior to the field sampling effort. Copies of the calibration sheets were submitted to the Field Team Leader to take onsite and placed in the project file. Calibrations were performed as described in the EPA 40 CFR Part 50 Appendix B. All calibrations were available for review during the test program. Copies of the equipment calibration forms can be found in Appendix D. All instrument calibrations met the performance criteria defined in 40 CFR 50 Appendix B.

3.3 Data Reduction and Validation

Specific QC measures were used to ensure the generation of reliable data from sampling and analysis activities. Proper collection and organization of accurate information followed by clear and concise reporting of the data is a primary goal in all projects.

3.3.1 Field Data Reduction

Appendix B of this document presents the standardized forms that were used to record field sampling data. The data collected was reviewed in the field by the Field Team Leader and at least one other field crewmember. Errors or discrepancies were noted in the field book.

3.3.2 Data Validation

TRC supervisory and QC personnel used validation methods and criteria appropriate to the type of data and the purpose of the measurement. Records of all data were maintained, including that judged as an "outlying" or spurious value. The persons validating the data have sufficient knowledge of the technical work to identify questionable values.

Field sampling data was validated by the Field Team Leader and/or the Field QC Coordinator based on their review of adherence to each approved sampling protocol and written sample collection procedure.

The following criteria were used to evaluate the field sampling data:

- Use of approved test procedures;
- Proper operation of the process being tested;
- Use of properly operating and calibrated equipment;
- Proper chain-of-custody maintained.

Laboratory analytical data was validated by TRC chemists. The sample results were assessed using the EPA New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analyses, revised December 1996. Modification of these guidelines was performed to accommodate the non-CLP methodology.

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters:

- Agreement of analyses conducted with TRC requests
- Holding times and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Method blanks
- System Monitoring Compound recoveries
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) and LCS Duplicate (LCSD) results
- Internal standard performance
- Field duplicate results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

The laboratory data validation memoranda can be found in Appendix F. All data are reported in standard units depending on the measurement and the ultimate use of the data.

3.4 Collocated Sampler Precision

Single collocated sampler pairs were included for both indoor and vent stack air (PCBs and VOCs) during each sampling event. Collocated samplers were operated for the same duration at near identical flow rates and were in close proximity to each other so as to represent near identical air space. The data resulting from the analyses of the collocated sampler pairs were used to define the precision of the combined sample collection and analyses scheme.

Precision was determined by the collection and analysis of replicate samples and is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD), which is determined according to the following equation:

$$RPD = \left[\frac{X_1 - X_2}{\frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}} \right] \times 100$$

where X_1 and X_2 are the measurement results of each replicate sample expressed as an absolute value (always positive).

4.0 INVENTORY OF CLEANING SUPPLIES AND INGREDIENTS

The following bulleted list provides an inventory of cleaning supplies and their ingredients which are likely contributing to the detection of VOCs in the indoor air quality samples:

- Butchers Heptagon Disinfectant Spray
 - Active ingredients:
 - o n-alkyl(60% C₁₄, 30% C₁₆, 5% C₁₂, 5% C₁₈)dimethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides
 - o n-alkyl(68% C₁₂, 32% C₁₄)dimethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides
- Eclipse Neutral All Purpose Cleaner
 - Water
 - modified amine condensate
 - tetrapotassium
 - pyrophosphate
- · Rebound Cleaner/Enhancer
 - Water
 - Polyethylene glycol
 - Nonionic surfactant
 - Monoethanol amine
- Concentrate 117 oxidizing multipurpose cleaner
 - Active ingredient:
 - o Hydrogen Peroxide 3.95%
- Misco Disinfectant cleaner -- mint -- HI-Con 64
 - Active ingredients:
 - o Didecyldimethyl ammonium chloride (2.54%)
 - o N-alkyl(C₁₄ 50%, C₁₂ 40%, C₁₆ 10%)dimethyldibenzyl ammonium chloride
- · Butchers Command Center Breakdown
 - Water
 - Alcohol ethoylate
 - Sodium xylene sulfonate
 - Bacillus spores
- Butchers Command Center Look
 - "see MSDS MS040015"
- Butchers Major Max Spray Buff
 - Water
 - Triethylene glycol
 - Dipropylene glycol

- · First Step Sealer Acrylic Floor Sealer
 - Water
 - Aqueous acrylic emulsion
 - Ethanol 2-(2-methoxy ethoxy)
 - Ethanol 2-(2-ethoxy ethoxy)
 - Tributoxy ethyl phosphate
- · Simplex Shine Up
 - Water
 - Petroleum distillates
 - Isobutene/propane blend
 - Petroleum solvent

APPENDIX B SAMPLING DATA

Keith Middle School Sampling Data Sheet Ambient Air Sampling

Setup Date:

Sampler(s): Sampler(s):

		•		33LPM	. {	C À	h	`	<i>'</i> 20	-	3.1				
		Flow Controller	Serial No.:	1122	300	してい	200		27.00	000	7.85	-			
			Serial No.:	057	200	7 0)	1551	-	7674	3	20V				
	in Ha)	(Si	rinisn	120		o v	V		19	0 0				•	
T0-15	Vacilim (in Ha)	Start	Otal (738,	>30 %		730"		730		750				
	Je Je	Stop		1401	1010		2000		7423	6 11 4	747				
	Time	Start	ال ۱۰۰۰	140+	1-1.3	17.0	-	11.17	1200	777	1				-
		Location	7.94	THEO THE	Andi	T X T		5		(Se - M)	char.				

				TO-4A				
				¥4-0-				
					•	Sampler		
	Ξ	lime	PUF	Serial		Counter (Hre)	, ct-0 0	
Location	Start	Stop	Number	Nimber	12010	1113)	riow hate (riow Hate (Mag Reading)
7.4.1	N. C.	006.	*	- Common	Stall	LIUISU	Initial	Final
1 TROVIA	1100	1991		020	24,59	\$2 QQ	27	077
Ands	777	017	7	027	1.0.1	1000	0 ;	7.7
			\ \ \	1,00	454,47	461.17	ت ه	₩.
נאכר	2	20		126	בייוון	62001	8	
	1011	10 6/1 4	1		/ -	X 200 X	20	2
107	1111	1794	2	872	C134.64	2 60 6 7	(4	1011
G- 1-2		100110		3 4 3	1	10000	7	7
1700	0 61	1747		87.2	アコ・エロ	72007	7,	0 7 7
		<u> </u>				2000	7	0
/								



Keith Middle School Sampling Data Sheet Vent Air Sampling

Setup Date: Recovery Date:

Sampler(s): Sampler(s):

_										
	Flow Controller	Serial No.:	CXX	02000 02000	0792) W S	2017	200	3	
	SUMMA	Serial No.:	S _N) y	, ,	257	3 6		
	(in Hg)	Finish	2	7	6-	9-	1	2.8.5		
TO-15	Vacuum (in Hg)	Start	7-30	7-30	7 - 30	0	1.8	- 25	·	
	Je.	Stop	1402	80H)	8011	1415	. 6141	08h1		
	Time	Start	000	1008	1000	70	1019	0801	•	
		Location	15-12	VS-7	V5-720	YS-1	٨-٥٨	VS-86		

$\overline{}$		Г	1	1			T	T	T	Т
	e (LPM)	Finish	5,63	02 9	5.3	81.7	2,2	7.8%		
	Flow Rate (LPM)	Start	5.00	` `0	5.18	5.11	0	300		
TO-10A	Time	Stop	1405	1408	1408	1415	1419	1430		
	Tir	Start	1001	1008	100%	1015	800	1630		
	The second secon	Location	75-12	15-27	VS-1000	18-1	78-4	VS- B6		



APPENDIX C FIELD REDUCED DATA

INDOOR SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Average Temp (oF/ K):	67.0	292.4	Average Baro. Press ("Hg / mmHg):	29.80	756.9	Wednesday, April 21, 2010

Location	S	Serial #	ms	bs	Start Reading ("H2O)	Start Reading (lpm)	Stop Reading ("H2O)	Stop Reading (lpm)	Avg. Reading ("H2O)	RPD of Start and Stop Readings	Avg. Flow (lpm)	Start time (hr)	Stop Time (hr)	Total Sample Time (min)	Total Actual Sample Volume (m ³)
C-23, Faculty Lounge *	TO-4A	820	0.035	-1.461	56		49		52.5	13.33	246	24.59	48.49	1434	352.4
A-23, Hallway outside rm A-167	TO-4A	821	0.037	-1.095	58		52		55	10.91	225	414.75	438.72	1438	324.1
B-23 (Auditorium)	TO-4A	822	0.035	-1.194	56		53		54.5	5.50	242	437.79	461.77	1439	348.9

Note:

OUTDOOR SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Average Temp (oF/ K):	54.3	285.4	Average Baro. Press ("Hg / mmHg):	29.80	756.9	Wednesday, April 21, 2010

Location		Serial #	m_s	b _s	Start Reading ("H2O)	Start Reading (lpm)	Stop Reading ("H2O)	Stop Reading (lpm)	Avg. Reading ("H2O)	RPD of Start and Stop Readings	Avg. Flow (lpm)	Start time (hr)	Stop Time (hr)	Total Sample Time (min)	Total Actual Sample Volume (m³)
BG-23	TO-4A	825	0.032	-0.514	50		44		47	12.77	227	434.64	458.64	1440	326.8
BG-23-Dup	TO-4A	823	0.039	-2.223	54		48		51	11.76	236	414.44	438.46	1441	340.8
VS-4-23	TO-10A					5.01		4.4		12.96	4.71	10:19	14:19	240	1.1
VS-1-23	TO-10A					5.11		4.18		20.02	4.65	10:15	14:15	240	1.1
VS-12-23	TO-10A					5.06		5.03		0.59	5.05	10:02	14:02	240	1.2
VS-7-23	TO-10A					5.11		5.2		1.75	5.16	10:08	14:08	240	1.2
VS-7-23-DUP	TO-10A					5.28		5.31		0.57	5.30	10:08	14:08	240	1.3
VS-BG-23	TO-10A					5.06		4.86		4.03	4.96	10:30	14:30	240	1.2

APPENDIX D EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION SHEETS

PS1 Calibration Data Sheet

Orif.Cal.Data: 14640 Station # FACULIA Calibration Orifice S/N: Serial #: 820 Site: New Bedford, MA Date: 4/2016 Network: Keith Middle School Technician: DG XD

Thermometer Serial #: 1001247 Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 2

29.82

Motor Change Quarterly Recal

1/3/10

Bar.press (in Hg):

Brush Change

New Instrument

Reason for Calibration (Circle One):

	I ("H2O) Magnahelic	80.00	70.00	90.09	50.00	40.00
bration Orifice	Total	7.5	h 9	5.7	0.5	0.17
ΔH _o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice	Right	3.7	3.2	2.9	5.2	2.0
	Left	38	2.8	2.8	5.2	2.0

PS1 Post-Sampling Flow Audit

Network: Keith Middle School

Site: New Bedford, MA

Serial #: **82**8

Station # Faculty

Technician: Com

Date: 4-21-10

Calibration Orifice S/N: 1 [2 S

Orif.Cal.Data: // 7

Thermometer Serial #: 1 001247 Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 19.

Bar.press (in Hg): 29.80

	I ("H2O Magnahe
bration Orifice	Total
ΔH _o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice	Right
	Left

50.00

PS1 Calibration Data Sheet

Network: Keith Middle School

Technician: 🂢)

Site: New Bedford, MA

Station # Hace 4 (167)

Calibration Orifice S/N: 1125 Serial #: 82

Orif.Cal.Data: / | ∃

Reason for Calibration (Circle One):

New Instrument Brush Change

Date: 4/20/10

Motor Change Quarterly Recal

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 22 7

Bar.press (in Hg): 29.67

Thermometer Serial #:

AH, ("H2O) Calibration Orifice

	I ("H2O)		90:00	00.07	00.00	50.00
STEED HOUSE	Total	ゼズ	6.7	3	14.9	7.7
	Right	t5	2.3	2.8	7.4	2.0
	Left	38	7.4 2.4	30	57	7.7



PS1 Post-Sampling Flow Audit

Network: Keith Middle School

Site: New Bedford, MA

Serial #: \$2(Station # # [1000]

Technician:

Date: 4/2// [() Calibration Orifice S/N: [(25

Orif.Cal.Data: [/ 7/ (G

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 19-6

Thermometer Serial #: イタリンイフ

Bar.press (in Hg): 27.80

ΔH_o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice

I ("H2O) Magnahelic 50.00 0000 Total Right

PS1 Calibration Data Sheet

Orif.Cal.Data: Station # AUCh Serial #: 822 Calibration Orifice S/N: 1125 Site: New Bedford, MA Date: 4/20/10 Network: Keith Middle School Technician: No XV

Brush Change

New Instrument

Reason for Calibration (Circle One):

Motor Change Quarterly Recal

Thermometer Serial #: UOO 12UAmb. Temp, T1 (°C): 18.7

Bar.press (in Hg): $\frac{29.92}{2}$

	I ("H2O) Magnahelic	80.00	70.00	00.09	50.00	40.00
ΔH _o (HZO) Calibration Oritice	Total	7.3	t	9	5	1
ΔΠ ₀ (ΠΖΟ) Call	Right	3.6	33	3.0	2.5	2.0
	Left	27	34	5.0	2.5	2.0

PS1 Post-Sampling Flow Audit

Network: Keith Middle School

Site: New Bedford, MA

Serial #: 822

Technician:

Station # HUL

Date: 4-21-10

Calibration Orifice S/N: 1/25 Orif.Cal.Data: 1/7/10

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 19.6

Thermometer Serial #: 1001247

Bar.press (in Hg): 27.50

	I ("H2O) Magnahelic	50.00
oration Urifice	Total	5.00
ALLO (1120) Calibration Urifice	Right	2.55
	Left	2.45



PS1 Calibration Data Sheet

Station # 850 DLO Orif.Cal.Data: 1) 4 10 Calibration Orifice S/N: Serial #: Site: New Bedford, MA Date: 4/20/10 Network: Keith Middle School Technician: \(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \)

Technician: ハス / メカ Date: イルン 10 Date: イルン

Motor Change

Quarterly Recal

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C):
Thermometer Serial #:

Bar.press (in Hg):

29.62

	I ("H2O) Magnahelic	80.00	70.00	60.00	50.00	40.00
	I (") Magn	80	02	09	50	40
bration Orifice	Total	& t	49	2.9	5.1	£. h
ΔH _o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice	Right	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.5	1.2
	Left	t's	3.4	25	5.6	2.2

PS1 Post-Sampling Flow Audit

Network: Keith Middle School

Site: New Bedford, MA

Serial #: 823 Station # 86-000

Technician:

Date:

Orif.Cal.Data: //7///

Calibration Orifice S/N: 1/2S

Bar.press (in Hg):

ΔH_o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice

Thermometer Serial #: 1001247

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C):

S 0 S Total Right

Left

I ("H2O) Magnahelic

50.00

PS1 Calibration Data Sheet

Station # 867 Calibration Orifice S/N: 1/2 < Serial #: 82< Site: New Bedford, MA Date: 4 20 10 Network: Keith Middle School Technician: DG)

Orif.Cal.Data: $1/\pi h o$

Reason for Calibration (Circle One):

Motor Change

Brush Change

New Instrument

Quarterly Recal 23.62

Thermometer Serial #:

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C):

Bar.press (in Hg):

	I ("H2O) Maqnahelic	80.00	70.00	60.00	50.00	
bration Orifice	Total	7.0	6.9	6.3	2.5	/
ΔH _o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice	Right	3.8	3.4	31	2.6	
	Left	4.0	3.5	25	2.6	

PS1 Post-Sampling Flow Audit

Network: Keith Middle School

Technician:

Site: New Bedford, MA

Serial #: 825 Station #

Date:

Calibration Orifice S/N: 1/25

Orif.Cal.Data: //7/

Amb. Temp, T1 (°C): 19.6

Thermometer Serial #: 1001947

Bar.press (in Hg): **25** 25,90

ΔH_o ("H2O) Calibration Orifice

I ("H2O) Magnahelic	00.03
Total	5.18
Right	i S
Left	2.55

APPENDIX E LABORATORY DATA REPORTS (ON CD)

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Project Name:	Keith Middle School	
Project No.:	115058	<10040133P
Sampling Date(s):	4/21/10	
Laboratory:	NEA	100401331
Laboratory P.O.:		***************************************
Shipping Date(s):	04/22/10	
Shipper's Name:	TRC	

Temp = 3.5°C

- -	<10040133P1>
-	100401331
-	

	7						
	Sampled	Contai	iner				
Sample Code	Date	Size	G/P	MATRIX	Description	ANALYSIS	Comments (volume m ³)
S-12-23 AND4192	04/21/10		G	PUF	vent stack air	TO-10A	1.2
rs-7-23 ANO4193	04/21/10		G	PUF	vent stack air	TO-10A	1.2
S-7-23-DUP ANO4194	04/21/10		G	PUF	vent stack air	TO-10A	1.3
S-1-23 ANO4195	04/21/10		G	PUF	vent stack air	TO-10A	1.1
rs-4-23 ANO4196	04/21/10		G	PUF	vent stack air	TO-10A	1.1
S-TB-23 AN04197	04/21/10		G	PUF	trip blank	TO-10A	N/A
S-BG-23 ANO4198	04/21/10		G	PUF	background	TO-10A	1.2
2-23 ANO4199	04/21/10		G	PUF	ambient air , Faculty Lounge	TO-4A	352.4
3-23 AN04200	04/21/10		G	PUF	ambient air, Auditorium	TO-4A	348.9
A-23 ANO4201	04/21/10		G	PUF	ambient air , Hallway	TO-4A	324.1
				•			
G-23 ANO420Z	04/21/10		G .	PUF	ambient air	TO-4A	326.8
G-23-DUP ANO 4203	04/21/10		G	PUF	ambient air	TO-4A	340.8
TRIP BLANK - 23 ANO 4204	04/21/10		G	PUF	trip blank	TO-4A	N/A
The same of	1						
Relinquished by:	un !	Date/Time: 🕹	4-22	10 1500	Relinquished by:		
Received by: Remarks (*): Do not analyze VS-14-	7e 1	Date/Time: 4	4-23	100 IOIO	Received by:		

TRC

					CHAIN O	F CUSTODY RECORD		
Project Name:	Keith Middle Sc	chool						
Project No.:	115058	8		_ <u><1</u>	0040133P2>			
Sampling Date(s	s): <u>4/21/10</u>)			01332			
Laboratory:	NEA			1004				
Laboratory P.O	:: 115058	3						
Shipping Date(s):04/22/10)		_				
Shipper's Name:	: TRC			_				
		Sampled	Con	tainer				
Sample Code	***	Date	Size	G/P	MATRIX	Source Description	ANALYSIS	Comments (volume m ³)
C-23	AN04205	04/21/10	bag	P	filter	ambient air, Faculty Lounge	TO-4A	352.4
B-23	ANOYZOLO	04/21/10	bag	Р	filter	ambient air, Cafeteria	TO-4A	348.9
A-23	AN04207	04/21/10	bag	P	filter	ambient air , Hallway	TO-4A	324.1
								324.1
BG-23	AN04208	04/21/10	bag	P	filter	ambient air	TO-4A	326.8
BG-23-DUP	AN04209	04/21/10	bag	P	filter	ambient air	TO-4A	340.8
TRIP BLANK-23	ANOUZIO	04/21/10	bag	P	filter	tip blank	TO-4A	N/A
					·			
						······································		

Relinquished by; Received by: Remarks (*):

Relinquished by:

Received by:

Date/Time: 4-22-16 / 500
Date/Time: 4/23 10 / 010

Temp=3.5°C





CUSTOMER ID: VS-12-23 **NEA ID:** AN04192 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-01

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 **DATE ANALYZED:** 04/29/2010

 HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	U	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	U	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	U	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	U	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	U	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U		9	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-7-23 **NEA ID:** AN04193 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-02

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	IJ		3	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-7-23-DUP **NEA ID:** AN04194 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-03

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00385	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00385	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00385	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00769	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00769	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	U	0.00769	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0115	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0115	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0192	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0192	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	\mathbf{U}		9	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-1-23 **NEA ID:** AN04195 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-04

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	U	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	U	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	U	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	U	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	U	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0136	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0136	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0227	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0227	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U		J	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-4-23 **NEA ID:** AN04196 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-05

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00455	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00909	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0136	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0136	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0227	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0227	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	\mathbf{U}		9	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-TB-23 **NEA ID:** AN04197 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-06

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 **DATE ANALYZED:** 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00500	ug	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00500	ug	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00500	ug	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0100	ug	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	U	0.0100	ug	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	U	0.0100	ug	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U			ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: VS-BG-23 **NEA ID:** AN04198 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-07

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-10A/680 **DATE ANALYZED:** 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00417	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00833	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0125	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0208	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U			ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: C-23 **NEA ID:** AN04199 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-08

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/27/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	0.0000866		0.0000140	ug/m³	9.81
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	0.000796		0.0000140	ug/m³	90.2
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000710	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000710	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.000882			3	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: B-23 **NEA ID:** AN04200 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-09

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/27/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	0.000384		0.0000140	ug/m ³	100
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m ³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m ³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m ³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m ³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m ³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000720	ug/m ³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000720	ug/m ³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.000384			-	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: A-23 **NEA ID:** AN04201 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-10

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	0.00279		0.0000310	ug/m³	47.0
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	0.00170		0.0000620	ug/m³	28.7
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	0.00144		0.0000620	ug/m³	24.3
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000620	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000930	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000930	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.000154	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.000154	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.00593			8	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: BG-23 **NEA ID:** AN04202 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-11

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/27/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	0.0000614		0.0000150	ug/m³	100
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.0000610			3	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: BG-23-DUP **NEA ID:** AN04203 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-12

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/27/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	0.000226		0.0000150	ug/m³	99.8
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.000226			3	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: TRIP BLANK-23 **NEA ID:** AN04204 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-13

MATRIX: POLYURETHANE FOAM DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/27/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00500	ug	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00500	ug	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.00500	ug	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0100	ug	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0100	ug	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0100	ug	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	\mathbf{U}			ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: C-23 **NEA ID:** AN04205 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-14

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/28/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAG	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000280	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000710	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000710	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U		-	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: B-23 **NEA ID:** AN04206 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-15

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/28/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	U	0.0000140	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000430	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000720	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000720	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	IJ		-	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: A-23 **NEA ID:** AN04207 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-16

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/28/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m ³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m ³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m ³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	0.000136		0.0000310	ug/m³	58.4
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	0.0000965		0.0000310	ug/m ³	41.4
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m ³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m ³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000770	ug/m ³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000770	ug/m ³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	0.000233			-	

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: BG-23 **NEA ID:** AN04208 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-17

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/28/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAG	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000310	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m ³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000460	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000760	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U		="	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: BG-23-DUP **NEA ID:** AN04209 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-18

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/28/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	S PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000150	ug/m³	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000290	ug/m³	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000440	ug/m³	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000440	ug/m³	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000730	ug/m³	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0000730	ug/m³	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	\mathbf{U}		ū	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative





CUSTOMER ID: TRIP BLANK-23 **NEA ID:** AN04210 **NEA LRF:** 10040133-19

MATRIX: FILTER DATE SAMPLED: 04/21/2010 TIME: N/A

DATE RECEIVED: 04/23/2010 **TIME:** 10:10 **PROJECT:** 115058 KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

SAMPLED BY: N/A LOCATION: NEW BEDFORD, MA

CUSTOMER PO: N/A LAB ELAP#: 11078

METHOD: PCBs by EPA Method TO-4A/680 DATE ANALYZED: 04/29/2010

HOMOLOG GROUP	CAS NUMBER	AMOUNT	FLAGS	PQL	UNITS	WEIGHT PERCENT
Monochlorobiphenyl	27323-18-8	ND	U	0.00500	ug	ND
Dichlorobiphenyl	25512-42-9	ND	U	0.00500	ug	ND
Trichlorobiphenyl	25323-68-6	ND	U	0.00500	ug	ND
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	26914-33-0	ND	U	0.0100	ug	ND
Pentachlorobiphenyl	25429-29-2	ND	U	0.0100	ug	ND
Hexachlorobiphenyl	26601-64-9	ND	U	0.0100	ug	ND
Heptachlorobiphenyl	28655-71-2	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Octachlorobiphenyl	55722-26-4	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0150	ug	ND
Nonachlorobiphenyl	53742-07-7	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	ND	\mathbf{U}	0.0250	ug	ND
Total PCB	1336-36-3	ND	U		G	ND

Notes: ND (Not Detected). Denotes analyte not detected at a concentration greater than the PQL.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit). Denotes lowest analyte concentration reportable for the sample.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:

William A. Kotas Sr. Laboratory Representative



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Lab Number: L1005927

Client: TRC Environmental Consultants

Wannalancit Mills 650 Suffolk Street

Lowell, MA 01854

ATTN: David Sullivan Phone: (978) 656-3600

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Certifications & Approvals: MA (M-MA030), NY (11627), CT (PH-0141), NH (2206), NJ (MA015), RI (LAO00299), ME (MA0030), PA (Registration #68-02089), LA NELAC (03090), FL NELAC (E87814), US Army Corps of Engineers.



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Alpha Sample ID	Client ID	Sample Location	Collection Date/Time
L1005927-01	VS-12-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-02	VS-7-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-03	VS-7-23-DUP	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-04	VS-1-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-05	VS-4-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-06	VS-BG-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-07	VS-TB-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-08	C-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-09	B-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-10	A-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-11	BG-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-12	BG-23-DUP	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-13	TB-23	Not Specified	04/21/10 00:00
L1005927-14	CAN 640	Not Specified	
L1005927-15	CAN 1636	Not Specified	
L1005927-16	CAN 247	Not Specified	
L1005927-17	CAN 396	Not Specified	
L1005927-18	CAN 1544	Not Specified	

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

MADEP MCP Response Action Analytical Report Certification

This form provides certifications for all samples performed by MCP methods. Please refer to the Sample Results and Container Information sections of this report for specification of MCP methods used for each analysis. The following questions pertain only to MCP Analytical Methods.

An at	firmative response to questions A through F is required for "Presumptive Certainty" status	
Α	Were all samples received in a condition consistent with those described on the Chain-of-Custody, properly preserved (including temperature) in the field or laboratory, and prepared/analyzed within method holding times?	YES
В	Were the analytical method(s) and all associated QC requirements specified in the selected CAM protocol(s) followed?	YES
С	Were all required corrective actions and analytical response actions specified in the selected CAM protocol(s) implemented for all identified performance standard non-conformances?	YES
D	Does the laboratory report comply with all the reporting requirements specified in CAM VII A, "Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data?"	YES
Еa	VPH, EPH, and APH Methods only: Was each method conducted without significant modification(s)? (Refer to the individual method(s) for a list of significant modifications).	YES
Εb	APH and TO-15 Methods only: Was the complete analyte list reported for each method?	NO
F	Were all applicable CAM protocol QC and performance standard non-conformances identified and evaluated in a laboratory narrative (including all "No" responses to Questions A through E)?	YES

A res	sponse to questions G, H and I is required for "Presumptive Certainty" status	
G	Were the reporting limits at or below all CAM reporting limits specified in the selected CAM protocol(s)?	YES
Н	Were all QC performance standards specified in the CAM protocol(s) achieved?	YES
I	Were results reported for the complete analyte list specified in the selected CAM protocol(s)?	NO

For any questions answered "No", please refer to the case narrative section on the following page(s).

Please note that sample matrix information is located in the Sample Results section of this report.



L1005927

Lab Number:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Case Narrative

The samples were received in accordance with the Chain of Custody and no significant deviations were encountered during the preparation or analysis unless otherwise noted. Sample Receipt, Container Information, and the Chain of Custody are located at the back of the report.

Results contained within this report relate only to the samples submitted under this Alpha Lab Number and meet all of the requirements of NELAC, for all NELAC accredited parameters. The data presented in this report is organized by parameter (i.e. VOC, SVOC, etc.). Sample specific Quality Control data (i.e. Surrogate Spike Recovery) is reported at the end of the target analyte list for each individual sample, followed by the Laboratory Batch Quality Control at the end of each parameter. If a sample was re-analyzed or re-extracted due to a required quality control corrective action and if both sets of data are reported, the Laboratory ID of the re-analysis or re-extraction is designated with an "R" or "RE", respectively. When multiple Batch Quality Control elements are reported (e.g. more than one LCS), the associated samples for each element are noted in the grey shaded header line of each data table. Any Laboratory Batch, Sample Specific % recovery or RPD value that is outside the listed Acceptance Criteria is bolded in the report. Definitions of all data qualifiers and acronyms used in this report are provided in the Glossary located at the back of the report.

Please see the associated ADEx data file for a comparison of laboratory reporting limits that were achieved with the regulatory Numerical Standards requested on the Chain of Custody.

For additional information, please contact Client Services at 800-624-9220.

MCP Related Narratives

Canisters were released from the laboratory on April 16, 2010.

The canister certification results are provided as an addendum.

The internal standards were within method criteria.

No flow controller to sample association could be made. The RPD of the pre- and post-flow controller calibration checks were within acceptable limits.

In reference to questions E b/I:

All samples were analyzed for a subset of the MCP compounds per the project.

MCP Volatile Organics in Air

L1005927-01 and WG410363-5 Duplicate: The presence of Chloromethane, Freon 114, Acetone and



L1005927

Lab Number:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Case Narrative (continued)

Isopropyl Alcohol could not be determined in this sample due to non-target compounds interfering with the identification and quantification of this compound.

L1005927-02 through -04: The presence of Chloromethane, Freon 114, and Isopropyl Alcohol could not be determined in these samples due to non-target compounds interfering with the identification and quantification of this compound.

L1005927-05 The presence of Chloromethane could not be determined in this sample due to non-target compounds interfering with the identification and quantification of this compound. The results for Isopropyl Alcohol should be considered estimated due to co-elution with a non-target peak.

L1005927-06, -11, and -12 results for Acetone should be considered estimated due to co-elution with a non-target peak.

L1005927-08 through -10 results for Chloromethane and Acetone should be considered estimated due to coelution with a non-target peak.

The WG410363-3 LCS recovery for Vinyl acetate (131%) is outside the 70%-130% acceptance limit. The LCS was within overall method allowances, therefore the analysis proceeded.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete. This certificate of analysis is not complete unless this page accompanies any and all pages of this report.

Authorized Signature:

William Kathleen O'Brien

Title: Technical Director/Representative Date: 05/07/10

AIR



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-01 Client ID: VS-12-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 22:09

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00
Date Received: 04/23/10
Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m	ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - M	lansfield Lab					
Propylene	0.333	0.200	0.573	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.508	0.200	2.51	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	3.81	2.50	7.18	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.480	0.200	2.69	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	2.81	0.200	10.1	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	1.46	0.200	4.29	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-01 Client ID: VS-12-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: Field Prep:

04/23/10 Not Specified

Sample Location.				i iciu	i iep.	Not Sp
	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - M	lansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	0.483	0.200	1.42	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	0.218	0.200	0.768	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	0.507	0.200	1.74	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.209	0.200	0.976	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	1.05	0.200	3.96	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	0.313	0.200	1.36	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	1.04	0.400	4.52	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	0.475	0.200	2.06	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1

ND

0.200

ND

0.982



1

1,3,5-Trimethybenzene

L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-01

Client ID: VS-12-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

	ppbV	ppbV		3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.244	0.200	1.20	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.961	0.200	5.77	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-01 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-12-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 22:09

Analyst: RY

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by S	IM - Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.424	0.020	2.07	0.098		1
Benzene	0.166	0.100	0.530	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.192	0.020	1.30	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

L1005927 Report Date: 05/07/10

Lab Number:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-02

Client ID: VS-7-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor 101,TO-15 Anaytical Method: Analytical Date: 04/28/10 23:25

Analyst: RY Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: 04/23/10 Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u></u>	ug/m	3		Dilution Factor
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	insfield Lab					
Propylene	1.65	0.200	2.84	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.486	0.200	2.40	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	24.3	1.00	57.8	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.603	0.200	3.38	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	0.268	0.200	0.834	0.622		1
Freon-113	0.235	0.200	1.80	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	3.75	0.200	11.0	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-02

Client ID:

VS-7-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

oampio zooddom				1 1014 1 1001		
	ppbV	<u></u>	ug/m	3		Dilution Factor
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	3.45	0.200	10.2	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	0.208	0.200	0.732	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	0.334	0.200	1.15	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	0.312	0.200	1.28	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	0.205	0.200	0.772	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-02

Client ID: VS-7-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Results	RDL	L Results RDL	Qualifier	Factor	
eld Lab					
ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
0.222	0.200	1.33	1.20		1
ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1
	Results eld Lab ND ND 0.222 ND ND ND	Results RDL	Results RDL Results eld Lab ND 0.200 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.222 0.200 1.33 ND 0.200 ND ND 0.200 ND ND 0.200 ND ND 0.200 ND	Results RDL Results RDL eld Lab ND 0.200 ND 0.982 ND 0.200 ND 1.03 0.222 0.200 1.33 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.48	Results RDL Results RDL Qualifier eld Lab ND 0.200 ND 0.982 ND 0.200 ND 1.03 0.222 0.200 1.33 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.20 ND 0.200 ND 1.48



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-02 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-7-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 23:25

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SI	IM - Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.264	0.020	1.29	0.098		1
Benzene	0.106	0.100	0.338	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	0.309	0.020	1.66	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.302	0.020	2.05	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-03 Client ID: VS-7-23-DUP

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15

Analytical Date: Analyst:

04/29/10 00:03 RY Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

Allalyst. RY

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfi	eld Lab					
Propylene	1.36	0.200	2.35	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.523	0.200	2.58	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	2.82	2.50	5.31	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	19.2	1.00	45.7	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.643	0.200	3.61	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	0.295	0.200	0.918	0.622		1
Freon-113	0.243	0.200	1.86	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	3.20	0.200	9.42	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Sample Location:

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-03 Client ID: VS-7-23-DUP

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mar	nsfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	3.38	0.200	9.97	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	0.211	0.200	0.743	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	0.369	0.200	1.27	0.688		1
,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	0.213	0.200	0.872	0.819		1
sis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	0.226	0.200	0.851	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
I-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-03 Client ID: VS-7-23-DUP

Client ID: VS Sample Location: Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.274	0.200	1.65	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-03 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-7-23-DUP Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor
Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM
Analytical Date: 04/29/10 00:03

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.289	0.020	1.41	0.098		1
Benzene	0.103	0.100	0.329	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	0.360	0.020	1.93	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.367	0.020	2.49	0.136		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Report Date: 05/07/10

Lab Number:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-04

Client ID: VS-1-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/29/10 00:41

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - M	lansfield Lab					
Propylene	1.45	0.200	2.50	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.516	0.200	2.55	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	5.65	2.50	10.6	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	41.8	1.00	99.1	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.464	0.200	2.60	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	0.375	0.200	1.17	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	11.0	0.200	32.3	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-04

Client ID: VS-1-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>' </u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution	
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor	
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	nsfield Lab						
Tetrahydrofuran	13.9	0.200	41.1	0.589		1	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1	
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1	
,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1	
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1	
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1	
,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1	
,4-Dioxane	0.412	0.200	1.48	0.720		1	
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1	
leptane	0.285	0.200	1.17	0.819		1	
is-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
ans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1	
oluene	0.319	0.200	1.20	0.753		1	
-Hexanone	0.291	0.200	1.19	0.819		1	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1	
,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
n/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1	
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1	
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1	
,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1	
-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1	
,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1	



L1005927

05/07/10

04/21/10 00:00

Lab Number:

Report Date:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-04 Date Collected:

Client ID: VS-1-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansf	ield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.281	0.200	1.69	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-04 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-1-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor
Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM
Analytical Date: 04/29/10 00:41

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM	- Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	1.06	0.020	5.18	0.098		1
Benzene	0.205	0.100	0.654	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	0.115	0.020	0.617	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.827	0.020	5.60	0.136		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Report Date: 05/07/10

Lab Number:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-05

Client ID: VS-4-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/29/10 01:19

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: 04/23/10 Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Propylene	14.2	0.200	24.4	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.572	0.200	2.83	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	31.2	2.50	58.7	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	99.8	1.00	237	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.389	0.200	2.18	1.12		1
Isopropanol	1.53	0.500	3.76	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	0.463	0.200	1.44	0.622		1
Freon-113	0.253	0.200	1.94	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	25.9	0.200	76.3	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-05

Client ID: Sample Location:

VS-4-23

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	5.15	0.200	15.2	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	0.712	0.200	2.51	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	0.503	0.200	2.06	0.819		1
is-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
I-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
oluene	0.377	0.200	1.42	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
l-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-05

Client ID:

Sample Location:

VS-4-23

Date Collected: Date Received: 04/21/10 00:00

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	<u>, </u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - N	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.250	0.200	1.50	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-05 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-4-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor
Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM
Analytical Date: 04/29/10 01:19

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air b	y SIM - Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.227	0.020	1.11	0.098		1
Benzene	0.390	0.100	1.24	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	0.046	0.020	0.247	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.217	0.020	1.47	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-06 Client ID: VS-BG-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 21:30

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansi	field Lab					
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.554	0.200	2.74	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.544	0.200	1.12	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	2.31	1.00	5.47	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.313	0.200	1.76	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	0.254	0.200	1.94	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-06 Client ID:

VS-BG-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

' '					•	
	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3	Qualifier	Dilution Factor
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL		
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	nsfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



1

1

1

L1005927

Lab Number:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene

Hexachlorobutadiene

Project Number: 115058 **Report Date:** 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: Date Collected: L1005927-06 04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: Client ID: VS-BG-23 04/23/10 Field Prep: Sample Location: Not Specified

ppbV ug/m3 **Dilution** Factor Results RDL Qualifier **Parameter** Results **RDL** MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene ND 0.200 ND 0.982 1 Benzyl chloride ND 0.200 ND 1.03 1 1,3-Dichlorobenzene ND 0.200 ND 1.20 1 1,4-Dichlorobenzene ND 0.200 ND 1.20 1 1,2-Dichlorobenzene

0.200

0.200

0.200

ND

ND

ND

1.20

1.48

2.13

ND

ND

ND



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-06 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-BG-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 21:30

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.025	0.020	0.169	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-07 Client ID: VS-TB-23

Sample Location:

Soil_Vapor

Anaytical Method: Analytical Date:

Analyst:

Matrix:

RY

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

101,TO-15 04/28/10 17:04

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mar	nsfield Lab					
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	0.288	0.200	0.896	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-07 Client ID: VS-TB-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: Field Prep:

04/23/10

campio 200alioni				r lota r rop.		
	ppbV	<u></u>	ug/m	3		
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
is-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
oluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1
?-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
n/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-07

Client ID:

VS-TB-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppb\	<u>/</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - I	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-07 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: VS-TB-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

Matrix: Soil_Vapor Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 17:04

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1



L1005927

04/21/10 00:00

Not Specified

04/23/10

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: Report Date: 115058 05/07/10

Lab Number:

Date Collected:

Date Received:

Field Prep:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-08

Client ID: C-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 18:20

Analyst: RY

Analysi. Ki						
	ppbV		ug/m3	<u> </u>		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	nsfield Lab					
Propylene	0.274	0.200	0.471	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.531	0.200	2.62	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.210	0.200	0.433	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	14.5	2.50	27.3	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	3.36	1.00	7.97	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.276	0.200	1.55	1.12		1
Isopropanol	0.682	0.500	1.68	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	0.513	0.200	1.51	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



L1005927

05/07/10

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

SAMPLE RESULTS

04/21/10 00:00

Date Collected:

04/23/10

Date Received: Field Prep:

Lab Number:

Report Date:

Not Specified

Lab ID: L1005927-08 Client ID: C-23

Sample Location:

			riola riop.			
	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfie	ld Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	0.551	0.200	2.07	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-08

Client ID: C-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppb\	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Not Specified

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-08 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: C-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 18:20

	ppb\	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM	- Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.030	0.020	0.146	0.098		1
Benzene	0.257	0.100	0.820	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.031	0.020	0.210	0.136		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Re

Report Date: 05/07/10

Lab Number:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-09

Client ID: B-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 18:58

Analyst: RY

Date Collected:	04/21/10 00:00

Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppb\	<u>/</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - M	lansfield Lab					
Propylene	0.294	0.200	0.506	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.533	0.200	2.63	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.224	0.200	0.462	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	13.5	2.50	25.3	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	4.10	1.00	9.73	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.265	0.200	1.49	1.12		1
Isopropanol	1.69	0.500	4.15	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	0.438	0.200	1.29	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-09

Client ID: B-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

•					•	
	ppbV	<u>' </u>	ug/m3	<u> </u>		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	insfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	0.582	0.200	2.19	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	0.213	0.200	0.924	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	0.709	0.400	3.08	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	0.280	0.200	1.21	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-09

Client ID: B-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppb\	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Not Specified

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-09 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: B-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep:

Matrix: Air

Analytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 18:58

	ppbV u		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - I	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.025	0.020	0.122	0.098		1
Benzene	0.201	0.100	0.642	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.025	0.020	0.169	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-10

Client ID: A-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 19:36

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Propylene	0.274	0.200	0.471	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.591	0.200	2.92	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.323	0.200	0.666	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	16.2	2.50	30.4	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	4.46	1.00	10.6	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.291	0.200	1.63	1.12		1
Isopropanol	4.28	0.500	10.5	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	0.391	0.200	1.15	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-10

Client ID: A-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected: Date Received:

04/21/10 00:00

Field Prep:

04/23/10 Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mans	sfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	0.238	0.200	0.838	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	0.780	0.200	2.94	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
o/m-Xylene	0.409	0.400	1.77	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-10

Client ID: A-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

	ppb\	<u>/</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - I	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Not Specified

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-10 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: A-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM
Analytical Date: 04/28/10 19:36

	ppbV	V ug/m3		3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM	- Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.030	0.020	0.146	0.098		1
Benzene	0.241	0.100	0.769	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.048	0.020	0.325	0.136		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Lab Number:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-11

Client ID: BG-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 20:14

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00
Date Received: 04/23/10
Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - N	Mansfield Lab					
Propylene	0.241	0.200	0.414	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.522	0.200	2.58	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.498	0.200	1.03	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	2.66	2.50	5.01	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	2.04	1.00	4.83	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.270	0.200	1.52	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	0.203	0.200	0.598	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-11

Client ID: BG-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

		riola riop.			140t Op	
ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution	
Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor	
eld Lab						
ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1	
0.453	0.200	1.70	0.753		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1	
ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1	
ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1	
ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1	
	Results eld Lab ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND N	ND 0.200	Results RDL Results eld Lab ND 0.200 ND ND 0.200 ND ND	Results RDL Results RDL eld Lab ND 0.589 ND 0.200 ND 0.589 ND 0.200 ND 0.704 ND 0.200 ND 1.09 ND 0.200 ND 1.26 ND 0.200 ND 0.688 ND 0.200 ND 0.924 ND 0.200 ND 0.720 ND 0.200 ND 0.720 ND 0.200 ND 0.934 ND 0.200 ND 0.934 ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND 0.200 ND 0.753 ND 0.200 ND 0.753 ND 0.200 ND 1.70 ND 0.200 ND 1.54 ND 0.200 N	Results RDL Results RDL Qualifier eld Lab ND 0.200 ND 0.589 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.809 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.704 ND ND 0.200 ND 1.09 ND ND 0.200 ND 1.26 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.688 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.924 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.924 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.720 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.720 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.907 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.907 ND ND 0.200 ND 0.819 ND	



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-11

Client ID: BG-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>′</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Not Specified

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-11 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: BG-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM
Analytical Date: 04/28/10 20:14

Analyst: RY

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results RDL		Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.022	0.020	0.107	0.098		1
Benzene	0.173	0.100	0.552	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.034	0.020	0.230	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-12 Client ID: BG-23-DUP

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Analytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 20:52

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Propylene	0.239	0.200	0.411	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.550	0.200	2.72	0.988		1
Chloromethane	0.536	0.200	1.10	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	2.68	2.50	5.04	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	3.74	1.00	8.88	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.264	0.200	1.48	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	0.207	0.200	0.610	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-12 Client ID: BG-23-DUP

Date Collected: Date Received: 04/21/10 00:00

Field Prep:

04/23/10 Not Specified

Sample Location:

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Γoluene	0.497	0.200	1.87	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Lab Number:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-12 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: BG-23-DUP Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - M	ansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: Lab Number: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL L1005927

Project Number: Report Date: 115058 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 L1005927-12

Client ID: BG-23-DUP Date Received: 04/23/10 Not Specified

Field Prep: Sample Location:

Matrix: Air Anaytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM

Analyst: RY

04/28/10 20:52

Analytical Date:

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield La	b				
Chloroform	0.023	0.020	0.112	0.098		1
Benzene	0.190	0.100	0.606	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	0.042	0.020	0.285	0.136		1



L1005927

04/21/10 00:00

Not Specified

04/23/10

Lab Number:

Date Collected:

Date Received:

Field Prep:

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-13

Client ID: TB-23

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 17:42

Analyst: RY

	ppbV		ug/m3		- -	ıtion ctor
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier Fa	CLOI
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mans	stield Lab					
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-13

Client ID: TB-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/23/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	<u> </u>		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Ma	ansfield Lab					
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
Toluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1



L1005927

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-13

Client ID: TB-23

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

Lab Number:

04/21/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/23/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

	ppbV	ppbV		3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air -	Mansfield Lab					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1
	ND	0.200	שוו	2.13		



Not Specified

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005927-13 Date Collected: 04/21/10 00:00

Client ID: TB-23 Date Received: 04/23/10

Sample Location: Field Prep:

Matrix: Air

Analytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 17:42

Analyst: RY

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - N	lansfield La	b				
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Method Blank Analysis Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 15:23

	ppbV		u	ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Result	s RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield	Lab for sar	nple(s):	01-13 Batch:	WG410363-4		
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Method Blank Analysis Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 15:23

	ppbV			աջ	g/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL		Result	s RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield	Lab for sar	mple(s):	01-13	Batch:	WG410363-4		
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200		ND	0.589		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200		ND	0.809		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200		ND	0.704		1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.09		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200		ND	1.26		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200		ND	0.688		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200		ND	0.924		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.34		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200		ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200		ND	0.934		1
Heptane	ND	0.200		ND	0.819		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200		ND	0.907		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200		ND	0.819		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200		ND	0.907		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.09		1
Toluene	ND	0.200		ND	0.753		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200		ND	0.819		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.70		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.54		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200		ND	0.920		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200		ND	0.868		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400		ND	1.74		1
Bromoform	ND	0.200		ND	2.06		1
Styrene	ND	0.200		ND	0.851		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200		ND	1.37		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Method Blank Analysis Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 101,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/28/10 15:23

	ppbV		ug/	/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	Results RDL		Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield	Lab for sai	mple(s):	01-13 Batch: \	WG410363-4		
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Method Blank Analysis Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 101,TO15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/28/10 15:23

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results RDL		Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - I	Mansfield Lal	b for sample(s)): 01-13 Bato	ch: WG41	0364-4	
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

arameter	LCS %Recovery	Qual	LCSD %Recove		%Recovery Limits	RPD	Qual	RPD Limits
CP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated san	nple(s):	01-13 Batch:	WG410363	3-3			
Propylene	81		-		70-130	-		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	106		-		70-130	-		
Chloromethane	88		-		70-130	-		
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	107		-		70-130	-		
Vinyl chloride	97		-		70-130	-		
1,3-Butadiene	100		-		70-130	-		
Bromomethane	104		-		70-130	-		
Chloroethane	95		-		70-130	-		
Ethyl Alcohol	96		-		70-130	-		
Vinyl bromide	110		-		70-130	-		
Acetone	113		-		50-150	-		
Trichlorofluoromethane	117		-		70-130	-		
iso-Propyl Alcohol	99		-		70-130	-		
1,1-Dichloroethene	107		-		70-130	-		
Methylene chloride	91		-		70-130	-		
3-Chloropropene	104		-		70-130	-		
Carbon disulfide	97		-		70-130	-		
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	114		-		70-130	-		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	97		-		70-130	-		
1,1-Dichloroethane	96		-		70-130	-		
Methyl tert butyl ether	120		-		70-130	-		

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

arameter	LCS %Recovery	Qual	LCS %Reco		Qual	%Recovery Limits	RPD	Qual	RPD Limits
ICP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sar	nple(s):	01-13 Bate	ch: WC	G410363-3				
Vinyl acetate	131	Q	-			70-130	-		
2-Butanone	103		-			70-130	-		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	110		-			70-130	-		
Ethyl Acetate	94		-			70-130	-		
Chloroform	118		-			70-130	-		
Tetrahydrofuran	106		-			70-130	-		
1,2-Dichloroethane	123		-			70-130	-		
n-Hexane	75		-			70-130	-		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	97		-			70-130	-		
Benzene	89		-			70-130	-		
Carbon tetrachloride	95		-			70-130	-		
Cyclohexane	76		-			70-130	-		
1,2-Dichloropropane	88		-			70-130	-		
Bromodichloromethane	96		-			70-130	-		
1,4-Dioxane	87		-			50-150	-		
Trichloroethene	98		-			70-130	-		
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	84		-			70-130	-		
Heptane	81		-			70-130	-		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	103		-			70-130	-		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	85		-			70-130	-		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	96		-			70-130	-		

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

arameter	LCS %Recovery	Qual	LCSD %Recove	ery Qual	%Recovery Limits	RPD	Qual	RPD Limits
ICP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sam	nple(s):	01-13 Batch:	WG410363	-3			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	102		-		70-130	-		
Toluene	111		-		70-130	-		
2-Hexanone	93		-		70-130	-		
Dibromochloromethane	118		-		70-130	-		
1,2-Dibromoethane	115		-		70-130	-		
Tetrachloroethene	115		-		70-130	-		
Chlorobenzene	115		-		70-130	-		
Ethylbenzene	120		-		70-130	-		
p/m-Xylene	121		-		70-130	-		
Bromoform	130		-		70-130	-		
Styrene	128		-		70-130	-		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	106		-		70-130	-		
o-Xylene	122		-		70-130	-		
4-Ethyltoluene	120		-		70-130	-		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	121		-		70-130	-		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	125		-		70-130	-		
Benzyl chloride	117		-		70-130	-		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	122		-		70-130	-		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	122		-		70-130	-		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	122		-		70-130	-		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	139		-		50-150	-		

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number:

115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

Parameter	LCS %Recovery	Qual	LCSD %Recovery	Qual	%Recovery Limits	RPD	Qual	RPD Limits
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sample	ple(s):	01-13 Batch: W0	410363-3				
Hexachlorobutadiene	139		-		50-150	-		

MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mansfie	ld Lab Associated	sample(s): 01-13 Batch:	WG410364-3		
Chloroform	113	-	70-130	-	
Benzene	81	-	70-130	-	
Trichloroethene	89	-	70-130	-	
Tetrachloroethene	107	-	70-130	-	

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

L1005927 05/07/10 Report Date:

Lab Number:

arameter	Native Sample	Duplicate Sample	Units	RPD	Qual	RPD Limits
CP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sample(s): 01-13	QC Batch ID: WG410363-5	QC Sample:	L1005927-0	1 Clien	ID: VS-12-23
Propylene	0.333	0.332	ppbV	0		25
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.508	0.507	ppbV	0		25
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Freon-114	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
1,3-Butadiene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Bromomethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Ethanol	3.81	3.97	ppbV	4		25
Vinyl bromide	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Acetone	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.480	0.452	ppbV	6		25
Isopropanol	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Methylene chloride	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
3-Chloropropene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Carbon disulfide	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
Freon-113	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC		25



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927 **Report Date:** 05/07/10

arameter	Native Sample	Duplicate Sample	Units	RPD	RPD Limits
CP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sample(s): 01-13	QC Batch ID: WG410363-5	QC Sample:	L1005927-01	Client ID: VS-12-23
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Methyl tert butyl ether	2.81	2.75	ppbV	2	25
Vinyl acetate	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
2-Butanone	1.46	1.50	ppbV	3	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Ethyl Acetate	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Tetrahydrofuran	0.483	0.492	ppbV	2	25
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
n-Hexane	0.218	0.202	ppbV	8	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Cyclohexane	0.507	0.506	ppbV	0	25
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,4-Dioxane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.209	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Heptane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

arameter	Native Sample	Duplicate Sample	Units	RPD	RPD Limits
CP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sample(s): 01-13	QC Batch ID: WG410363-5	QC Sample:	L1005927-01	Client ID: VS-12-23
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Toluene	1.05	1.03	ppbV	2	25
2-Hexanone	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Ethylbenzene	0.313	0.337	ppbV	7	25
p/m-Xylene	1.04	1.07	ppbV	3	25
Bromoform	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Styrene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
o-Xylene	0.475	0.489	ppbV	3	25
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.244	0.250	ppbV	2	25
Benzyl chloride	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.961	1.02	ppbV	6	25
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number:

L1005927

Report Date:

05/07/10

Parameter	Native Sample	Duplicate Sample	Units	RPD	RPD Limits
MCP Volatile Organics in Air - Mansfield Lab	Associated sample(s): 01-13	QC Batch ID: WG410363-5	QC Sample	: L1005927-01	Client ID: VS-12-23
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25

MCP Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mansfield La 12-23	b Associated sample(s): 01-13	QC Batch ID: W	/G410364-5 Q	C Sample: L10	05927-01 Client ID: VS-
Chloroform	0.424	0.399	ppbV	6	25
Benzene	0.166	0.154	ppbV	8	25
Trichloroethene	ND	ND	ppbV	NC	25
Tetrachloroethene	0.192	0.192	ppbV	0	25

Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Project Number: 115058

Lab Number: L1005927

Report Date: 05/07/10

Canister and Flow Controller Information

Samplenum	Client ID	M edia ID	Media Type	Cleaning Batch ID	Initial Pressure (in. Hg)	Pressure on Receipt (in. Hg)	Flow Out mL/min	Flow In mL/min	% RSD
L1005927-01	VS-12-23	391	2.7L Can	l1005025	-29.6	-2.8	-	-	-
L1005927-02	VS-7-23	136	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.3	-4.7	-	-	-
L1005927-03	VS-7-23-DUP	194	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.6	-4.6	-	-	-
L1005927-04	VS-1-23	140	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.6	-3.8	-	-	-
L1005927-05	VS-4-23	456	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.6	-3.8	-	-	-
L1005927-06	VS-BG-23	505	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.7	-3.0	-	-	-
L1005927-07	VS-TB-23	418	2.7L Can	11005025	-29.3	-29.3	-	-	-
L1005927-08	C-23	1052	6.0L Can	11005359	-29.7	-1.9	-	-	-
L1005927-09	B-23	962	6.0L Can	11005359	-29.8	-0.9	-	-	-
L1005927-10	A-23	1551	6.0L Can	11005359	-29.2	-2.1	-	-	-
L1005927-11	BG-23	1624	6.0L Can	11005359	-29.7	-2.2	-	-	-
L1005927-12	BG-23-DUP	1705	6.0L Can	l1005359	-29.7	-2.0	-	-	-
L1005927-13	TB-23	596	6.0L Can	l1005359	-29.8	-29.8	-	-	-



Project Name: KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOL Lab Number: L1005927

Project Number: 115058 Report Date: 05/07/10

Sample Receipt and Container Information

Were project specific reporting limits specified?

Reagent H2O Preserved Vials Frozen on: NA

Cooler Information Custody Seal

Cooler

N/A Present/Intact

Container Info	ormation			Temp			
Container ID	Container Type	Cooler	рН	deg C	Pres	Seal	Analysis(*)
L1005927-01A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-02A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-03A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-04A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-05A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-06A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-07A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-08A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-09A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-10A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-11A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-12A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-13A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	MCP-TO15-SIM(30),MCP- TO15(30)
L1005927-14A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	CAN-RENTO(),CLEAN-FEE()
L1005927-15A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	CAN-RENTO(),CLEAN-FEE()
L1005927-16A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	CAN-RENTO(),CLEAN-FEE()
L1005927-17A	Canister - 2.7 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	CAN-RENTO(),CLEAN-FEE()
L1005927-18A	Canister - 6 Liter	N/A	N/A		NA	Present/Intact	CAN-RENTO(),CLEAN-FEE()



Project Name:KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOLLab Number:L1005927Project Number:115058Report Date:05/07/10

GLOSSARY

Acronyms

EPA · Environmental Protection Agency.

LCS Laboratory Control Sample: A sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes or a material containing known and verified amounts of analytes.

LCSD · Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate: Refer to LCS.

MS • Matrix Spike Sample: A sample prepared by adding a known mass of target analyte to a specified amount of matrix sample for which an independent estimate of target analyte concentration is available.

MSD · Matrix Spike Sample Duplicate: Refer to MS.

NA · Not Applicable.

NC • Not Calculated: Term is utilized when one or more of the results utilized in the calculation are non-detect at the parameter's reporting unit.

NI · Not Ignitable.

RDL - Reported Detection Limit: The value at which an instrument can accurately measure an analyte at a specific concentration. The RDL includes any adjustments from dilutions, concentrations or moisture content, where applicable.

RPD Relative Percent Difference: The results from matrix and/or matrix spike duplicates are primarily designed to assess the precision of analytical results in a given matrix and are expressed as relative percent difference (RPD). Values which are less than five times the reporting limit for any individual parameter are evaluated by utilizing the absolute difference between the values; although the RPD value will be provided in the report.

Terms

Analytical Method: Both the document from which the method originates and the analytical reference method. (Example: EPA 8260B is shown as 1,8260B.) The codes for the reference method documents are provided in the References section of the Addendum.

Data Qualifiers

- A Spectra identified as "Aldol Condensation Product".
- The analyte was detected above the reporting limit in the associated method blank. Flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than five times (5x) the concentration found in the blank. For MCP-related projects, flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than ten times (10x) the concentration found in the blank. For DOD-related projects, flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than ten times (10x) the concentration found in the blank AND the analyte was detected above one-half the reporting limit (or above the reporting limit for common lab contaminants) in the associated method blank.
- Concentration of analyte was quantified from diluted analysis. Flag only applies to field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte.
- E -Concentration of analyte exceeds the range of the calibration curve and/or linear range of the instrument.
- H The analysis of pH was performed beyond the regulatory-required holding time of 15 minutes from the time of sample collection.
- P The RPD between the results for the two columns exceeds the method-specified criteria.
- The quality control sample exceeds the associated acceptance criteria. Note: This flag is not applicable for matrix spike recoveries when the sample concentration is greater than 4x the spike added or for batch duplicate RPD when the sample concentrations are less than 5x the RDL. (Metals only.)
- **R** Analytical results are from sample re-analysis.
- **RE** Analytical results are from sample re-extraction.
- J Estimated value. This represents an estimated concentration for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs).
- **ND** Not detected at the reported detection limit (RDL) for the sample.

Report Format: Data Usability Report



Project Name:KEITH MIDDLE SCHOOLLab Number:L1005927Project Number:115058Report Date:05/07/10

REFERENCES

101 Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (EPA/625/R-96/010b:January 1999) with QC Requirements & Performance Standards for the Analysis of TO-15 under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan, WSC-CAM-IXB, July 2010.

LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

Alpha Analytical performs services with reasonable care and diligence normal to the analytical testing laboratory industry. In the event of an error, the sole and exclusive responsibility of Alpha Analytical shall be to re-perform the work at it's own expense. In no event shall Alpha Analytical be held liable for any incidental, consequential or special damages, including but not limited to, damages in any way connected with the use of, interpretation of, information or analysis provided by Alpha Analytical.

We strongly urge our clients to comply with EPA protocol regarding sample volume, preservation, cooling, containers, sampling procedures, holding time and splitting of samples in the field.



Certificate/Approval Program Summary

Last revised December 15, 2009 - Mansfield Facility

The following list includes only those analytes/methods for which certification/approval is currently held. For a complete listing of analytes for the referenced methods, please contact your Alpha Customer Service Representative.

Connecticut Department of Public Health Certificate/Lab ID: PH-0141.

Wastewater/Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: pH, Turbidity, Conductivity, Alkalinity, Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Molybdenum, Nickel, Potassium, Selenium, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Vanadium, Zinc, Total Residue (Solids), Total Suspended Solids (non-filterable), Total Cyanide. Organic Parameters: PCBs, Organochlorine Pesticides, Technical Chlordane, Toxaphene, Acid Extractables, Benzidines, Phthalate Esters, Nitrosamines, Nitroaromatics & Isophorone, PAHs, Haloethers, Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Volatile Organics.)

Solid Waste/Soil (Inorganic Parameters: pH, Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Molybdenum, Nickel, Potassium, Selenium, Silver, Sodium, Thallium, Vanadium, Zinc, Total Organic Carbon, Total Cyanide, Corrosivity, TCLP 1311. Organic Parameters: PCBs, Organochlorine Pesticides, Technical Chlordane, Toxaphene, Volatile Organics, Acid Extractables, Benzidines, Phthalates, Nitrosamines, Nitroaromatics & Cyclic Ketones, PAHs, Haloethers, Chlorinated Hydrocarbons.)

Florida Department of Health Certificate/Lab ID: E87814. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: SM2320B, EPA 120.1, SM2510B, EPA 245.1, EPA 150.1, EPA 160.2, SM2540D, EPA 335.2, SM2540G, EPA 180.1. Organic Parameters: EPA 625, 608.)

Solid & Chemical Materials (Inorganic Parameters: 6020, 7470, 7471, 9045, 9014. Organic Parameters: EPA 8260, 8270, 8082, 8081.)

Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Certificate/Lab ID: 03090. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 120.1, 150.1, 160.2, 180.1, 200.8, 245.1, 310.1, 335.2, 608, 625, 1631, 3010, 3015, 3020, 6020, 9010, 9014, 9040, SM2320B, 2510B, 2540D, 2540G, 4500CN-E, 4500H-B, Organic Parameters: EPA 3510, 3580, 3630, 3640, 3660, 3665, 5030, 8015 (mod), 3570, 8081, 8082, 8260, 8270,

Solid & Chemical Materials (Inorganic Parameters: 6020, 7196, 7470, 7471, 7474, 9010, 9014, 9040, 9045, 9060. Organic Parameters: EPA 8015 (mod), EPA 3570, 1311, 3050, 3051, 3060, 3580, 3630, 3640, 3660, 3665, 5035, 8081, 8082, 8260, 8270.)

Biological Tissue (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 6020. Organic Parameters: EPA 3570, 3510, 3610, 3630, 3640, 8270.)

Maine Department of Human Services Certificate/Lab ID: MA0030.

Wastewater (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 120.1, 300.0, SM 2320, 2510B, 2540C, 2540D, EPA 245.1. Organic Parameters: 608, 624.)

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Certificate/Lab ID: M-MA030.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: SM4500H+B. Organic Parameters: EPA 624.)

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Certificate/Lab ID: 2206. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 200.8, 245.1, 1631E, 120.1, 150.1, 180.1, 310.1, 335.2, 160.2, SM2540D, 2540G, 4500CN-E, 4500H+B, 2320B, 2510B. Organic Parameters: EPA 625, 608.)

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Certificate/Lab ID: MA015. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: SW-846 1312, 3010, 3020A, 3015, 6020, SM2320B, EPA 200.8, SM2540C, 2540D, 2540G, EPA 120.1, SM2510B, EPA 180.1, 245.1, 1631E, SW-846 9040B, 6020, 9010B, 9014 Organic Parameters: EPA 608, 625, SW-846 3510C, 3580A, 5030B, 3035L, 5035H, 3630C, 3640A, 3660B, 3665A, 8081A, 8082 8260B, 8270C)

Solid & Chemical Materials (Inorganic Parameters: SW-846 6020, 9010B, 9014, 1311, 1312, 3050B, 3051, 3060A, 7196A, 7470A, 7471A, 9045C, 9060. Organic Parameters: SW-846 3580A, 5030B, 3035L, 5035H, 3630C, 3640A, 3660B, 3665A, 8081A, 8082, 8260B, 8270C, 3570, 8015B.)

Atmospheric Organic Parameters (EPA TO-15)

Biological Tissue (Inorganic Parameters: SW-846 6020 Organic Parameters: SW-846 8270C, 3510C, 3570, 3610B, 3630C, 3640A)

New York Department of Health Certificate/Lab ID: 11627. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 310.1, SM2320B, EPA 365.2, 160.1, EPA 160.2, SM2540D, EPA 200.8, 6020, 1631E, 245.1, 335.2, 9014, 150.1, 9040B, 120.1, SM2510B, EPA 376.2, 180.1, 9010B. Organic Parameters: EPA 624, 8260B, 8270C, 608, 8081A, 625, 8082, 3510C, 3511, 5030B.)

Solid & Hazardous Waste (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 9040B, 9045C, SW-846 Ch7 Sec 7.3, EPA 6020, 7196A, 7471A, 7474, 9014, 9040B, 9045C, 9010B. Organic Parameters: EPA 8260B, 8270C, 8081A, DRO 8015B, 8082, 1311, 3050B, 3580, 3050B, 3035, 3570, 3051, 5035, 5030B.)

Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Certificate/Lab ID: 68-02089. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Organic Parameters: EPA 5030B, EPA 8260)

Rhode Island Department of Health Certificate/Lab ID: LAO00299. NELAP Accredited via LA-DEQ.

Refer to MA-DEP Certificate for Non-Potable Water.

Refer to LA-DEQ Certificate for Non-Potable Water.

Texas Commission of Environmental Quality Certificate/Lab ID: T104704419-08-TX. NELAP Accredited.

Solid & Chemical Materials (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 6020, 7470, 7471, 1311, 7196, 9014, 9040, 9045, 9060. Organic Parameters: EPA 8015, 8270, 8260, 8081, 8082.)

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Defense Certificate/Lab ID: L2217.01.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 3005A,3020, 6020, 245.1, 245.7, 1631E, 7470A, 7474, 9014, 120.1, 9050A, 180.1, SM4500H-B, 2320B, 2510B, 2540D,9040. Organic Parameters: EPA 3510C, 5030B, 9010B, 624, 8260B, 8270C, 8270 Alk-PAH, 8082, 8081A, 8015 (SHC), 8015 (DRO).)

Solid & Hazardous Waste (Inorganic Parameters: EPA 1311, 1312,3051, 6020, 747A, 7474, 9045C,9060, SM 2540G, ASTM D422-63. Organic Parameters: EPA 3580, 3570, 3540C, 5035, 8260B, 8270C, 8270 Alk-PAH, 8082, 8081A, 8015 (SHC), 8015 (DRO).

Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)

Analytes Not Accredited by NELAP

Certification is not available by NELAP for the following analytes: 8270C: Biphenyl.

OTRO	1521/ 01/52/12 MECTIFY STATES	Elien Sull	13.31	1035	artestr Alzelin	2 4 h	- Charles	Remarks (*):
	2 41/2/1/0	Received by:	THE PARTY.	e: <i>YIEZI</i>	Date/Time:	lk	Take	Received by:
	11/12/12-1	Relinquished by:	1045	e: 4//44	Date/Time:			Relinquished by:
	/////							
	,							
		The state of the s		-				-
		- Constitution of the second						
							-	
N/A	vocs TO-15	trip blank	air	SUMMA	2 L	04/21/10	-7	VS-TB-23
3.5	vocs TO-15	background	air	SUMMA	2L	04/21/10	-6	VS-BG-23
7	vocs TO-15	Vent Stack Air	air	SUMMA	2 L	04/21/10	-\	VS-4-23
6	vocs TO-15	Vent Stack Air	air	SUMMA		04/21/10	4-	VS-1-23
9	vocs TO-15	Vent Stack Air	air	SUMMA	2L	04/21/10	-3	VS-7-23-DUP
7	vocs TO-15	Vent Stack Air	air	SUMMA	ĺ	04/21/10	-)	VS-7-23
6	vocs TO-15	Vent Stack Air	air	SUMMA		04/21/10	5927-1	VS-12-23
Comments	ANALYSIS	Description	MATRIX	G/P	Size	Date		Sample Code
Summa vacuum				Container	Con	Sampled		,
				'			INC	ompper s Mame:
				ı				Chipper's Name
				ı			04/22/10	Shipping Date(s):
				'				Daboratory P.O.:
				•			ALPHA	Daboratory:
				•				Sampling Date(s):
				1 ;		50		Project No.:
	Box No.: 0			l		hool	Keith Middle School	Project Name:

	125:01 01/25/10 10:35	Ceo: Lileen Sullivans			1035	4/23/m 1035		Re): Million
	5450 alse/h	})i'\\ / '	4/23/10	4		Remarks (*);
		Received by:	1 10:45 1	Meel	ate/Time		March 16	Received by:
	4/24/10	Being ished by:	1045	4/100	Date/Time:			Relinquished by:
	1 1/1							
					E			
			-					
		111111					17.77	
			-					
N/A	vocs TO-15	trip blank	air	SUMMA	6L	04/21/10	-13	ТВ-23
5	vocs TO-15	background collocated	air	SUMMA	6L	04/21/10	717	BG-23-DUP
2.5	vocs TO-15	background	air	SUMMA	6L	04/21/10	- (/	BG-23
-								
2.5	vocs TO-15	indoor air - Itallway	air	SUMMA	T)	04/21/10	-10	A-23
3	vocs TO-15	índoor air - auditorium	air	SUMMA	£	04/21/10	5-	B-23
4.5	vocs TO-15	indoor air - faculty dining room	air	SUMMA	6	04/21/10	5927-8	C-23
Comments	ANALYSIS	Source Description	MATRIX	G/P	Size	Date		Sample Code
Summa vacuum				Container	Cont	Sampled		
				•			TRC	Shipper's Name:
				•			4/22/2010	Shipping Date(s):
				•			115058	Laboratory P.O.:
				•			ALPHA	Haboratory:
		-		•			21-Apr	Bampling Date(s):
				•			115058	Project No.:
	Box No.: 0			•		001	Keith Middle School	Project Name:

Project Name:BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATIONLab Number:L1005359Project Number:CANISTER QC BATReport Date:04/23/10

Case Narrative

The samples were received in accordance with the Chain of Custody and no significant deviations were encountered during the preparation or analysis unless otherwise noted. Sample Receipt, Container Information, and the Chain of Custody are located at the back of the report.

Results contained within this report relate only to the samples submitted under this Alpha Lab Number and meet all of the requirements of NELAC, for all NELAC accredited parameters. The data presented in this report is organized by parameter (i.e. VOC, SVOC, etc.). Sample specific Quality Control data (i.e. Surrogate Spike Recovery) is reported at the end of the target analyte list for each individual sample, followed by the Laboratory Batch Quality Control at the end of each parameter. If a sample was re-analyzed or re-extracted due to a required quality control corrective action and if both sets of data are reported, the Laboratory ID of the re-analysis or re-extraction is designated with an "R" or "RE", respectively. When multiple Batch Quality Control elements are reported (e.g. more than one LCS), the associated samples for each element are noted in the grey shaded header line of each data table. Any Laboratory Batch, Sample Specific % recovery or RPD value that is outside the listed Acceptance Criteria is bolded in the report. Definitions of all data qualifiers and acronyms used in this report are provided in the Glossary located at the back of the report.

Please see the associated ADEx data file for a comparison of laboratory reporting limits that were achieved with the regulatory Numerical Standards requested on the Chain of Custody.

Batch Canister Certification

Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level)

The internal standards were within method criteria.

For additional information, please contact Client Services at 800-624-9220.

The WG408167-3 LCS recoveries for 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene (137%) and Dodecane (150%) are outside the 70%-130% acceptance limit. The LCS was within overall method allowances, therefore the analysis proceeded.

Volatile Organics in Air (SIM)

The internal standards were within method criteria.

The WG408168-3 LCS recoveries for Naphthalene (133%), 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene (145%), and 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene (149%) are outside the 70%-130% acceptance limit. The LCS was within overall method



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date: 04/23/10

Case Narrative (continued)

allowances, therefore the analysis proceeded.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete. This certificate of analysis is not complete unless this page accompanies any and all pages of this report.

Authorized Signature:

While M. Main Kathleen O'Brien

Title: Technical Director/Representative

ALPHA

Date: 04/23/10

Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 48,TO-15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/14/10 19:27

Analyst: ΑJ Date Collected: 04/13/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/13/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	,	ug/m3	;		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mar	sfield Lab					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.109		1
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.137		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.137		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.109		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.081		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.154		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.081		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.020	ND	0.092		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.020	ND	0.044		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.100	ND	0.360		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.134		1
Bromoform	ND	0.020	ND	0.206		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.078		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.020	ND	0.126		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.092		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.053		1
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.500	ND	2.44		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

Campio 200alioni					ор.	1101 0
	ppb\	<u></u>	ug/m:	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield Lab					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.096		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.247		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Freon-113	ND	0.050	ND	0.383		1
Freon-114	ND	0.050	ND	0.349		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.020	ND	0.072		1
Naphthalene	ND	0.050	ND	0.262		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.040	ND	0.174		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Styrene	ND	0.020	ND	0.085		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1
Toluene	ND	0.020	ND	0.075		1
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050	ND	0.371		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.281		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.050	ND	0.192		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.020	ND	0.051		1
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.500	ND	1.08		1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
sopropylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.46		1
o-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
Acetone	ND	2.00	ND	4.75		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date: 04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mar	nsfield Lab					
2-Butanone	ND	0.500	ND	1.47		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.500	ND	2.05		1
Halothane	ND	0.050	ND	0.403		1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050	ND	0.371		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

04/13/10 00:00

Not Specified

04/13/10

Report Date:

Date Collected:

Date Received:

Field Prep:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

ppbV

RDL

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.100

0.100

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.500

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

0.134

0.206

0.078

0.126

0.092

0.053

0.098

2.44

Re

Results

ND

Lab ID: L1005359-02

Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mansfield Lab

Sample Location:

1,1,1-Trichloroethane

1,1,2-Trichloroethane

1,1-Dichloroethane

1,1-Dichloroethene

1,2-Dibromoethane

1,2-Dichlorobenzene

1,2-Dichloroethane

1,2-Dichloropropane

1,3-Dichlorobenzene

1,4-Dichlorobenzene

Bromodichloromethane

1,3-Butadiene

1,4-Dioxane

Benzene

Bromoform

Bromomethane

Chlorobenzene

Chloroethane

Chloromethane

Chloroform

Carbon tetrachloride

1,3,5-Trimethybenzene

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene

1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

Parameter

Matrix: Air

Analytical Method: 48,TO-15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/14/10 20:05

Analyst: AJ

ug/m3	RDL	Qualifier	Dilution Factor
Suits	NDL	Qualifier	
ND	0.109		1
ND	0.137		1
ND	0.137		1
ND	0.109		1
ND	0.081		1
ND	0.079		1
ND	0.098		1
ND	0.154		1
ND	0.120		1
ND	0.081		1
ND	0.092		1
ND	0.098		1
ND	0.044		1
ND	0.120		1
ND	0.120		1
ND	0.360		1
ND	0.319		1



1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02 Client ID: **CAN 631 SHELF 53** Date Collected: Date Received: 04/13/10 00:00

Sample Location:

Field Prep:

04/13/10 Not Specified

Campio 200ationi				r ioid r ropi		1101 0
	ppb\	<u>/</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield Lab					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.096		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.247		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Freon-113	ND	0.050	ND	0.383		1
Freon-114	ND	0.050	ND	0.349		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.020	ND	0.072		1
Naphthalene	ND	0.050	ND	0.262		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.040	ND	0.174		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Styrene	ND	0.020	ND	0.085		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1
Toluene	ND	0.020	ND	0.075		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050	ND	0.371		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.281		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.050	ND	0.192		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.020	ND	0.051		1
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.500	ND	1.08		1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.46		1
p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
Acetone	ND	2.00	ND	4.75		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Rep

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date: 04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02

Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mar	nsfield Lab					
2-Butanone	ND	0.500	ND	1.47		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.500	ND	2.05		1
Halothane	ND	0.050	ND	0.403		1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050	ND	0.371		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 48,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/14/10 19:27

Analyst: ΑJ Date Collected: 04/13/10 00:00

Date Received: 04/13/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level) -	Mansfield Lab					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Benzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.638		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV		ug/m3	<u> </u>		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level)) - Mansfield Lab					
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Chloroform	ND	0.200	ND	0.976		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1
sopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	ppbV		3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Leve	l) - Mansfield Lab					
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.36		1
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
Toluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.07		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
Naphthalene	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1
Propane	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.434		1
Acrolein	ND	0.500	ND	1.14		1
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
Acetonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.336		1
Bromobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1
Chlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.707		1
Dichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.841		1
Dibromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.42		1
Pentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.590		1
Octane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-01

Client ID: CAN 607 SHELF 52

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Lev	rel) - Mansfield Lab					
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Diisopropyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
tert-Butyl Ethyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Ethyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.93		1
p-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1
Methanol	ND	5.00	ND	6.55		1
Butane	ND	0.200	ND	0.475		1
Nonane	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1
Decane	ND	0.200	ND	1.16		1
Undecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1
Dodecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.39		1
Butyl acetate	ND	0.500	ND	2.37		1
Tertiary butyl Alcohol	ND	0.500	ND	1.52		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02

Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 48,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/14/10 20:05

Analyst: AJ

Date Collected: 04/13/10 00:00
Date Received: 04/13/10
Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	ppbV		ug/m3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Lev	vel) - Mansfield Lab					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Benzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.638		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02 Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received: Field Prep:

04/13/10

	ppbV	·	ug/m3	<u> </u>		Dilution	
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor	
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Leve	l) - Mansfield Lab						
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1	
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1	
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1	
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1	
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1	
Chloroform	ND	0.200	ND	0.976		1	
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1	
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1	
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1	
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1	
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1	
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1	
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1	
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1	
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1	
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1	
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1	



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02 Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

	ppbV		ug/m3	<u>. </u>		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level	l) - Mansfield Lab					
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.36		1
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
Toluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Trichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.07		1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1
Naphthalene	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1
Propane	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.434		1
Acrolein	ND	0.500	ND	1.14		1
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
sopropylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
Acetonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.336		1
Bromobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1
Chlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.707		1
Dichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.841		1
Dibromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.42		1
Pentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.590		1
Octane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
ert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005359

Report Date:

04/23/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005359-02

Client ID: CAN 631 SHELF 53

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/13/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/13/10

Field Prep:

•						
	ppb\	<u> </u>	ug/m	3		Dilution Factor
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Leve	el) - Mansfield Lab					
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Diisopropyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
ert-Butyl Ethyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
Ethyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
ec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
ert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.93		1
o-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1
Methanol	ND	5.00	ND	6.55		1
Butane	ND	0.200	ND	0.475		1
Nonane	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1
Decane	ND	0.200	ND	1.16		1
Jndecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1
Oodecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.39		1
Butyl acetate	ND	0.500	ND	2.37		1
Tertiary butyl Alcohol	ND	0.500	ND	1.52		1



L1005025

04/29/10

Lab Number:

Report Date:

Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Case Narrative

The samples were received in accordance with the Chain of Custody and no significant deviations were encountered during the preparation or analysis unless otherwise noted. Sample Receipt, Container Information, and the Chain of Custody are located at the back of the report.

Results contained within this report relate only to the samples submitted under this Alpha Lab Number and meet all of the requirements of NELAC, for all NELAC accredited parameters. The data presented in this report is organized by parameter (i.e. VOC, SVOC, etc.). Sample specific Quality Control data (i.e. Surrogate Spike Recovery) is reported at the end of the target analyte list for each individual sample, followed by the Laboratory Batch Quality Control at the end of each parameter. If a sample was re-analyzed or re-extracted due to a required quality control corrective action and if both sets of data are reported, the Laboratory ID of the re-analysis or re-extraction is designated with an "R" or "RE", respectively. When multiple Batch Quality Control elements are reported (e.g. more than one LCS), the associated samples for each element are noted in the grey shaded header line of each data table. Any Laboratory Batch, Sample Specific % recovery or RPD value that is outside the listed Acceptance Criteria is bolded in the report. Definitions of all data qualifiers and acronyms used in this report are provided in the Glossary located at the back of the report.

Please see the associated ADEx data file for a comparison of laboratory reporting limits that were achieved with the regulatory Numerical Standards requested on the Chain of Custody.

For additional information, please contact Client Services at 800-624-9220.

Batch Canister Certification

Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level)

The WG407354-3 LCS recoveries for 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene (132%) and Dodecane (c12) (137%) are outside the 70%-130% acceptance limit. The LCS was within overall method allowances, therefore the analysis proceeded.

Volatile Organics in Air (SIM)

L1005025-01 Fails certification for Freon 113.

The WG407158-3 LCS recoveries for Methyl tert butyl ether (68%) and trans-1,3-Dichloropropene(68%) are outside the 70%-130% acceptance limit. The LCS was within overall method allowances, therefore the analysis proceeded.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete. This certificate of analysis is not complete unless this page accompanies any and all pages of this report.

Authorized Signature:

With M. Whin Kathleen O'Brien

Title: Technical Director/Representative Date: 04/29/10

Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005025

Report Date: 04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01
Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 48,TO-15 Analytical Date: 04/08/10 21:10

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/07/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/07/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Lev	vel) - Mansfield Lab					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.09		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.54		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.809		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.924		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.200	ND	0.442		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1
2-Butanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1
2-Hexanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
3-Chloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.626		1
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1
Acetone	ND	1.00	ND	2.37		1
Benzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.638		1
Benzyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.34		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005025

Report Date: 04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01 Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/07/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/07/10

	Dilution
Field Prep:	Not Specified
Date Received.	04/07/10

<u>'</u>					•	
	ppbV		ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Leve	l) - Mansfield Lab					
Bromoform	ND	0.200	ND	2.06		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.776		1
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.200	ND	0.622		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.200	ND	1.26		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.920		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.527		1
Chloroform	ND	0.200	ND	0.976		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.413		1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1
Cyclohexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.688		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.70		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.988		1
Ethanol	ND	2.50	ND	4.71		1
Ethyl Acetate	ND	0.500	ND	1.80		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
Freon-113	ND	0.200	ND	1.53		1
Freon-114	ND	0.200	ND	1.40		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.200	ND	2.13		1
Isopropanol	ND	0.500	ND	1.23		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.720		1
p/m-Xylene	ND	0.400	ND	1.74		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.868		1
Heptane	ND	0.200	ND	0.819		1
n-Hexane	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1
Propylene	ND	0.200	ND	0.344		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005025

Report Date:

04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01
Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Date Collected:
Date Received:

04/07/10 00:00

Sample Location: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Date Received: Field Prep:

04/07/10 Not Specified

Campio Location.				1 1014 1 1091		1101	
	ppbV	<u> </u>	ug/m	3		Dilution	
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor	
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Lev	el) - Mansfield Lab						
Styrene	ND	0.200	ND	0.851		1	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.36		1	
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	0.200	ND	0.589		1	
Toluene	ND	0.200	ND	0.753		1	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	0.792		1	
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.200	ND	1.07		1	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.12		1	
Vinyl acetate	ND	0.200	ND	0.704		1	
Vinyl bromide	ND	0.200	ND	0.874		1	
/inyl chloride	ND	0.200	ND	0.511		1	
Naphthalene	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1	
Propane	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1	
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.434		1	
Acrolein	ND	0.500	ND	1.14		1	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.37		1	
sopropylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.20		1	
Acetonitrile	ND	0.200	ND	0.336		1	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1	
Chlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.707		1	
Dichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.200	ND	0.841		1	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.200	ND	1.42		1	
Pentane	ND	0.200	ND	0.590		1	
Octane	ND	0.200	ND	0.934		1	
ert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1	
1-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.03		1	



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005025

Report Date: 04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01
Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Date Collected:
Date Received:

04/07/10 00:00

Sample Location:

Date Received: 04/07/10
Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>' </u>	ug/m	ug/m3		Dilution			
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor			
Volatile Organics in Air (Low Lev	Volatile Organics in Air (Low Level) - Mansfield Lab								
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1			
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.200	ND	0.907		1			
Diisopropyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1			
tert-Butyl Ethyl Ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.835		1			
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.48		1			
Ethyl ether	ND	0.200	ND	0.606		1			
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1			
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1			
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1			
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	1.93		1			
p-IsopropyItoluene	ND	0.200	ND	1.10		1			
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.200	ND	0.982		1			
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.200	ND	0.923		1			
Methanol	ND	5.00	ND	6.55		1			
Butane	ND	0.200	ND	0.475		1			
Nonane	ND	0.200	ND	1.05		1			
Decane	ND	0.200	ND	1.16		1			
Undecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.28		1			
Dodecane	ND	0.200	ND	1.39		1			
Butyl acetate	ND	0.500	ND	2.37		1			
2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	ND	0.500	ND	2.29		1			
2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	ND	0.500	ND	2.29		1			
Tertiary butyl Alcohol	ND	0.500	ND	1.52		1			



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Lab Number:

L1005025

04/29/10

Report Date:

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01 Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Sample Location:

Matrix: Air

Anaytical Method: 48,TO-15-SIM Analytical Date: 04/08/10 21:10

Analyst: RY

Date Collected: 04/07/10 00:00 Date Received: 04/07/10 Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppb\	<u> </u>	ug/m3			Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield Lab					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.109		1
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.137		1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.137		1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.109		1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.081		1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.154		1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.081		1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.020	ND	0.092		1
1,3,5-Trimethybenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
1,3-Butadiene	ND	0.020	ND	0.044		1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.120		1
1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.100	ND	0.360		1
Benzene	ND	0.100	ND	0.319		1
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.134		1
Bromoform	ND	0.020	ND	0.206		1
Bromomethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.078		1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.020	ND	0.126		1
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.092		1
Chloroethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.053		1
Chloroform	ND	0.020	ND	0.098		1
Chloromethane	ND	0.500	ND	2.44		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT

Lab Number:

L1005025

Report Date:

04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: L1005025-01
Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3

Sample Location:

Date Collected:

04/07/10 00:00

Date Received:

04/07/10

Field Prep: Not Specified

	ppbV	<u>'</u>	ug/m3	3		Dilution
Parameter	Results	RDL	Results	RDL	Qualifier	Factor
Volatile Organics in Air by SIM -	Mansfield Lab					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.020	ND	0.096		1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.247		1
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Freon-113	0.073	0.050	0.559	0.383		1
Freon-114	ND	0.050	ND	0.349		1
Methylene chloride	ND	0.500	ND	1.74		1
Methyl tert butyl ether	ND	0.020	ND	0.072		1
Naphthalene	ND	0.050	ND	0.262		1
o/m-Xylene	ND	0.040	ND	0.174		1
o-Xylene	ND	0.020	ND	0.087		1
Styrene	ND	0.020	ND	0.085		1
etrachloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.136		1
oluene	ND	0.020	ND	0.075		1
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.079		1
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.020	ND	0.091		1
Frichloroethene	ND	0.020	ND	0.107		1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050	ND	0.371		1
Frichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.050	ND	0.281		1
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.050	ND	0.192		1
/inyl chloride	ND	0.020	ND	0.051		1
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.500	ND	1.08		1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
sopropylbenzene	ND	0.500	ND	2.46		1
o-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.500	ND	2.74		1
Acetone	ND	2.00	ND	4.75		1



Project Name: BATCH CANISTER CERTIFICATION Lab Number: L1005025

Project Number: CANISTER QC BAT Report Date: 04/29/10

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab ID: Date Collected: 04/07/10 00:00

Client ID: CAN 262 SHELF 3 Date Received: 04/07/10 Sample Location: Field Prep: Not Specified

ppbV ug/m3 **Dilution** Factor Results RDL Qualifier **Parameter** Results **RDL** Volatile Organics in Air by SIM - Mansfield Lab 2-Butanone ND 0.500 ND 1.47 1 4-Methyl-2-pentanone ND 0.500 ND 2.05 1 Halothane ND 0.050 ND 0.403 1 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene ND 0.050 ND 0.371 1



APPENDIX F LABORATORY DATA VALIDATION MEMORANDA



Memo

To: David Sullivan

From: Lorie MacKinnon

CC:

Date: 07/10/10

Re: Data Validation Review: Air Samples: Keith Middle School/New Bedford, MA: SDG 10040133

SUMMARY

Limited (Tier II) validation was performed on the data for 16 air samples and three trip blank samples collected at the Keith Middle School in New Bedford, Massachusetts. The samples were collected on April 21, 2010 and submitted to Northeast Analytical, Inc. (NEA) in Schenectady, New York for analysis. All air vent samples were collected on polyurethane foam (PUF) cartridges in accordance with EPA method TO-10A; all ambient air samples were collected on particulate filters and PUF cartridges in accordance with EPA method TO-4A. The samples were analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) homologues using EPA method 680. NEA reported the results under job number 10040133.

The sample results were assessed using the *EPA New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines* for *Evaluating Environmental Analyses*, revised December 1996. Modification of these guidelines was performed to accommodate the non-CLP methodology.

In general, the data appear to be valid as reported and may be used for decision-making purposes. Potential high bias exists for trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs in sample B-23 (PUF) and trichlorobiphenyl, tetrachlorobiphenyl, pentachlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample A-23 (PUF) due to high surrogate recoveries. Potential high bias exists for dichlorobiphenyl, trichlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample B-23 (PUF), trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs in sample B-23 (PUF), trichlorobiphenyl, tetrachlorobiphenyl, pentachlorobiphenyl, and Total PCBs in sample A-23 (PUF), and trichlorobiphenyl and Total PCBs in samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23-DUP (PUF) due to high laboratory control sample recoveries. Potential uncertainty exists for the results for trichlorobiphenyl and total PCB in samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23 DUP (PUF) due to high relative percent differences in the evaluation of the field duplicate pair. These issues have a minor impact on the data usability; all results are still usable for project objectives.

SAMPLES

Samples included in this review are listed below:

10040133

VS-12-23	C-23	C-23 (filter)
VS-7-23	B-23	B-23 (filter)
VS-7-23 DUP (1)	A-23	A-23 (filter)
VS-1-23	BG-23	BG-23 (filter)
VS-4-23	BG-23 DUP (2)	BG-23-DUP (3) (filter)
VS-TB-23	Trip Blank-23	Trip Blank-23 (filter)
VS-BG-23	·	. , ,

- (1) Field duplicate of VS-7-23
- (2) Field duplicate of BG-23
- (3) Field duplicate of BG-23 (filter)

REVIEW ELEMENTS

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters:

- Agreement of analyses conducted with TRC requests
- Holding times and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Blanks
- Surrogate spike recoveries
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) results
- Internal standard performance
- Field duplicate results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

DISCUSSION

Agreement of Analyses Conducted with TRC Requests

Sample reports were checked to verify that the results corresponded to analytical requests as designated on the chain-of-custody and any correspondence between TRC and the laboratory.

Holding Times and Sample Preservation

All samples were extracted and analyzed within the method-specified holding time.

GC/MS Tunes

The frequency and abundance of all decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tunes were within the acceptance criteria. The samples were analyzed within 12 hours from the DFTPP tunes. Window defining mixtures were analyzed following each DFTPP tune.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

The %RSDs and %Ds of all PCB congeners used in the initial and continuing calibrations were within the acceptance criteria.

Blanks

Target compounds were not detected in the laboratory method blanks or trip blanks associated with the PCB homologue analyses.

Target compounds were not detected in the PUF Verification Lot samples (Lot#s: 30430-1, 30430-2, 30430-3, 30430-4, 30430-5, 30430-6, 041210-1, and 041210-3) and Filter Verification Lot sample (Lot# 041310-4), which were analyzed and reported under job numbers 10020086 and 10040069.

Surrogate Spike Recoveries

Select samples exhibited surrogate recoveries outside the acceptance criteria. The following table summarizes the surrogate recoveries in the affected samples.

Sample ID Control Limit	TCMX 36-101	DCB 34-104	Validation Actions
VS-4-23 (PUF)	103%	Criteria Met	Validation action was not required as the results were nondetect and therefore not affected by the potential high bias.
B-23 (PUF)	114%	Criteria Met	Estimate (J) the positive results for Total PCBs and trichlorobiphenyl in sample B-23 (PUF).
A-23 (PUF)	138%	130%	Estimate (J) the positive results for Total PCBs, trichlorobiphenyl, tetrachlorobiphenyl, and pentachlorobiphenyl in sample A-23 (PUF).

LCS Results

An LCS and LCSD was extracted and analyzed with each extraction batch. Select LCS/LCSD samples exhibited recoveries outside the acceptance criteria. The following table summarizes the recoveries and resulting validation actions.

LCS ID	Compound	Recovery (%)	Control Limits	Associated Samples	Validation Actions
LCS/LCSD62	Hexachlorobiphenyl Trichlorobiphenyl	LCS 96.7 LCSD 87.5	40.9-94.8 34.4-86.8	VS-12-23, VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-1- 23, VS-4-23, VS-TB-	Validation action was not required as the results were nondetect and therefore not affected by the potential high bias.
LCS/LCSD63	Chlorobiphenyl Hexachlorobiphenyl Heptachlorobiphenyl	LCSD 79.6 97.2, 104 LCSD 102	28.7-78.9 40.4-94.8 41.7-98.0	23, VS-BG-23 PUF Samples: C- 23, B-23, A-23, BG- 23, BG-23 DUP, Trip blank-23	Validation action was not required as the results were nondetect and therefore not affected by the potential high bias.
LCS/LCSD63	Dichlorobiphenyl	82.8, 89.7	30.8-82.6	PUF Samples: C- 23, B-23, A-23, BG- 23, BG-23 DUP, Trip	Estimate (J) the positive results for Total PCBs and dichlorobiphenyl in sample C-23 (PUF).
	Trichlorobiphenyl	LCSD 92.5	34.4-86.8	blank-23	Estimate (J) the positive results for Total PCBs and trichlorobiphenyl in PUF samples C-23, B-23, A-23, BG-23, and BG-23 DUP.
	Tetrachlorobiphenyl	LCSD 93.4	34.6-86.9		Estimate (J) the positive results for Total PCBs, tetrachlorobiphenyl, and pentachlorobiphenyl in sample A-23
	Pentachlorobiphenyl	92.3, 105	37.8-91.9		(PUF).

Internal Standard Performance

All internal standard criteria were met.

Field Duplicate Results

Samples VS-7-23/VS-7-23 DUP (PUF), BG-23/BG-23 DUP (PUF), and BG-23/BG-23 DUP (Filter) were submitted as the field duplicate (collocated) pairs with this sample set. PCBs were not detected in samples VS-7-23/VS-7-23 DUP (PUF) and BG-23/BG-23 DUP (Filter)

The following table summarizes the RPDs of the detected analytes in sample pair BG-23/BG-23 DUP (PUF), which were not within the acceptance criteria. The positive results for trichlorobiphenyl and total PCB in samples BG-23 (PUF) and BG-23 DUP (PUF) were estimated (J).

Parameter	BG-23 (PUF) (ug/m3)	BG-23 DUP (PUF) (ug/m3)	RPD (%)
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.0000614	0.000226	114.5
Total PCB	0.0000614	0.000226	114.5

Quantitation Limits and Sample Results

The quantitation limits met the requirements in the Sampling Plan for this program.



Memo

To: David Sullivan

From: Lorie MacKinnon

CC:

Date: 07/29/10

Re: Data Validation Review: Air Samples: Keith Middle School/New Bedford, MA: SDG L1005927

SUMMARY

Limited (Tier II) validation was performed on the data for 11 air samples and two trip blank samples collected at the Keith Middle School in Bedford, Massachusetts. The samples were collected on April 21, 2010 and submitted to Alpha Woods Hole Labs (Alpha) in Westborough, MA for analysis. All air vent samples were collected in 2 liter SUMMA® canisters in accordance with EPA method TO-15A; all ambient air samples were collected in 6 liter SUMMA® canisters in accordance with EPA method TO-15A. The samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds using EPA method TO-15A.

The sample results were assessed using the *EPA New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines* for Evaluating Environmental Analyses, revised December 1996. Modification of these guidelines was performed to accommodate the non-CLP methodology.

In general, the data appear to be valid as reported and may be used for decision-making purposes. The results for vinyl acetate, hexane, bromoform, styrene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and hexachlorobutadiene in all samples should be qualified as estimated (J/UJ) due to calibration nonconformances. The results for acetone in samples VS-7-23 and VS-7-23 DUP should be qualified as estimated (J) due to field duplicate precision results. The direction of the bias cannot be determined from these nonconformances. Due to the interference of non-target compounds, the presence of chloromethane, Freon-114, and isopropanol in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, and VS-1-23, chloromethane, Freon-114, acetone, and isopropanol in sample VS-12-23, and chloromethane in sample VS-4-23 could not confirmed. These affected nondetect results were qualified as estimated (UJ). The results for carbon disulfide in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-1-23, and VS-4-23 were qualified as nondetect due to contamination detected in the trip blank sample. The results for Freon 113 in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-4-23, and VS-BG-23 were qualified as nondetect due to contamination detected in the canister certification sample. The results for isopropanol in sample VS-4-23, acetone in samples VS-BG-23, BG-23, and BG-23DUP and chloromethane and acetone in samples C-23, B-23, and A-23 should be qualified as estimated (J) due to possible co-elution with nontarget compounds. These results may be biased high.

SAMPLES

Samples included in this review are listed below:

VS-12-23	VS-7-23	VS-7-23 DUP (1)	VS-1-23
VS-4-23	VS-BG-23	VS-TB-23	C-23
B-23	A-23	BG-23	BG-23 DUP (2)
TB-23			

1) Field duplicate of VS-7-23

2) Field duplicate of BG-23

REVIEW ELEMENTS

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters:

- Agreement of analyses conducted with TRC requests
- Holding times and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Method blanks
- System Monitoring Compound recoveries
- Laboratory Duplicate results
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) results
- Internal standard performance
- Field duplicate results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

DISCUSSION

Agreement of Analyses Conducted with TRC Requests

Sample reports were checked to verify that the results corresponded to analytical requests as designated on the chain-of-custody and any correspondence between TRC and the laboratory. No discrepancies were noted.

Holding Times and Sample Preservation

All samples were extracted and analyzed within the method-specified holding time.

GC/MS Tunes

The frequency and abundance of all bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tunes were within the acceptance criteria.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

All initial calibration criteria were met.

The percent differences (%Ds) for vinyl acetate (31.4%), hexane (25.3%), bromoform (29.8%), styrene (28.0%), 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (39.1%), and hexachlorobutadiene (39.3%) were outside of the acceptance criteria in the continuing calibration associated with all samples. The positive and nondetect results for vinyl acetate, hexane, bromoform, styrene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and

hexachlorobutadiene were estimated (J/UJ) in all samples due to continuing calibration nonconformances.

Blanks

Target compounds were not detected in the laboratory method blanks associated with the volatile organic compound analyses. Carbon disulfide was detected in trip blank sample VS-TB-23. Canister certification samples were reported under Alpha job numbers L1005359 and L1005025. Freon 113 was detected in canister Can262 Shelf 3. The presence of contamination indicates that false positives may exist for these compounds in the associated samples. Action Levels (ALs) were established at 5x the concentrations detected. The following table summarizes the contamination detected.

Compound	Blank ID	Level Detected	Action Level	Associated Samples
Freon 113	Canister Certification	0.073 ppbv	0.37 ppbv	All samples
Carbon Disulfide	VS-TB-23	0.288 ppbv	1.44 ppbv	VS-12-23, VS-7-23, VS-7-23DUP, VS-1-23, VS-4-23, VS-BG-23

Sample results were qualified as follows:

- If sample concentration was > the RL and < the Action Level, qualify the result as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.
- If the sample concentration was > the RL and > the Action Level, qualification of the data was not required.

The positive results for carbon disulfide in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-1-23, and VS-4-23 and Freon 113 in samples VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, VS-4-23, and VS-BG-23 were reported as nondetect (U) at the reported values due to trip blank and canister contamination.

System Monitoring Compound Recoveries

System monitoring compound recoveries were within control limits.

Laboratory Duplicate Results

The laboratory performed a duplicate analysis on sample VS-12-23. All relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the laboratory control limit of 25.

LCS Results

LCS samples were analyzed along with the field samples. The following table summarizes the compounds recovered outside of the laboratory control limits of 70-130 and the resulting actions.

Compound	Recovery	LCS ID	Validation Action
	(%)	Associated Samples	
Vinyl acetate	131	WG410363-3: All samples	Validation actions were not required on this basis as vinyl acetate was nondetect in all samples and therefore not affected by the potential high bias.

Internal Standard Performance

Internal standards were within the acceptance criteria in all sample analyses.

Field Duplicate Results

Samples BG-23 and BG-23DUP were submitted as the field duplicate (collocated) pair with this sample set. The following table summarizes the relative percent differences (RPDs) of the target VOCs detected in either sample, all of which were within the acceptance criteria of 20%RPD or the difference of <2 times the reporting limit (RL).

VOCs	BG-23 (μg/m³)	BG-23 DUP (µg/m³)	RPD (%)
Propylene	0.414	0.411	0.7
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.58	2.72	5.3
Chloromethane	1.03	1.10	6.6
Ethanol	5.01	5.04	0.6
Acetone	4.83	8.88	59.1, Within 2xRL
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.52	1.48	2.7
2-Butanone	0.598	0.61	2.0
Toluene	1.70	1.87	9.5
Chloroform	0.107	0.112	0.5
Benzene	0.552	0.606	9.3
Tetrachloroethene	0.230	0.285	21.3, Within 2xRL

Samples VS-7-23 and VS-7-23 DUP were also submitted as the field duplicate (collocated) pair with this sample set. The following table summarizes the relative percent differences (RPDs) of the target VOCs detected in either sample, all of which were within the acceptance criteria of 20%RPD or the difference of <2 times the reporting limit (RL), with the exception of acetone. The positive results for acetone in samples VS-7-23 and VS-7-23 DUP were estimated (J).

VOCs	VS-7-23 (μg/m³)	VS-7-23 DUP (μg/m³)	RPD (%)
Propylene	2.84	2.35	18.9
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.40	2.58	7.2
Acetone	57.8	45.7	23.4
Trichlorofluoromethane	3.38	3.61	6.6
2-Butanone	11.0	9.42	15.5
Tetrahydrofuran	10.2	9.97	2.3
n-Hexane	0.732	0.743	1.5
Cyclohexane	1.15	1.27	9.9
Heptane	1.28	0.872	37.9, Within 2xRL
Toluene	0.772	0.851	9.7

VOCs	VS-7-23 (μg/m³)	VS-7-23 DUP (μg/m³)	RPD (%)
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.33	1.65	21.5, Within 2xRL
Chloroform	1.29	1.41	8.9
Benzene	0.338	0.329	2.7
Trichloroethane	1.66	1.93	15.0
Tetrachloroethene	2.05	2.49	19.4
Ethanol	4.71 U	5.31	NC, Within 2xRL

NC-Not calculable

Quantitation Limits and Sample Results

The laboratory noted in the case narrative that the presence of select compounds could not be determined or select compounds should be considered estimates due to non-target compound interferences. The following table summarizes these compound identification issues.

Sample	Compound	Identification Issue	Validation Action			
VS-7-23	Chloromethane Freon 114	Non-target compounds interfered	Estimate (UJ) the nondetect			
VS-7-23 DUP	Isopropanol	with possible identification of this compound	results for chloromethane, Freon 114, and isopropanol in samples			
VS-1-23			VS-7-23, VS-7-23 DUP, and VS- 1-23.			
C-23	Acetone Chloromethane	Co-elution with non-target	Estimate (J) the positive results for acetone and chloromethane in			
B-23	Chloromethane	compound	samples C-23, B-23, and A-23.			
A-23						
VS-BG-23	Acetone	Co-elution with non-target	Estimate (J) the positive result for acetone in samples VS-BG-23,			
BG-23		compound	BG-23, and BG-23 DUP.			
BG-23 DUP						
VS-4-23	Isopropanol	Co-elution with non-target compound	Estimate (J) the positive result for isopropanol in sample VS-4-23.			
VS-4-23	Chloromethane	Non-target compounds interfered with possible identification of these compounds	Estimate (UJ) the nondetect result for chloromethane in sample VS-4-23.			
VS-12-23	Chloromethane Freon 114 Acetone	Non-target compounds interfered with possible identification of these compounds	Estimate (UJ) the nondetect results for chloromethane, Freon 114, acetone, and isopropanol in			
	Isopropanol	•	sample VS-12-23.			

The quantitation limits met the requirements in the Sampling Plan for this program.

APPENDIX G DISCUSSION OF RISK-BASED COMPARISON

CRITERIA

DISCUSSION OF RISK-BASED COMPARISON CRITERIA

PCBs

Two PCB risk-based air concentrations (RBACs) have been developed for the KMS, assuming occupational exposures within the school (8 hours/day, 250 days/year, for 25 years). Both non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic health endpoints were considered in the calculation of the RBACs; however, RBACs are based on noncarcinogenic effects as the most sensitive endpoint. The first RBAC is the Action Level (AL; 0.05 ug/m³) used as an initial indicator that PCB air concentrations above background levels have been detected. The risk basis for the AL is a noncarcinogenic hazard index of approximately 0.2. The second RBAC is the Acceptable Long-Term Average Exposure Concentration (ALTAEC; 0.3 ug/m³), indicative of the maximum acceptable air concentration that should not be exceeded for an extended time period. The ALTAEC could be exceeded over the short-term and still result in acceptable risk levels. The risk basis for the ALTAEC is a noncarcinogenic hazard index of one.

Both RBACs were developed to be applied to a total PCB air concentration. PCB homologues have been quantified and summed to generate total PCB air concentrations. By quantifying PCB homologues, total PCB air data gathered at the KMS are directly comparable to total PCB air data gathered at the high school since both are based on homologues rather than congeners, which greatly facilitates communication and discussion with the general public on the results of analyses.

In September 2009, EPA published Public Health Levels (PHLs) for PCBs which are calculated indoor air concentrations that maintain PCB exposures below a level that EPA = believes does not cause harm. PHLs were calculated for all ages of children from toddlers in day care to adolescents in high school as well as for adult school employees. In this report, indoor air PCB concentrations are compared to the PHL (0.45 ug/m³) for adult school employees and children 12 to <15 years old, representative of the middle school age range. In calculating the PHL, EPA considered average PCB exposures from both school (e.g., school indoor and outdoor air, indoor dust and nearby outside soils) and non-school (e.g., diet, outside soils, indoor dust, and indoor and outdoor air) environments. EPA assumed that middle school children spend 6.5-hours per day at school (with 6 hours spent inside the school) for a 180-day school year.

The LTMMIP specifies that both indoor air and vent stack air gas-phase total PCB concentrations are to be compared to RBACs. This comparison is appropriate for indoor air results since exposures to indoor air at the KMS are occurring over a similar duration and frequency as that assumed for RBAC development (8 hours/day, 250 days/year for 25 years). However, this comparison is less appropriate for vent stack air results. The vent system is designed to capture gas-phase PCBs from the subsurface beneath the KMS and convey the gases through PVC piping to outdoor air, limiting migration through the building slab and into indoor air. Little if any human exposure to air within the vent stack system itself is taking place. Air from the vent stack is vented to outdoor air where the PCBs are quickly diluted and dispersed. Therefore, comparison of vent stack air results to RBACs developed assuming exposures of 8

L2010-544 G-1

hours/day, 250 days/year for 25 years is highly conservative, if not conceptually irrelevant. The results of the comparison of vent stack air results to RBACs should be interpreted with caution due to the significantly reduced degree of exposure to vent stack air that can be experienced by individuals in comparison to indoor air.

VOCs

Comparison criteria for VOC data include MassDEP Threshold Effects Exposure Limits (TELs) and Allowable Ambient Limits (AALs), published in December 1995, consistent with the LTMMIP. TELs are developed to be applicable to short-term exposure concentrations (average 24-hour levels) while AALs are developed to be protective of long-term exposure concentrations (average annual levels over 30 years). AALs and TELs are risk-based values, corresponding to the lower of a non-carcinogenic hazard of 0.2 or an excess lifetime cancer risk of one in one million (1 x 10⁻⁶) for potentially carcinogenic compounds. Indoor air and vent stack air VOC concentrations are conservatively compared to both criteria even though it is unlikely that actual exposures to measured air concentrations would occur for either an entire 24-hour day or continually for 30 years. Short-term exposures at the KMS are likely to occur for approximately 8 hours per day, while long-term exposures are likely to occur for approximately 250 days/year for an exposure duration of 25 years.

Because TELs and AALs have not been revised since 1995 and may not include the most up-to-date toxicity information available, VOC concentrations in excess of AALs and TELs are discussed relative to alternate comparison criteria. The alternate comparison criteria are primarily residential and commercial EPA screening levels (EPA SLs) developed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (May 17, 2010) using the most current toxicity information available. Similar to AALs, residential EPA SLs are applicable to continuous long-term exposures. Commercial EPA SLs are more applicable to the actual exposures occurring at the KMS (8 hours/day, 250 days/year for 25 years). Residential and commercial EPA SLs are associated with the same cancer risk threshold used in establishing AALs and TELs. However, EPA SLs are based on a hazard of 1 for non-carcinogenic endpoints. Therefore, EPA SLs provided on Tables 8-1 and 8-2 have been adjusted to a non-carcinogenic hazard of 0.2 to be consistent with AALs and TELs based on non-carcinogenic effects. In interpreting concentrations in excess of residential EPA SLs, it is important to consider how the frequency and duration of actual exposures may differ from continuous long-term exposures assumed for residential EPA SL development.

Because AALs, TELs, and EPA SLs are set at risk levels (i.e., non-carcinogenic hazard of 0.2 and excess lifetime cancer risk of 1 x 10⁻⁶) that are only a portion of the MassDEP risk management criteria of a non-carcinogenic hazard of 1 and an excess lifetime cancer risk of one in one-hundred thousand (1 x 10⁻⁵), concentrations that slightly exceed (i.e., less than 5-fold) one or more comparison criteria may not be cause for concern, especially considering that actual exposures may be of lesser duration and frequency than assumed in comparison criteria development.

For compounds lacking comparison criteria, detected concentrations are discussed relative to available comparison criteria for a surrogate compound, selected based on similarities in

L2010-544 G-2

chemical structure and/or known toxicity. Compounds lacking comparison criteria are also discussed relative to site-specific outdoor and indoor air background concentrations, as available.

Levels of VOCs in air present as a result of background or ambient conditions were not factored into the establishment of comparison criteria. Therefore, comparison criteria may be set at values that are below typical background levels of VOCs in indoor air, present as a result of offgassing from building materials or consumer products that contain VOCs. To account for anticipated background conditions at the KMS, VOC concentrations in excess of comparison criteria are framed relative to site-specific outdoor air background concentrations, indicating ambient conditions in the vicinity of site. To provide additional perspective, VOC concentrations in excess of comparison criteria are also discussed relative to MassDEP indoor air background values. Therefore, the presence of one or more VOCs at concentrations that exceed comparison criteria should be interpreted with caution and may not indicate the need for immediate action.

There are a small number of compounds in indoor air, vent air, and outdoor air background samples for which reporting limits exceed comparison criteria set at very low values, which are not readily achievable with standard analytical methods. The comparison criteria for each of the affected compounds (i.e., benzene, chloroform, methylene chloride, styrene, tetrachloroethene, and trichloroethene) are based on an excess lifetime cancer risk of 1 x 10⁻⁶ for continuous lifetime exposure. For these compounds, the reporting limit typically exceeds the comparison criteria by 10-fold or less, indicating that the reporting limit is associated with an excess lifetime cancer risk of up to 1 x 10⁻⁵ for long-term exposures. However, because the development of comparison criteria does not consider airborne levels present as a result of background or ambient activities, it is important to note that comparison criteria for these compounds are set at levels that are below typical indoor air background levels and cannot be distinguished from levels in site-specific outdoor air samples.

L2010-544 G-3

APPENDIX H

INDOOR AIR RISK CALCULATIONS – COMMERCIAL WORKER

Table 1. Summary of Detected Analytical Results for Indoor Air Samples - 2007 through 2010

Keith Middle School

New Bedford, Massachusetts

		# of	# of	Freq. of	Min. of	Max. of	Location of	Min. of	Max. of	Mean		
Analysis	Analyte	Samples	Detects	Detects	Detects	Detects	Max. Detected	Non-Detects	Non-Detects	Concentration	EPC	EPC Basis
		1			(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)		(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
VOCs	†				(08/110)	(08/110)		(0.8,1110)	(ug/IIIe)	(ug/me)	(u.g /1110)	
(ug/m3)	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	39	2	5.1%	11.7	12.2	A-11	1.48	3.71	1.9E+00	3.561	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	39	11	28.2%	0.982	4.85	C-13	0.982	2.46	1.1E+00	1.631	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	39	4	10.3%	0.934	1.11	A-20	0.934	2.33	8.4E-01	0.933	95% Student's-t UCL
	2-butanone	39	28	71.8%	0.744	23.6	A-11	0.589 1.47 3.7E+00			4.94	95% Approximate Gamma UCL
	acetone ⁽¹⁾	39	36	92.3%	2.56	134	A-13	4.75	13.3	2.4E+01	32.1	95% Approximate Gamma UCL
	Benzene	21	21	100.0%	0.402	1.08	C-16			7.3E-01	0.802	95% Student's-t UCL
	Carbon Disulfide	24	7	29.2%	0.622	1.66	A-20	0.622	1.56	5.1E-01	0.623	95% Student's-t UCL
	Chloroform	21	14	66.7%	0.101	0.245	C-17	0.098	0.098	1.2E-01	0.183	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	chloromethane	33	7	21.2%	0.433	15	C-13	0.413	1.03	1.1E+00	3.092	99% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	cyclohexane	39	10	25.6%	0.688	7.36	C-13	0.688	1.72	9.7E-01	1.814	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	21	21	100.0%	1.99	2.92	A-23			2.3E+00	2.414	95% Student's-t UCL
	ethanol ⁽¹⁾	39	38	97.4%	4.16	191	C-17	4.71	4.71	3.0E+01	40.19	95% H-UCL
	ethylbenzene	39	12	30.8%	0.868	10.1	A-19	0.868	2.17	1.6E+00	3.113	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	Ethyl Acetate	21	4	19.0%	1.8	1.94	C-17	1.8	1.8	1.1E+00	1.22	95% Student's-t UCL
	Freon-113	21	4	19.0%	1.53	2.02	C-17	1.53	1.53	9.3E-01	1.073	95% Student's-t UCL
	isopropanol ⁽¹⁾	39	26	66.7%	1.32	42.6	C-19	1.23	1.23	4.6E+00	9.641	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	methylene chloride ⁽¹⁾	33	9	27.3%	1.74	318	C-14	1.74	3.47	1.2E+01	53.97	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	21	7	33.3%	0.819	18.8	B-17	0.819	0.819	2.1E+00	6.387	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	p/m-xylene	39	13	33.3%	1.74	39	A-19	1.74	4.34	4.9E+00	10.95	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	o-xlyene	39	11	28.2%	0.868	14	B-17	0.868	2.17	2.1E+00	4.587	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	n-heptane	39	8	20.5%	0.819	16.5	A-11	0.819	2.05	1.2E+00	2.95	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	n-hexane	39	13	33.3%	0.715	145	C-14	0.704	3.52	5.1E+00	21.13	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	Propylene	39	4	10.3%	0.44	0.506	B-23	0.344	1.72	5.2E-01	0.751	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	styrene	39	17	43.6%	0.868	7.26	A-14	0.851	2.13	1.8E+00	2.971	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	Tetrachloroethylene	21	13	61.9%	0.136	0.393	A-20	0.136	0.136	1.5E-01	0.241	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	tetrahydrofuran	33	5	15.2%	0.589	7.05	A-13	0.589	1.47	8.7E-01	1.872	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	toluene	39	33	84.6%	0.777	33.1	A-11	0.753	1.88	4.0E+00	5.203	95% Approximate Gamma UCL
	Trichloroethylene	21	7	33.3%	0.107	0.215	A-19	0.107	0.107	8.6E-02	0.138	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL
	trichlorofluoromethane	39	17	43.6%	1.18	3.08	C-14	1.12	2.81	1.3E+00	1.449	95% Student's-t UCL
PCBs												
(ug/m3)	Total PCBs	36	29	80.6%	0.00031	0.013	A-19	0.000071	0.00038	2.3E-03	0.00333	95% Approximate Gamma UCL

Notes:

ug/m3 - micrograms per cubic meter.

Values in **Bold** indicate the compound was detected.

VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds.

PCBs - polychlorinated biphenyls.

(1) Compound is a common laboratory contaminant and detects may be associated with laboratory contamination for 2007 samples,

EPC - Exposure point concentration.

UCL - Upper concentration limit.

115058_KMS_New Bedford, MA

Table 2 Commercial Worker Risk Evaluation Inhalation of Air Exposure Pathway Keith Middle School New Bedford, MA

ADEcancer (Cancer) μg/m3	ADEnon-cancer (Non-cancer)			Chronic			Risk Estimates		
(Cancer)				Chronic					
(Cancer)				Noncancer					
(,	(Non agnage)	Unit		Reference		Cancer	Hazard		
μg/m3	(Non-cancer)	Risk		Concentration		Risk	Quotient		
	μg/m3	(µg/m3)-1		μg/m3		()	()		
2.9E-01	8.1E-01	NA	(1)	2.0E+02	(1)	NA	4.E-03		
4.0E-01	1.1E+00	NA	(1)	5.0E+03	(1)	NA	2.E-04		
2.6E+00	7.3E+00	NA	(1)	8.0E+02	(1)	NA	9.E-03		
5.1E-02	1.4E-01	NA		7.0E+02	(2)	NA	2.E-04		
9.9E-02	2.8E-01	NA		3.0E+03	(8)	NA	9.E-05		
6.5E-02	1.8E-01	7.8E-06	(1)	3.0E+01	(1)	5.E-07	6.E-03		
1.5E-02	4.2E-02	2.3E-05	(1)	6.6E+02	(1)	3.E-07	6.E-05		
2.5E-01	7.1E-01	NA	(2)	9.0E+01	(2)	NA	8.E-03		
2.0E-01	5.5E-01	NA		2.0E+02	(3)	NA	3.E-03		
2.5E-01	7.1E-01	NA	(1)	1.0E+03	(1)	NA	7.E-04		
8.7E-02	2.4E-01	NA		3.0E+04	(3)	NA	8.E-06		
4.4E+00	1.2E+01	4.7E-07	(1)	3.0E+03	(1)	2.E-06	4.E-03		
5.2E-01	1.5E+00	NA	(1)	3.0E+03	(1)	NA	5.E-04		
2.4E-01	6.8E-01	5.7E-07	(1)	1.0E+03	(1)	1.E-07	7.E-04		
2.0E-02	5.5E-02	5.5E-05	(1)	4.6E+03	(1)	1.E-06	1.E-05		
1.5E-01	4.3E-01	1.9E-06	(7)	3.0E+02	(7)	3.E-07	1.E-03		
4.2E-01	1.2E+00	NA	(1)	5.0E+03	(1)	NA	2.E-04		
1.2E-01	3.3E-01	NA	. ,	7.0E+02	(3)	NA	5.E-04		
1.1E-02	3.2E-02	1.7E-06	(1)	1.8E+02	(1)	2.E-08	2.E-04		
1.3E+00	3.5E+00	NA	(1)	1.0E+02	(1)	NA	4.E-02		
1.7E+00	4.8E+00	NA	(4)	2.0E+02	(4)	NA	2.E-02		
3.2E-01	9.0E-01	NA	(4)	2.0E+02	(4)	NA	5.E-03		
1.5E-01	4.1E-01	NA	(4)	2.0E+02	(4)	NA	2.E-03		
1.3E-01	3.7E-01	NA	(5)	5.0E+01	(5)	NA	7.E-03		
7.6E-02	2.1E-01	NA	(4)	2.0E+02	(4)	NA	1.E-03		
3.3E+00	9.2E+00	NA	. ,	4.0E+03	(6)	NA	2.E-03		
7.9E-01	2.2E+00	NA		4.0E+03	(6)	NA	6.E-04		
6.1E-02	1.7E-01	NA	(5)	5.0E+01	(5)	NA	3.E-03		
2.7E-04	7.6E-04	1.0E-04	(1)	2.0E-02	(1)	3.E-08	4.E-02		
	3.3E+00 7.9E-01 6.1E-02	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 6.1E-02 1.7E-01	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 NA 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 NA 6.1E-02 1.7E-01 NA	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 NA 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 NA 6.1E-02 1.7E-01 NA (5)	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 6.1E-02 1.7E-01 NA (5) 5.0E+01	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 (6) 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 (6) 6.1E-02 1.7E-01 NA (5) 5.0E+01 (5)	3.3E+00 9.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 (6) NA 7.9E-01 2.2E+00 NA 4.0E+03 (6) NA 6.1E-02 1.7E-01 NA (5) 5.0E+01 (5) NA		

Where:

LADEcancer = IAC x EFx ED x EP/APcancer ADEnon-cancer = IAC x EF x ED x EP / APnon-cancer

Cancer Risk = LADEcancer x UR

Hazard Quotient = ADEnon-cancer / Inhalation Reference Concentration

LADE = Life Time Average Daily Exposure ADE = Average Daily Exposure EPC = Exposure Point Concentration

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms \ per \ cubic \ meter$

Sources of Toxicity Values: (1) MassDEP 2008; MCP standards derivation (2) IRIS, 2008

(3) HEAST, 1997

(4) Used C5-C8 aliphatic value from MassDEP 2008

(5) Used C9-C10 aromatic value from MassDEP 2008 (6) California EPA Reference Exposure Level for methanol

(7) EPA provisional value from the Superfund Technical Support Center (8) Converted from IRIS RfD (0.9 mg/kg-day x 70 kg x 1/20 m3/day x 1000)

And where:

Exposure Frequency (EF) = 250 days/year (5 days a week for 50 weeks of exposure) 8 25 Exposure Duration (ED) = hrs/event [1] yr [1] days/hr Exposure Period (EP) = Unit Conversion (UC) = 0.04 Averaging Period (APcancer) = 25550 days [1] Averaging Period (APnon-cancer) = 9125 days [1]

[1] MADEP, 2008

Cancer Hazard Risk 4E-06 Index 2.E-01 TOTAL:

Bold

= Cancer Risk >1.0E-05 or $Hazard\ Quotient > 1.0E{+}01$