

ZONING BOARD of APPEALS

City Hall, Room 303 133 William Street, New Bedford, MA 02740 (508) 979-1488 www.newbedford-ma.gov Registry of Deeds/City Clerk Use Only:
2020 MAY -4 P 12: 14
CITY CLERK

CITY OF NEW BEDFORD
JONATHAN F. MITCHELL, MAYOR

NOTICE OF DECISION

Case Number:	#4409	#4409					
Request Type:	Administra	tive A	ppeal				
Address:	1238 Kemp	ton S	treet				
Zoning:	Mixed Use	Mixed Use Business (MUB) Zoned District					
Recorded Owner:	Charter Da	Charter Dartmouth II LLC, C/O Charter Realty & Development Corp.					
Owner Address:	75 Holly Hi	75 Holly Hill Lane, Suite 305, Greenwich, CT 06830					
Applicant:	Mechanics	Mechanics Cooperative Bank, C/O John McMahon					
Applicant Address:	PO Box 552	PO Box 552, Taunton, MA 02780					
Application Submittal Date		Public Hearing Date		Decision Date			
January 14, 2020			April 23, 2020		April 23, 2020		
Assessor's Plot Number	Lot Numbe	r(s)	Book Number	Pa	ge Number	Certificate Number	
54	6		7638		12	•	

An Administrative Appeal under Chapter 9 Comprehensive Zoning sections 3200 (sign regulations), 3201 (purpose), 3220 (prohibited signs), 3222 (any sign which incorporates moving, flashing, animated or intermittent lighting, excluding public service signs such as those that display time and temperature), 5220 (powers) and 5223 (to hear and decide appeals taken by any person aggrieved by reason of his inability to obtain a permit or enforcement action from any administrative officer under the provisions of M.G.L.A. c. 40A,§§ 7, 8 and 15); relative to property located at **1238 Kempton Street**, Assessors' map 54 lot 6 in a Mixed Use Business (MUB) zoned district. The petitioners are proposing to install an electronic message board to a new free-standing ground sign which measures 121.4 SF, 10' to the bottom, 22'.3" to the top of the sign, and within 6' of the front lot line per plans filed.

Action: <u>GRANTED</u>, <u>WITH CONDITIONS</u>, for the reasons set forth in the attached decision with the Conditions as described in the attached decision. (See Attachment)

A copy of this Decision was filed with the City Clerk of the City of New Bedford on May 4, 2020. Any person aggrieved by this decision has twenty (20) days to appeal the decision in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 17 of Chapter 40A of the General Laws of Massachusetts.

May 4, 2020

Date

Stephen Brown, Clerk of the Zoning Board of Appeals

Atum & Burn

APPLICATION SUMMARY

The petitioners are proposing to install an electronic message board to a new free-standing ground sign which measures 121.4 SF, 10' to the bottom, 22'.3" to the top of the sign, and within 6' of the front lot line per plans filed, which requires an Administrative Appeal under Chapter 9 Comprehensive Zoning Sections 3200 (sign regulations), 3201 (purpose), 3220 (prohibited signs), 3222 (any sign which incorporates moving, flashing, animated or intermittent lighting, excluding public service signs such as those that display time and temperature), 5220 (powers) and 5223 (to hear and decide appeals taken by any person aggrieved by reason of his inability to obtain a permit or enforcement action from any administrative officer under the provisions of M.G.L.A. c. 40A,§§ 7, 8 and 15); relative to property located at 1238 Kempton Street, Assessors' map 54 lot 6 in a Mixed Use Business (MUB) zoned district.

1.) MATERIALS REVIEWED BY THE BOARD Plans Considered to be Part of the Application

- Plan set, drawn by Site Engineering Inc. (SEI), dated December 5, 2019, date stamped received by City Clerk's Office January 14, 2020, including:
 - SP-1 Existing Conditions (Proposed Sign Replacement)
 - o SP-2 Proposed Conditions (Proposed Sign Replacement)
- Plan set, drawn by Metro Sign & Awning, dated January 3, 2020, date stamped received by City Clerk's Office January 14, 2020, including:

o 19-1487-1 Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-2 Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-2A Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-3 Double Face Wayfinding Sign

o 19-1487-4r1 Single Face Pan Sign

o 19-1487-5 Entrance Door Graphics

o 19-1487-6r1 Single Face illuminated Sign

o 19-1487-7r1 Non-Illuminated Flat Panel Sign

o 19-1487-8 Drive-up Window Vinyl Panels

o 19-1487-9 Single Face Pan Sign

o 19-1487-10 Single Face Post & Panel Sign

 REVISED Plan set, drawn by Metro Sign & Awning, dated January 3, 2020, date stamped received by City Clerk's Office March 16, 2020, including:

o 19-1487-1A Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-1D Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-2 Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-2A Double Face Pylon Sign

o 19-1487-3 Double face Wayfinding Sign

o 19-1487-4r1 Single Face Pan Sign

0	19-1487-5	Entrance Door Graphics
0	19-1487-6r1	Single Face illuminated Sign
0	19-1487-7r1	Non-Illuminated Flat Panel Sign
0	19-1487-8	Drive-up Window Vinyl Panels
0	19-1487-9	Single Face Pan Sign
0	19-1487-10	Single Face Post & Panel Sign
0	19-1487-11	Sign Location Map

Other Documents & Supporting Material

- Completed Petition for an Administrative Appeal Form, stamped received by City Clerk's Office January 14, 2020.
- Letter to ZBA from the Commissioner of Buildings & Inspectional Services, Danny D. Romanowicz, dated January 27, 2020.
- Revised Staff Comments to the ZBA from the Department of City Planning, dated April 6, 2020.

2.) DISCUSSION

On the evening of the April 23, 2020 meeting, board members: Leo Schick, Stephen Brown, Allen Decker, Robert Schilling and Celeste Paleologos were present for the public hearing. City of New Bedford staff: Danny D. Romanowicz (Commissioner of Buildings & Inspectional Services), and Angela Goncalves (Assistant Project Manager) were present during proceedings for the subject case review.

In regard to Case #4408 and Case #4409 Clerk Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Schilling to hold the public hearings concurrently as they both were in regard to the same project at 1238 Kempton Street. With all in favor, the motion carried.

In regards to Case #4409, Clerk Brown made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Paleologos to receive and place on file the communications from the Commissioner of Buildings & Inspectional Services, Danny D. Romanowicz, dated January 27, 2020; staff comments from the Department of City Planning, dated April 6, 2020; the appeal packet as submitted; the plan as submitted; and, that the owners of the lots as indicated are the ones deemed by the Board to be affected; and that the action of the Clerk in giving notice of the hearing as stated be and is hereby ratified. With all in favor, the motion carried.

Chairperson Schick then declared the hearing open.

The petitioner: Joe Baptista, Mechanics Cooperative Bank (PO Box 552, Taunton, MA 02780) presented the case at the hearing representing the applicant, Mechanics Cooperative Bank. Mr. Baptista began the presentation by stating the proposal requires two separate reliefs from the Zoning Board of Appeals; a Variance and an Administrative Appeal.

The applicant is seeking relief regarding the size and location of a new free standing ground sign. Under the zoning ordinance (sign regulations): A ground sign shall not exceed 25 SF in area, nor more than 15' from the ground to the top of the sign and shall not be located closer than 6' from the lot line. The Revised proposal is (1) new ground sign totaling 64.6 SF with a height of 17'.10" from the

ground to the top of the sign and the ground sign located 2' from the front lot line, therefore requiring a <u>variance</u> from the requirement.

Additionally, the petitioner has filed an Administrative Appeal of the determination of Zoning Enforcement Officer that the digital display is a prohibited sign.

The zoning ordinance prohibits "Any sign which incorporates moving, flashing, animated or intermittent lighting, excluding public service signs such as those that display time and temperature" under Chapter 9 Section 3222 concerning prohibited signs, therefore the petitioner is seeking an administrative appeal.

The petitioners revised proposal is to install an electronic message board to a new free-standing ground sign which measures 64.6 SF, 10' to the bottom, 17'.10" to the top of the sign, and within 6' of the front lot line per plans filed.

Mr. Baptista proceeded by stating that the proposal also required Site Plan Review (Case 20-05) and a Ground Sign Site Plan (Case 20-06) from the Planning Board. The initial hearing was held on February 12, 2020. Per request of the Planning Board the hearing was continued to Wednesday, March 11, 2020 to allow the petitioner to submit revised plans. The relief was granted on March 11th with the following revised Dimensions. The revised proposal is (1) new ground sign totaling 64.6 SF with a height of 17'.10" from the ground to the top of the sign and the ground sign located 2' from the front lot line. Nonetheless the proposal requires an Administrative Appeal and Variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. Any conditions imposed by the Planning Board decision shall also be conditions of this Variance and Administrative Appeal.

Mr. Baptista concluded his presentation by engaging the Board with any questions in regard to both petitions.

In response to inquiries from board member Decker relative to messages that would be displayed on the electronic message board and branch location, Mr. Baptista replied during bank office hours messages relating to community activities and bank products would be displayed. During non-business hours the electronic message board would display time, date and temperature. Additionally, Mr. Baptista replied yes, this would be the first Mechanics Cooperate Bank in the City. Mr. Decker expressed approval of the reuse of the site.

Chairperson Schick inquired regarding the timing of the digital movement of the messages, Mr. Baptista replied the messages would operate in accordance with the moving, flashing, interment City regulations. Mr. Baptista stated the proposed electronic message board would be readable without being a distraction to passersby/traffic.

Board member Brown expressed his approval of both petitions. Mr. Brown stated he is familiar with other branch locations and the Brand.

Following the petitioner's testimony, Chairperson Schick invited to the podium anyone wishing to speak in favor or be recorded in favor of the petition of the application. Ward 5, Councilor Scott J. Lima (City Hall, 133 William Street, New Bedford) spoke in favor of the proposal. Councilor Lima stated he is in favor of the proposal, as long as the petitioner follows any recommendation imposed by the Board. Councilor Lima also requested that his letter in favor of the proposal be read into the record.

In regard to Case #4408 and #4409, Clerk Brown made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Paleologos to receive and place on file the communication from Councilor Lima in favor of the petition. With all in favor, the motion carried. The letter was read into the record by Clerk Brown.

Chairperson Schick invited to the podium anyone else wishing to speak in favor or be recorded in favor of the petition of the application There was no response to Chair Schick's invitation to speak or be recorded in favor.

Chairperson Schick invited to the podium anyone wishing to speak or be recorded in opposition of the petition. There was no response to Chair Schick's invitation to speak or be recorded in opposition.

With no further discussion, Chairperson Schick closed the hearing.

The board had a brief discussion. Clerk Brown briefly discussed the impact of visibility issues and the possibility of interference with electric lines, therefore causing a hardship.

With no further discussion, the board indicated their readiness to vote.

3.) FINDINGS

Having reviewed this petition in accordance with the City of New Bedford Code of Ordinances under Chapter 9 Sections 5220 and 5223, and under the provisions of M.G.L.A. c. 40A, §§ 7, 8 and 15 the board found the following facts:

- The proposed electronic message board has been modified to meet the recommendations of the Planning Board.
- The proposed electronic message board could be characterized as a "public service" sign displaying community events, school activities and local sporting events creating a sense of community.
- The petitioner agrees to operate the electronic message board in accordance with City preference; limiting moving, flashing and intermittent lighting.

4.) RELIEF

With respect to the relief requested by the Applicant, the Board has been presented with sufficient information at the hearing to justify the relief described below, subject to the conditions set forth in Section 6.

The Board grants the applicant's request for relief from Chapter 9 Comprehensive Zoning sections 3200 (sign regulations), 3201 (purpose), 3220 (prohibited signs), 3222 (any sign which incorporates moving, flashing, animated or intermittent lighting,

excluding public service signs such as those that display time and temperature), 5220 (powers) and 5223 (to hear and decide appeals taken by any person aggrieved by reason of his inability to obtain a permit or enforcement action from any administrative officer under the provisions of M.G.L.A. c. 40A,§§ 7, 8 and 15); relative to property located at 1238 Kempton Street, Assessors' map 54 lot 6 in a Mixed Use Business (MUB) zoned district. The petitioners are proposing to install an electronic message board to a new free-standing ground sign which measures 64.6 SF, 10' to the bottom, 17'.10" to the top of the sign, and within 6' of the front lot line per plans filed.

5.) DECISION

Based on a review of the application documents, testimony given at the public hearing and the findings described above, the Zoning Board of Appeals hereby **GRANTS, WITH CONDITIONS**, the requested Administrative Appeal.

A motion to approve was made by Mr. Brown and seconded by Mrs. Paleologos as follows:

In regard to Case #4409 for: J Charter Dartmouth II, LLC, C/O Charter Realty & Development Corp. (75 Holly Hill Lane, Suite 305, Greenwich, CT 06830) and Mechanics Cooperative Bank, C/O John McMahon (PO BOX 552, Taunton, MA 02780); relative to property located at 1238 Kempton Street, Assessors' map 54 lot 6 in a Mixed Use Business [MUB] zoned district. The petitioners are proposing to install an electronic message board to a new free-standing ground sign which measures 64.6 SF, 10' to the bottom, 17'.10" to the top of the sign, and within 6' of the front lot line per plans filed, which requires an Administrative Appeal under Chapter 9 Comprehensive Zoning sections 3200 (sign regulations), 3201 (purpose), 3220 (prohibited signs), 3222 (any sign which incorporates moving, flashing, animated or intermittent lighting, excluding public service signs such as those that display time and temperature), 5220 (powers) and 5223 (to hear and decide appeals taken by any person aggrieved by reason of his inability to obtain a permit or enforcement action from any administrative officer under the provisions of M.G.L.A. c. 40A,§§ 7, 8 and 15). Having reviewed this petition in light of the City of New Bedford Code of Ordinances Chapter 9 Comprehensive Zoning sections as cited; the board finds that in respect to these sections the board finds in regard to the criteria as follows:

This determination included consideration of each of the following:

In light of its review of the specifics of this case, the applicable sections of the city's zoning ordinance, the findings subsequently made based on these items along with all properly submitted materials and testimony made, and the board's careful consideration of the petitioner's request, the Zoning Board of Appeals finds that the petition satisfactorily meets the basis of the requested relief.

Conditions on this decision shall include:

- That the project be set forth according to the plans submitted with the application.
- That the applicant shall ensure a copy of the Notice of Decision bearing the certification of the city of New Bedford City Clerk's Office be recorded at the Registry of Deeds.

The rights authorized by the granted Administrative Appeal must be exercised by issuance of a Building Permit by the Department of Inspectional Services and acted upon within one year from the date the decision was granted, or the approval will lapse.

On a motion by <u>S. Brown</u> seconded by <u>C. Paleologos</u> to grant the requested Administrative Appeal, the vote carried 5-0-0 with members <u>S. Brown</u>, <u>C. Paleologos</u>, <u>R. Schilling</u>, <u>L. Schick</u> and <u>A. Decker</u>, voting in the affirmative; with no members voting in the negative or abstaining. (Tally 5-0-0).

Filed with the City Clerks:	May 4, 2020
XI tiener X. Gran	
Stephen Brown	Date
Clerk of the Zoning Board of Appeals	